

-----Original Message-----

From: Gww1210@aol.com <Gordon Wayne Watts>

Date: Tue, 3 May 2016 00:40:23 -0400

Subject: Re: Joseph Younes, in relation to Gordon Wayne Watts, case #: 2015-IN-03387

To: AKrawczyk@iardc.org, RGolden@iardc.org, RGreggio@iardc.org, Gww1210@aol.com

CC: Information@iardc.org, RBader@iardc.org, EAWelsh@iardc.org,

Webmaster@iardc.org, RoJoe69@yahoo.com, PLShelton@SBCGlobal.net,

PKing@khl-law.com, PKing@KingHolloway.com, AndjelkoGalic@Hotmail.com,

AGForeclosureDefense@Gmail.com, anselm45@gmail.com, gww1210@gmail.com

Atty. Albert S. Krawczyk, Esq., Senior Counsel

Illinois Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission

130 E. Randolph Dr., STE 1500, Chicago, IL 60601-6209

PH:312-565-2600 (Switchboard), PH: 312-540-5277

(Mr. Krawczyk), PH: 312-540-5278 (Ms. Golden)

Subject: "Re: Joseph Younes, in relation to Gordon Wayne Watts, case #: 2015-IN-03387"

DATE: Monday, 02 May 2016, 11:40pm, CST (Tuesday, 03 May 2016, 12:40am, EST)

Dear Mr. Krawczyk:

I am in receipt of your postal mail dated Feb. 19, 2016 (a copy of which is in the attachments in this email, here). Thank you for the excellent attention to detail that you gave, some of which uncovered surprising admissions by Mr. Younes, at least three of which can easily be proved false. While your conclusion was legally-speaking incorrect, I think you made an excellent "good faith" effort.

Additionally, as I stated in my reply (attached) to your legal findings, I would like to apologize, Big Time – Major League: As you know, you replied to me over 2 months ago, but many things came up, and I tender my deep apologies for my negligence: If anything happens to my friend, Mr. Daniggelis, as a result of my delay, his blood will be on my hands, and I will be 100% and fully at fault. –Therefore: I am including you this electronic copy of my reply (which my records show was signed for and received by an "L.MEDINA" this morning).

After I proof-read your response and my reply, I noticed several typos that I had overlooked before sending, and so I would like to offer a brief "addendum" to my crossreply:

1) First off, you reference "1725" N. Sedgewick St. (in Old Towne) as Mr. Daniggelis' home. Actually it is 1720, not 1725, and it's "Sedgwick," without the 2nd letter 'e' (a misspelling), not "Sedgewick," However, I myself made numerous small typographical errors in my own reply (attached as a PDF in my email here), so I'm not going to be "finger pointing" in this regard. (I don't think my typos changed the meaning of anything, and so I trust they are "harmless error" mistakes, as the legal saying goes.)

2) I referenced Mr. Younes' mailing address as "120 W Madison St Ste 1405, Chicago, IL 60602-4128," but it may be 166 W. Washington St. STE 600," and his number might be "312-802-1122," and not "312-372-1122," as I indicated in my reply, here. (You might check with him for official updated contact information.)

3) You take issue with the fact that Mr. Andjelko Galic did not file a complaint with the IARDC, and there were two points that I seemed to have forgotten to mention in my reply. I do vividly recall Mr. Daniggelis saying something about wanting to contact the IARDC, as well as the other regulatory agencies, including but not limited to, the Atty. General's Office. In that regard, my complaint does probably represent the wished of Mr. Daniggelis, tho he might have to review my specific assertions to verify exactly what happened. Secondly, I do recall hearing that Mr. Galic has been under a very heavy workload, and this might partly explain why he did not file a complaint to the IARDC, as Mr. Daniggelis would have liked. I can not speak for Mr. Galic, but this is a possible explanation. You might speak with Daniggelis and Galic to get more exact details, as they are the principles, and I am merely a Good Samaritan, trying to be a "catalyst for change" -positive change, that is.

4) When speaking with Robert J. More the other day, he informed me that he wishes to join my complaint, as he feels that he has some stake or interest in this matter. You might also contact him, and you might also expect a visit or phone call from him. I am copying him in my reply, here, and he may be contacted at anselm45@gmail.com and (708) 495-1027. He was a 'tenant' of Daniggelis, but, so far as I know, not a "paying" tenant. (Daniggelis lost loads of rent monies because no sane tenant would rent from him with a dark cloud hanging over the title & related pending litigation.)

5) Also, you said that Mr. Shelton was disbarred for an 'unrelated' matter. NOT. First off, he lost his broker's license after the IBFPR found he did a reverse mortgage scam on Ms. Lessie Towns, just as I allege that he and Younes are presently doing against Daniggelis. Secondly, the loss of his law licence by your agency, the IARDC, was for similar and related misconduct - even if not exactly the same. Yo umay confirm that by either reviewing your own records, or if it's more convenient, here's a comparison chart

between Towns and Daniggelis, showing the similarities:

www.GordonWatts.com/MortgageFraudCourtDocs/Comparing-MsLessieTowns-with-MrRichardDaniggelis.html

or:

www.GordonWayneWatts.com/MortgageFraudCourtDocs/Comparing-MsLessieTowns-with-MrRichardDaniggelis.html

This link can be found at the top of my Open Source download docket of the related court cases:

www.GordonWatts.com/MortgageFraudCourtDocs/DOCKET-MortgageFraudCase.html

or:

www.GordonWayneWatts.com/MortgageFraudCourtDocs/DOCKET-MortgageFraudCase.html

That, in turn, is still front-page news at *The Register* (e.g., www.GordonWatts.com / www.GordonWayneWatts.com), and is the Tue. 01 Dec. 2015, news item: "from Staff Reports) Courts * Chicago Courts refuse to help elderly 'Mortgage Rescue Scam' victim; make him homeless."

