



Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>

Ross, short private e-mail: You gave your word to support Scott Franklin, but I don't recall you having done so. Thx. ☺ ☐

Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>

Mon, Sep 28, 2020 at 6:47 AM

To: info <info@rossspano.com>, Morgan@rossspano.com, "Rep. Ross Spano" <RepRossSpano@mail.house.gov>

Cc: SF@votescottfranklin.com, Scott@votescottfranklin.com, Scott.franklin@lakelandgov.net, "Mutz, Bill"

<bill.mutz@lakelandgov.net>, Dena DeCamp <ddecampgop@gmail.com>, am.stuckeylaw@gmail.com,

feshoemaker@me.com, jc@kelleybuickgmc.com, "JC Martin, Polk GOP" <jc.martin@polkgop.org>,

"Gww1210@aol.com" <gww1210@aol.com>, Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>

Bcc: "Gww12102002@yahoo.com" <gww12102002@yahoo.com>, Gordon Watts

<gordonwaynewatts@hotmail.com>, Gordon Watts <gordonwaynewatts@aol.com>, thirst4justice

<thirst4justice@yahoo.com>

RE: https://facebook.com/story.php?story_fbid=1989568241185717&id=100003976810202

Ross, this is Gordon: You are correct: Abe Lincoln's advice was spot-on correct: A house divided against itself can not stand.

However, I notice a division or two that will doom our house divided, if not fixed -- and fixed fast. I remember vividly when both you and Scott Franklin *both* swore a blood oath before The Sovereign Lord Jesus, at IGNITED CHURCH in North Lakeland, at time-stamps 1:19:15 to 1:19:40, of this video of your debate:

** <https://Vimeo.com/445888418>

** <https://Web.Archive.org/web/20200928102712/https://vimeo.com/445888418>

--namely, that you both would publicly support the GOP Primary winner on Wednesday, Aug. 19, 2020 (the day after the GOP Primary).

Did you ever keep your word? I do not recall you having done so: God is not mocked-- what we sow, we will reap, for a house divided will not stand.

While I voted FOR you and AGAINST Scott Franklin, nonetheless, I made the same blood oath before The Lord Jesus. I have kept my word and fulfilled my vows and oath-- insofar as I've vocally and repeatedly supported Frankin. (And will do so until election day, God-willing.) Will you (or have you already) also kept your word on this issue?

Thanks for clarifying here. :) 😊 😊

Gordon Wayne Watts in Plant City FLORIDA

P.S.: Scott faces MANY challenges that you don't face, and has a narrow road to victory. Please bear with me but a moment longer, and let me list key highlights:

(1) Scott's challenger, popular WFTS investigator, Alan Cohn, is a much more formidable opponent than that lady you faced and barely best.

(2) Cohn is known in Hillsborough County (as were you), but Scott is known little in Hillsborough County as his base is Lakeland.

(3) Hillsborough County, the lion's share of votes, is Blue-leaning Liberal Democrat territory.

(4) Either you never kept your word to endorse/support him-- or else maybe I missed it. (But even if you did keep your word before The Lord, it was so quiet and weak that I never heard it.)

(5) While you all know me to be a Conservative anti-drug science expert (with my Biological and Chemical sciences with honours degrees viz: <https://GordonWatts.com/education> or <https://GordonWayneWatts.com/education>), nonetheless, after much deep thought, I support medical marijuana.

Why do I mention this? ANSWER: Nikki Fried was the only Democrat to win statewide last election-- when we GOP Republican saw a sweep-- and you know how she beat down her GOP opponent like a monkey nut? That's right: She supported medical marijuana (which is distinct from *recreational* pot).

In fact, Rick Scott ALMOST lost-- but won in a squeaker because Bill Nelson did the same stupid thing that Nikki's GOP opponent did-- and that was to bury his head in the sand like a clueless Ostrich bird-- and IGNORE nationwide polls like THIS ONE that show a NINETY-ONE (91%) PERCENT support nationwide:

"Meanwhile, an overwhelming majority of U.S. adults (91%) say marijuana should be legal either for medical *and* recreational use (59%) or that it should be legal just for medical use (32%). Fewer than one-in-ten (8%) prefer to keep marijuana illegal in all circumstances, according to the survey, conducted Sept. 3 to 15 on Pew Research Center's [American Trends Panel](#)."

