



Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>

Record on Appeal in 1-18-0091: Quote requested

gww1210 <gww1210@gmail.com>

Mon, Oct 15, 2018 at 11:25 AM

To: "Patricia A. O'Brien (Circuit Court)" <paobrien@cookcountycourt.com>

Cc: "Timothy Evans (Judiciary)" <timothy.evans@cookcountyiil.gov>, "James Flannery (Judiciary)" <james.flannery@cookcountyiil.gov>, "Diane Shelley (Judiciary)" <diane.shelley@cookcountyiil.gov>, "Gww1210@aol.com" <Gww1210@aol.com>, gww1210@gmail.com

Bcc: gww12102002@yahoo.com, gordonwaynewatts@hotmail.com, gordonwaynewatts@aol.com

Thank you, Patricia, for your speedy reply, replying even during your time-off. Anyhow, since Illinois case law on Intervention is still current, and since i am (very, very) indigent, my rights to sue or defend are being denied. While i understand your limitations here, i am not unmindful that you are an attorney, and a very intelligent one at that, and, as such, you know that the appeals court is blatantly wrong in its claims of lack of, for example, jurisdiction to issue a Rule 321 order limiting the record to a manageable size, or appellate authority to uphold the John Hancock case cited below. Indeed, the elephant in the room is that the court had authority then to hear an appeal on Intervention, but not now? Oh, really? Things like that generate a bad name for the judicial branch. Anyhow, yes, since the docket alone is huge (takes several minutes to load on my slow connections), the file would be huge, i am guessing, several thousand dollars. If i had the money to pay for it, i might pay for a full record, but to ask me to make a down payment without knowing full price not only violates my religious beliefs, as my Holy Book requires i "count the cost" before diving in (Luke 14:25-34 of the Holy Bible <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Luke+14%3A25-34&version=NIV>), but moreover, it is very unwise.

If the court thinks that John Hancock is no longer valid case law, or that it has recently lost its Rule 321 appellate authority, who doesn't it issue a ruling receding from these holdings or otherwise strike R.321 as invalid or Unconstitutional?

I did fill out the form you described, and filed it with you, albeit sans payment, because i am indigent, but i am willing to pay what i can afford, maybe a few hundred dollars. Your court would be better off getting some money from me. If you don't believe i filed the required paperwork to request prep of the record, look at *my* docket, posted at these 2 mirrors, paying close attention to Exhibit B of my 1/22/2018 filing in this case, a fee waiver application. I did make a formal request to prepare the record, several times,...see also my 1/19/2018 docketing statement, and note exhibit B here also: Your court had the proper paperwork, and the want of prosecution was not my fault. Observe:

<https://www.gordonwatts.com/MortgageFraudCourtDocs/DOCKET-MortgageFraudCase.html>

or:

<https://www.gordonwaynewatts.com/MortgageFraudCourtDocs/DOCKET-MortgageFraudCase.html>

I can not blame you, Patricia, but neither am i to blame, and if i can't get a fair day in court, then not only is this denying my due process, it also harms the reputation of the court, which i hope to avoid, as i am not spiteful or vengeful.

In conclusion, if the courts reverse their heavyweight ruling, allowing me to proceed In Forma Pauperis, they will get a glass half full, as i am willing to pay something. If they force me to buy the whole record in this open & shut case, you will have to consider asking the clerk's office and/or the Chief Judge's office (whomever oversees this) to try out my suggestion of allowing litigants to access the same portal as the circuit judges, and use *that* as Common Law Record (on appeal), which might not only save my elderly friend's life, but certainly save your court millions of dollars in man-hours of labour, since your office would not need to prepare the Record on Appeal. Please inquire about both of my suggestions, and please follow-up with me on this. The current trajectory harms all parties immensely, and should be avoided at all costs. Thank you, in advance, for your inquiry in this regard.

Regardless of Judge Flannery's ruling, wrongly denying my rights to sue or defend, I *did* fill out the formal request, and filed it with the court, as you requested. It's your move.

