

Gordon Watts < gww1210@gmail.com>

## Atty. Richard Indyke -still confused regarding Daniggelis

gww1210@aol.com <gww1210@aol.com>

Sun, Apr 1, 2018 at 7:42 PM

To: rindyke@sbcglobal.net

Cc: gww1210@aol.com, gww1210@gmail.com, Gww12102002@yahoo.com, gordonwaynewatts@aol.com, gordonwaynewatts@hotmail.com

## Attorney Indyke:

I know you didn't want me to reply to your email, and I understand the frustration of getting unwanted correspondence (junk mail in my mailbox, spam email in my email, unsolicited phone calls from telemarketers, etc.).

Please rest assured that I am trying my best to accommodate your request to not bother you further (for 3 reasons: ((A)) LEGAL: It might be illegal to send you unwanted correspondence; ((B)) MORAL: I am a Christian, and while I'm not perfect I do have a conscience, and don't wish to vex or irritate anyone, be they friend, enemy, or total stranger; and, ((C)) PRACTICAL: As a practical matter, the less 1st class U.S. Postal Mail I have to send out to my "Service List" in my 3 pending cases in the ILLINOIS 1st Appellate Court, the \*less\* costs to me. [[These 3 cases: 1-18-0091, the mortgage fraud appeal, 1-18-0538, the Art.VI,Sec.6 Mandamus action, and 1-18-0572, the appeal of the intervention, fee waiver, & record prep denial.]]

However, after consulting with several legal scholars, they inform me that *any* party to the lower court case (such as U.S. Bank, one of your clients) is automatically a party to any appeals (such as my 2 appeals and my 1 original action, above), and must be served: I am bound by the Law and the Rules of the Court regarding Service and "Certificate of Service" of the parties of record.

If you wish to stop receiving "Service Copies" of my filings in these 3 cases, I have 4 possible solutions:

- 1) You might inform me that you consent \*\*ONLY\*\* to electronic service (email), and waive your right to receive hard copies (1st class mail, 3rd-party commercial carrier, etc.), and, as I did with one other
- 2) If you inform me that you no longer represent US Bank at all, that might work, but I won't be convinced unless you can either show me in the record who represents them, or get "leave" (permission) of the court to be excused as their attorney. I did look at both the Chancery https://w3.courtlink.lexisnexis.com/cookcounty/Finddock.asp?DocketKey=CAAH0CH0CJHDl0CH and Law https://courtlink.lexisnexis.com/cookcounty/FindDock.aspx?NCase=2007-CH-29738&SearchType=0&Database=2&case\_no=&PLtype=1&sname=&CDate= Division cases in GMAC v Daniggelis, et al., case number 2007-CH-29738, and rest assured, I looked for another attorney or firm who picked up and "finished" where you left off, but I could not identify any firm. The closest I came was Pierce & Associates, but they represented the plaintiff, GMAC, not U.S. Bank. [If you can find the new firm in the record, I'll replace you with them on the serice list.]
- 3) If there is some case law or rules of the court (IL Supreme Court rules, local rules of the 1st appellate court, etc.), or statutory law that I have missed that can show how you might be excused as a party to the appeal, when your client was a party in the lower court case, I am open to reviewing that.
- 4) As hinted in '2' above, you might petition the court for leave drop out of the case; I have provided the case numbers, and such a motion is quick & easy.

If you reply, and help me out, here, I can accommodate your request the best, but if you don't reply, I will enter into the record your request here, and interpret & infer this as a request to stop receiving hard copies, and -- instead -- to get email

service only, and to so note in my Certificate of Service and my Service List.

P.S.: I am sorry for any inconvenience I have quite obviously caused you, but, Mr. Indyke, with all due respect, Mr. Daniggelis is like a grandfather to me, and besides the very obvious monies I'm owed for services rendered (which give rise to my Intervention action), I am very upset that his house & land (and with hundreds of thousands of dollars of equity, as other litigants have documented in the Chancery case) were stolen via Mortgage Fraud, making him homeless, and forcing him to sleep in his rental van — even if only for a short period of time — and all that without being paid a dime for his family house, which has been in his family for generations.

Thank you for any clarification you can afford me, in other words, I'm trying to help you, but I "need a little help" to do so. [[Please "reply to all" just to make sure I get your response.]] With kind regard, I am, Sincerely,

## **Gordon Wayne Watts**

----Original Message----

From: Richard Indyke <rindyke@sbcglobal.net>
To: Gordon Wayne Watts <gww1210@aol.com>

Sent: Sat, Mar 31, 2018 7:53 pm

Subject: Re: Atty. Richard Indyke -still confused regarding Daniggelis

I was co-counsel in the Chancery case, the foreclosure firm finished the matter. If there is an appeal in the Chancery case, I never was served with notice. The record gives you the name of the other firm that filed the foreclosure. Please do not reply.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 30, 2018, at 4:40 PM, gww1210@aol.com wrote:

Attorney Indyke -- thank you, again, for replying earlier today, but when I was checking my email earlier, I was using my tiny "smartphone" and could not intelligently reference your reply. - In any event, I'm still a bit confused about your role (and I threw you into the Service List in order to keep The Courts in a good mood, and show them I'm doing my job). I looked into my old archives, & it appears you used to represent US Bank Nat'l Ass'n. --

- \*-\* Is US Bank a party in either the of the "trial court" cases? (E.g., Chancery or the Law Division case, both with the same case number? (E.g., 2007-CH-29738)?? (I am not sure of the rules of the appellate court, as to whether a party to a trial court case is automatically a party in an appeal, but I assumed ""yes" just to play it safe & be on the safe side.
- \*-\* Did the courts ever excuse your client, US Bank, as a party?
- \*-\* Also, did the courts ever give you leave (permission) to stop representing them? (I'm not sure if that's even needed, but if they're a party in the lower courts, and you're permitted by the court rules to drop them as a client, then I might be justified in not serving you pleadings in this case.) *Sorry for any headaches* -- but, even in a worst case scenario, I would end up serving you non-relevant court docs (which is OK, as IS public record, and NOT confidential), and you'd end up with "useless" but interesting reading materials. (If that's the case, my apologies, but I don't want to get on the bad side of the courts: They ARE bigger than me.)

Thank you, in advance, if you can clarify the current situation on these matters.

Gordon Wayne Watts

821 Alicia Road, Lakeland, FL 33801-2113

PH: (863) 688-9880 [home] or (863) 409-2109 [cell]

Web: http://www.GordonWatts.com / http://www.GordonWayneWatts.com

Email: Gww1210@aol.com / Gww1210@gmail.com

----/

## ----Original Message----

From: gww1210 < gww1210@aol.com>

To: Richard Indyke <rindyke@sbcglobal.net>

Cc: Gordon Wayne Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>; Gordon Wayne Watts <gww1210@aol.com>

Sent: Fri, Mar 30, 2018 12:49 pm

Subject: RE: Daniggelis

Thank you, Richard, for the update.

Gordon

**Sent from AOL Mobile Mail** 

On Thursday, March 29, 2018 Richard Indyke < rindyke@sbcglobal.net > wrote:

Dear sir I am not representing anyone in the case on appeal. My new address is 111S Washington Ave suite 105 Park Ridge,II 60068

Sent from my iPhone