Source: <https://www.google.com/amp/s/www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2019/11/14/americans-support-marijuana-legalization/%3famp=1>

Indeed: If we can prescribe Desoxyn (prescription METH) to CHILDREN-- and if both alcohol and cigarettes are available over the counter, why can't GOP candidates get vocally behind this popular-- and much safer-- alternative (which would be regulated by prescription)? Does the GOP just love losing?

(6) While you all know me to be a fiscal Conservative and AGAINST any Liberal Free Handouts (Free College, Loan Forgiveness, etc. --For details, just spend 30 minutes or so reviewing the front-page news of my eponymous and namesake blogs-- viz: <https://GordonWatts.com> or <https://GordonWayneWatts.com>), nonetheless, after much deep thought, I support H.R.2648 and it's Senate companion bill S.1414-- the student loan bankruptcy equality bills.

--A-- Since we're all Christians here, let me remind you that if we don't support our own business loan contracts having bankruptcy RETROACTIVELY removed AFTER we've signed them -- and we would be LIVID if some corrupt judge RETROACTIVELY reversed all of Donald Trump's numerous (and HUGE) business loan bankruptcy discharges (thus ruining him as a man), Sovereign King Jesus would NOT approve of THIS fate being forced upon college students-- a clear violation of Jesus's golden rule.

That right there should be "end of story," but besides *moral* bases, there exist Constitutional bases:

--B-- Look up both the Uniformity clause, the Contract clause and the ex post facto Law clauses of the U.S. Constitution-- and note that recent changes in U.S. Bankruptcy code violate all these and then some. (Does the U.S. Constitution even matter any more to the GOP?)

--C-- Besides moral and Constitutional issues, I see yguuuge Economic problems with failing to pass H.R.2648 and S.1414 into law:

Economics 101: Bankruptcy (think of it as an Economic 2nd Amendment) operates as a Conservative Free Market check on obscene excesses in loan limits-- by making the lender (the U.S. Department of Education) think twice before loaning out monies using YOUR taxpayer dollars to make and guarantee college loans-- to students, a vast majority of whom will NEVER repay these vastly-inflated monopoly-based price-gouging unreasonably unaffordably high tuition costs of college.

[Editorial addendum: While I oppose the Loan Forgiveness proposal, the petition asking President Trump to use Executive Order to forgive all Federally-held student loans-- and which now has ALMOST A MILLION SIGNATURES, <https://Change.org/p/pandemic-economic-stimulus-cancel-student-loans-by-executive-order> -- MANY more than my similar bankruptcy uniformity petition here: <https://Change.org/p/u-s-senators-we-cant-address-covid-19-due-to-pork-spending-lawmakers-grant-trump-spending-cuts-request> -- that petition would actually NOT be dangerous, NOT cost a dime of taxpayer money-- and NOT add one red cent to Nat'l Debt. Why is that?? ANSWER: We own, not guarantee, almost all of these loans -- meaning we've already paid off the rich Liberal colleges for an over-rated over-priced poor education. In fact, such an Executive Order would SAVE trillions long-term, as it would make the Dept of Ed THINK TWICE before continuing to bleed us to death -- and force colleges to live within their means like they did a few decades ago when college was free-- or at least very affordable.]

** <https://PolitiFact.com/factchecks/2016/feb/09/bernie-s/was-college-once-free-united-states-and-it-oversea/>

In fact, even our friend, GOP Senator, Rick Scott (R-FL) agrees that college WAS once VERY affordable-- proving it can be again:

** <https://www.RickScott.senate.gov/sen-rick-scott-announces-proposals-lower-cost-higher-education>

** <https://Web.Archive.org/web/20200124171726/https://www.RickScott.senate.gov/sen-rick-scott-announces-proposals-lower-cost-higher-education>

If you don't look at MY petitions for student loan bankruptcy equality bills H.R.2648 and S.1414, then voters will either demand something much more radical (like loan forgiveness and free college-- a MUCH MORE POPULAR petition)-- or else, if Scott Franklin says no to both of these options, then the vast Liberal majority of Hillsborough County voters will give Scott Franklin a beat down like Pelosi and Democrats did to GOP lawmakers in the House or like Nikki Fried did to her opponent... what's his name? Oh, we've forgotten: He got a beat down and lost.