P S.: Since i almost won the Terri Schiavo case ALL BY MYSELF, doing better than Gov. Jeb Bush (he lost 7-0, and i lost 4-3 before the same panel of Fla. Supreme Court justices... Google me or review the court's docket...) i think i know a bit about law, more than most attorneys who did nowhere as well as me:

<https://www.floridasupremecourt.org/clerk/dispositions/2005/2/03-2420reh.pdf>

compare with here, where Bush did far worse than me:

<https://www.floridasupremecourt.org/clerk/dispositions/2004/10/04-925reh.pdf>

With Kind Regards, I am, Sincerely,

Gordon W. Watts

Sent from my MetroPCS 4G LTE Android Device

----- Original message -----

From: "Patricia A. O'Brien (Circuit Court)" <paobrien@cookcountycourt.com>

Date: 10/15/18 10:17 AM (GMT-05:00)

To: Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>

Cc: "Timothy Evans (Judiciary)" <timothy.evans@cookcountyil.gov>, "James Flannery (Judiciary)" <james.flannery@cookcountyil.gov>, "Diane Shelley (Judiciary)" <diane.shelley@cookcountyil.gov>, Gww1210@aol.com, "Gww1210@gmail.com" <gww1210@gmail.com>

Subject: RE: Record on Appeal in 1-18-0091: Quote requested

Good Morning Gordon,

As you know from our numerous prior discussions, the Civil Appeals Division does not prepare Records on Appeal unless the Request for Preparation of Record on Appeal form has been efiled and the statutory fee paid.

Pursuant to Illinois Supreme Court Rule 321, you may only limit the Record on Appeal by stipulation of the parties or by order of court. Also, as you know, my division is required to prepare Records in accordance with the Supreme Court Rules and Standards for Preparation of Electronic Records- you are not able to direct us otherwise.

We do not provide estimates in advance of the Record being prepared because we have no means to calculate it until the images have been reviewed and prepared. However, as you are well aware, this case is eleven years old and was several boxes in size many years ago.

The Record on Appeal in this case will not be prepared by this Wednesday because you never filed your Request form.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 15, 2018 4:45:01 AM
To: Patricia A. O'Brien (Circuit Court); civilappeals (Circuit Court)
Cc: Timothy Evans (Judiciary); James Flannery (Judiciary); Diane Shelley (Judiciary); Gww1210@aol.com; Gww1210@gmail.com
Subject: Record on Appeal in 1-18-0091: Quote requested

CIVIL APPEALS DIVISION: Richard J. Daley Center, 50 West Washington St., Room 801
Chicago, IL 60602 – (312) 603-5406, Hours: 8:30a.m.-4:30p.m., Mon-Fri, Excl. Holidays
Attention: Deputy Chief, Patricia O'Brian, PAOBrien@CookCountyCourt.com

Cc: Chief Judge and assigned judges on Circuit Court level

Attorney O'Brien:

As you are aware, the Appeals Court has granted numerous extensions of time in my appeal of 2007-CH-29783, where I have asserted Rights of Intervention. While I'm very displeased (and rightly confused) that the court has claimed it somehow or another lacks appellate jurisdiction to limit the record on appeal or address intervention -- in spite of clear case law to the contrary (see e.g., 05/03/2018 order of 1st Appellate Court where it disclaims jurisdiction "to order the Cir. Ct. to allow Watts leave to intervene, grant a fee waiver, or to prepare the record on appeal & transmit to App. Ct. in this matter (1-18-0572)," an appeal of Judge Flannery's order regarding the sister case, 1-18-0091 -- Contra: City of Chicago v. John Hancock Mutual Life Ins. Co., 127 Ill.App.3d 140, 144 (1st Dist. 1984) -- which the 1st App.Ct. wrote on Intervention or, perhaps, Rule 321, which allows the Appellate Court to limit Contents of the Record on Appeal to only a few Sine Qua Non required filings, sufficient for This Court to easily & quickly review & decide the case)...

...nonetheless, The First Appellate Court has mercifully & graciously extended time twice (the 3/28/18 order extending time to June 12, 2018, and the 07/25/18 order extending time to October 17, 2018 to file the record on appeal).

I am aware of the limits of your authority, and you can rest assured that I'm not asking you to prepare a Rule 321 "limited" record (which can only be allowed by the circuit or appellate courts), but as both courts, in their infinite wisdom, have decided to deny my request for a limited record (which I might be able to pay for), this limits me to an "all or nothing" approach at my appeal... and let me remind you, Patricia, that a lot is riding on my appeal. Since Daniggelis' attorney has been want in prosecution of his appeal, it is dead, and mine is the only live case, and, if I lose, not only my "interests" in intervention are denied their day in court, but my elderly friend

remains homeless, which, at his age, no doubt, jeopardizes his health and life.

Therefore, I wish to get my fair day in court. To that end, while I know you can't do much else, I will ask you to do what you can do, and that is this: Please give me a quote, that is, an estimate, on the preparation of the record on appeal, which, by the way, is due this Wednesday, 17 October 2018, unless the court grants me another extension of time.