It is NOT without moment, Mr. Krawczyk, that Mr. Shelton is a repeat offender, having done various types of mortgage scams, on at least two occasions, resulting in disciplinary action (and disbarment) by both the IDFPR and then later the IARDC. (Where there's smoke, there's fire.) But, respectfully, Younes is (in my opinion) even more guilty, since he is the one benefiting (or trying to benefit) from this conversion.

6) After sitting and meditating, I discovered that I appear to have overlooked mentioning three (3) VERY BIG problems, so I wish to amend for my oversight here: ((#1)) First off, Daniggelis has previously told me that Shelton had alleged, in open court, that he (Daniggelis) signed something that he didn't. ((#2)) Secondly, Daniggelis has previously told me that Lisa Vitek, Shelton's wife, supported this alleged false testimony. ((#3)) Thirdly, Daniggelis also told me that Younes made a false statement (either in court or deposition - I rightly don't recall), in which Younes claimed that Daniggelis had an injured back & said that he'd chosen to skip show up for the closing (as I recall). Daniggelis said that he was never able to properly inform the court of these three (3) perjury-type statements, since (of course) Daniggelis does not know how to file court pleadings as do I. (And, I'm guessing that Galic was too busy to file said affidavits, but that is only a guess: I can not speak for Galic.)

This point here is key: Coming from me, as you know, this is mere "hearsay," and the fact that my Affidavit in the 3 pending cases (Civil, Chancery, and Law Divisions) was witnessed & notarised by an actual notary public in Polk County, Florida, only makes MY various statements "official," meaning it is "verified" hearsay, but, at the end of the day, *my* claims that Daniggelis was VERY, VERY upset at being lied about no less than 3 times (you'd be mad if three lawyers/etc. lied about you, too, wouldn't you?) is still only hearsay.

For that reason, I believe it appropriate to speak not only to Mr. More, but also to Mr. Daniggelis, and get it "official," straight from the horse's mouth. While Mr. Galic, who is representing Daniggelis *pro bono*, is justified in being upset at "one more thing" to take his limited time, I trust that he'll cooperate with you in communicating with Daniggelis, should you need to get more details or an official statement.

Finally, as I've stated in my reply to you, I could be wrong about my claims that no consideration (payment) was made -- or, for that matter, *any* of my claims of fact, or conclusions at law, I'll be glad to admit wrong -- if and ONLY if I'm wrong. (Remember: I admitted to Hon. Michael F. Otto, the associate judge in Chancery overseeing one of Daniggelis' cases, that I was wrong about my claims to a right to a telephonic hearing, and I'll admit wrong to you, too, but not simply because you say so: Only if I am *actually* wrong, and it can be shown to me.)

You raised a number of point in your February reply, Mr. Krawczyk, and some were correct, but others incorrect. I replied to each and every concern that you raised. Please find attached my reply, in PDF format -- *and cross-posted online to said websites, linked above* - as well as sent to you by hard-copy, and received & signed for, this morning, by the front-desk receptionist, and signed for by an "L.MEDINA."

PS: I got an AOL notification that PMSA136@aol.com, which was for Paul Shelton, is no longer a valid email address, so I'm updating my email on this head, removing said email address, and resending.~~GWW//

Very truly yours,

Gordon Wayne Watts, editor-in-chief, *The Register*

www.GordonWayneWatts.com / www.GordonWatts.com

BS, The Florida State University, Biological & Chemical Sciences;

Class of 2000, double major with honours

AS, United Electronics Institute, Class of 1988, Valedictorian

821 Alicia Road, Lakeland, FL 33801-2113

Home:(863)688-9880 Work: (863)686-3411 Voice&FAX:(863)687-6141 Cell:(863)409-2109

See also: http://Gordon_Watts.Tripod.com/consumer.html

Gww1210@aol.com ; Gww12102002@Yahoo.com

Truth is the strongest, most stable force in the Universe

Truth doesn't change because you disbelieve it

TRUTH doesn't bend to the will of tyrants<http://GordonWayneWatts.com> / <http://GordonWatts.com>

Get Truth

"First, they [Nazis] came for the Jews. I was silent. I was not a Jew. Then they came for the Communists. I was silent. I was not a Communist. Then they came for the trade unionists. I was silent. I was not a trade unionist. Then they came for me. There was no one left to speak for me."(Martin Niemöller, given credit for a quotation in The Harper Religious and Inspirational Quotation Companion, ed. Margaret Pepper(New York: Harper &Row, 1989), 429 -as cited on page 44, note 17,of Religious Cleansing in the American Republic, by Keith A. Fornier,Copyright 1993, by Liberty, Life, and Family Publications.

Some versions have Mr. Niemöller saying: "Then they came for the Catholics, and I didn't speak up, because I was a Protestant"; other versions have him saying that they came for Socialists, Industrialists, schools, the press,and/or the Church; however, it's certain he DID say SOMETHING like this. Actually, they may not have come for the Jews first, as it's more likely they came for the prisoners, mentally handicapped, &other so-called "inferiors" first -as historians tell us-so they could get "practiced up"; however, they did come for them -due to the silence of their neighbors -and due in part to their own silence. So: *"Speak up now or forever hold your peace!"-GWW*