--D-- Besides moral, Constitutional, and Economic issues, I see the worst problem that Scott Franklin faces if we are a "House Divided," on the aforementioned --including refusal to pass H.R.2648 and S.1414 into law:

44.7 Million Americans with student debt and another 20-30 Million who are cosigners, family, and friends, will vote a BLUE WAVE of Democrats-- and sweep Alan Cohn into office as upset victor and "Congressman Alan Cohn (D-FL-15)," to Ross Spano come January 2021.

Cohn is an ardent supporter of abortion (placing the knife into the unborn baby's head), and yguuuge minimum wage hikes --inflationary, hello? -- Heck, not even loan forgiveness is inflationary, and I'm not even asking that: Merely fast, repeated, and vocal support for medical marijuana and student loan bankruptcy uniformity-- as The U.S. Constitution, JESUS'ES Golden Rule, sound economic principles-- Oh, and like 70 or 80 MILLION American voters who have or cosign to student debt -- which WAS affordable but is NOT now.

In conclusion, Scott's path to victory is, in my estimation, about 51% if ALL CC RECIPIENTS correctly AND SPEEDILY address ALL SIX (6) ACHILLES HEEL WEAKNESSES ABOVE --plus maybe a 7th: Grant Trump's request for pork spending cuts request outlined in my blogs, namely STOP or vastly reduce using TRILLIONS of taxpayer dollars to make and guarantee college loans collegiate loans -- and a bonus 8th item: Grant Trump's request to upgrade/protect our vulnerable power and communications grid:

* <https://www.Forbes.com/sites/zackfriedman/2019/03/19/trump-proposes-limits-on-student-loans/amp/>

* <https://Web.Archive.org/web/20190320180938/https://www.forbes.com/sites/zackfriedman/2019/03/19/trump-proposes-limits-on-student-loans/amp/>

March 26, 2019 Executive Order:

* <https://www.Whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/executive-order-coordinating-national-resilience->

[electromagnetic-pulses/](#)

* <https://Web.Archive.org/web/20200927022133/https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/executive-order-coordinating-national-resilience-electromagnetic-pulses/>

Scott Franklin is in deep water right about now -- but despite his repeated refusals to reply to my press inquiries (discussed in front-page news of my eponymous and namesake blogs), I am a Christian and forgive him (maybe he was simply too busy), but I am keeping my vows and blood oaths (paid for by Jesus's life-long suffering incl. on the cross-- but really life-long examples and role model: 1 Peter 2:21; John 13:15, etc.). WILL YOU ALL KEEP YOUR WORD, TOO?

If we screw this up on even 1 of the 8 points above, it may cause irreparable harm to both the GOP as well as the candidacy of both President Trump and Commissioner Scott Franklin.

Is it worth the risk? (One day after election, we DON'T get a "do over.")

I said that this was a "short private e-mail," and as it may seem otherwise, I will explain:

(i) Relative to the importance of my e-mail, it is short.

(ii) Relative to my effort typing on a small, cheap smartphone-- your reading is shorter (easier) than my writing. (If you don't believe me, switch places and write a compellingly strong letter-- trying to save a rich, powerful neighbour, like me-- without getting paid -- and missing paying work to find time to.)

(iii) It is indeed private: With only 2 principle recipients and 4 local GOP leaders (and Bcc copied to my archives), and NO other recipients, my email politely asking you to keep your word (point 1) to endorse Scott Franklin is indeed "private." To help out point #1, I also ask for ALL of us (self included) to do seven other things to increase likelihood probability odds of the GOP winning here and elsewhere (points 2-7 address weaknesses that must be addressed to protect the Senate, protect President Trump, and regain The House).

You gave your word to support Scott Franklin, but I don't recall you having done so. Plz clarify us. Thx. ☺ ☺

Will we keep our vows before The Lord-- one and ALL -- on all eight points? Yes, or no?


Thanks for your help; time is short: Tarry not.

G.W.///


5 attachments



Screenshot_20200928-043832.png
515K



Screenshot_20200928-041241.png
651K



Screenshot_20200928-041238.png
653K



Screenshot_20200928-051419.png
172K



Screenshot_20200928-051122.png
158K