We just got evicted, and right before that, some nutcase drilled a half-inch drill into my gas tank, and I had to drop several thousand dollars into security cameras, motion lights, etc., and, being unemployed (we're being evicted, leaving me no time to work), those hardships took the monies I might have spent on a "full" record on appeal. Thus, I repeat my request: I request an estimate of the full record on appeal (or some method wherein I might purchase a partial record, which I might be able to afford).

Alternatively, I propose that the record be posted electronically, without alteration (which would alleviate your clerks of the many hours of preparation), in other words, when I file a motion before, for example one of the judges in this Law Division case, the judges can read the full record electronically ****without**** your civil appeals division having to prepare ****anything****. If you did this for me, this would ((#1)) save me loads of monies ((#2)) possibly save elderly Daniggelis' life, as he was made homeless ((#3)) save your clerks hours of needless preparation and ((#4)) set precedent to save Cook County Circuit Court untold millions of dollars, since allowing citizens the same portal interface as judges would work: It worked for judges, and would work for litigants.

Anyhow, the appeals court is probably wondering if I am slack in my desire to prosecute this case, but my email to you, and any response you might give, are slated to be put in my appendix in my upcoming Motion to Extend Time, so that I may show that I am not want for prosecution, as Mr. Daniggelis' attorney was. Thank you, in advance, for giving me a reasonable, moral, and fair quote to prepare the record in a timely manner, in this case which has dragged on for too many decades, in the which no one has shown cause why Daniggelis' house could be snatched from him, on admitted fraud (see my pleading where I quote Judge Otto) without him having been paid a dime.

P.S.: No matter how frustrated I am with the 3 levels of court (which includes your Supreme Court's treatment of my recent motion in file #:123481), I must show proper ****and complete**** respect for the courts, particularly the appellate court, which has twice extended time to file the record, and to that end, I am making a special effort to grant the appeals court's request to get the record, and transmit it to them--in order to meet the court half-way, and do my part to make their jobs (they are people too, you know), as easy as is reasonably possible. And, if there is anything I can do to make **your** job easier, I will, but I am maxed out on several levels, but to recap:

Record on Appeal in 1-18-0091: Quote requested, and electronic miracles welcome, as described above.

--

Gordon Wayne Watts, editor-in-chief, The Register

* www.GordonWayneWatts.com* <<http://www.gordonwaynewatts.com/>>* / **
www.GordonWatts.com* <<http://www.gordonwatts.com/>>

*A**LWAYS FAITHFUL - To God*

*BS, The Florida State University, Biological & Chemical Sciences
 AS, United Electronics Institute*

*821 Alicia Road, Lakeland, FL 33801-2113
 Home: (863) 688-9880 **Work: (863) 686-3411 Voice&FAX: (863) 687-6141**Cell: (863)409-2109

See also: **http://Gordon_Watts.Tripod.com/consumer.html* <http://gordon_watts.tripod.com/consumer.html>

*Gww1210@aol.com ; Gww12102002@Yahoo.com *

Truth is the strongest, most stable force in the Universe

*Truth doesn't change because you disbelieve it**

TRUTH doesn't bend to the will of tyrants

**www.GordonWayneWatts.com* <<http://www.gordonwaynewatts.com/>>* / **
www.GordonWatts.com* <<http://www.gordonwatts.com/>>*

Get Truth.*

**"First, they [Nazis] came for the Jews. I was silent. I was not a Jew. Then they came for the Communists. I was silent. I was not a Communist. Then they came for the trade unionists. I was silent. I was not a trade unionist. Then they came for me. There was no one left to speak for me."
 (Martin Niemöller, given credit for a quotation in The Harper Religious and Inspirational Quotation Companion, ed. Margaret Pepper (New York: Harper & Row, 1989), 429 -as cited on page 44, note 17, of Religious Cleansing in the American Republic, by Keith A. Fournier, Copyright 1993, by Liberty, Life, and Family Publications.*

*Some versions have Mr. Niemöller saying: "Then they came for the Catholics, and I didn't speak up, because I was a Protestant"; other versions have him saying that they came for Socialists, Industrialists, schools, the press, and/or the Church; however, it's certain he DID say SOMETHING like this. Actually, they may not have come for the Jews first, as it's more likely they came for the prisoners, mentally handicapped, & other so-called "inferiors" first -as historians tell us -so they could get "practiced up"; however, they did come for them -due to the silence of their neighbors -and due in part to their own silence. So: "**Speak up now or forever hold your peace!"-GWW