## From the Desk of: Gordon Wayne Watts

821 Alicia Road - Lakeland, FL 33801-2113

H: (863) 688-9880 - C: (863) 409-2109 - W: (863) 686-3411 or: (863) 687-6141

Email: Gww1210@aol.com / Gww1210@Gmail.com
Web: www.GordonWatts.com / www.GordonWayneWatts.com

**Hon. Diana Rosario, Cir. Judge,** Municipal Dept., Dist. 1, Daley Center, 50 W. Washington St., **Rm. 1302**, Chicago, Illinois 60602 – PH: (312) 603-4864

*Cc:* **Hon. George F. Scully Jr., Cir. Judge,** Municipal Dept., Daley Center, 50 W. Washington St., **Rm. 1107**, Chicago, Illinois 60602 – PH: (312) 603-4536

Cc: Hon. Patricia O'Brien Sheahan, Cir. Judge, Municipal Dept., Daley Center, 50 W. Washington St., Rm. 400 Chicago, Illinois 60602 PH: (312) 603-2600

Re: 2014-M1-701473: <u>Atty. Joseph Younes, Esq., v. Richard B. Daniggelis</u>, Friday, **02 October 2015**– before the CIVIL DIVISION (Municipal Department, 1<sup>st</sup> District)

## **Dear Judge Rosario:**

As is my right under Due Process of the law, I filed an affidavit & other documents of fact and arguments at law, and (of course) a notice of motion for these –in the above-referenced case, which has been assigned to you; however, seeing that my motion was docketed but not ruled upon, it became very plainly obvious that the *clerks* saw my filings, but that you did not see them.

In other words, there was some sort of screw-up, and "the system" didn't work. (If even the judge can't see the filings, then this is the most serious 'Due Process' screw-up possible!) - My guess is that you were waiting for me to make a physical appearance to present my motion(s) (as is usually done), but, as I explain in my notice of motion, this is not possible.

Normally, one doesn't contact the judge, as this is (usually) a forbidden *ex parte* communication, but both Iris Reynolds, the Assistant Chief Deputy Clerk in LAW, as well as Lou Piochetta (chief assistant to Hon. Timothy C. Evans, the chief judge) assured me that I'm permitted to send you court filings. Moreover, many courts allow motions directed to one particular judge – for example, Rule 22 of the U.S. Supreme Court governs an application addressed to a single Justice. Lastly, your mailing address is posted on the court's website, which clearly implies that it's OK to mail the judge (that's you) – but, of course, I'm going to serve the other parties, to avoid an *ex parte* communication – and keep them in the loop, as service courtesy requires. (*I say 'usually' above, as there are occasional exceptions to the* 'ex parte' *rule, but that is off-topic, and, for the sake of brevity, I'll pass on this subject.*) Atty. Anjelko Galic and Atty. Benji Philips, both of whom represented Mr. Daniggelis, worked very hard, but both of them did NOT address several very key arguments (one of which included the fact that 2 different Warranty Deeds had <u>identical</u> signatures – an impossibility for a mere mortal, who can NOT sign his/her name the same exact way twice in a row! This evidences a photocopied signature, e.g., forgery fraud).

I know that I'm an "outsider" (a non-lawyer, and not someone who is directly connected with the case), but my father taught me when I was younger that any court, if it is trying to be honest and learn the actual truth, will listen to and consider evidence and input from ALL sources – yes, including myself. To that end, please find enclosed the following documents: My sworn & notarised AFFIDAVIT; Notice of motion; Motion for Amicus; proposed Amicus brief; & exhibits, dated Aug. 03, 2015. As well, please see the Aug. 16 Motion to Supplement the Record on Appeal (NO. 1-15-0662 before the First Appellate Court) with requisite notice of motion –and the Sept. 09 judicial notice of scary, new happenings, and proposed ORDERS. Please note: Your Court (M1-Civil) has "subject matter" jurisdiction on the merits of the case because the appeal was dismissed for want of prosecution (record on appeal not filed); however, even assuming arguendo the Appellate Court grants Mr. Galic's reinstatement motion, Your Court still retains jurisdiction on supplementing the record (which I hope you will do, seeing as my filings will eventually be included in any subsequent appeal anyhow –and, considering my delay in filing was excusable, and not my fault, as I show in my motion). With kind Regards, I am, Sincerely,

## **CERTIFICATE AND AFFIDAVIT OF DELIVERY (aka: Certificate of Service)**

The undersigned, hereby certifies under penalties of perjury as provided by law pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/1-109, that **the ENCLOSURES referenced above**, in the **LETTER to these judges** was **only** served upon Judges Rosario, Scully, and Sheahan, since all other parties were properly served the first time around.

The undersigned, hereby certifies under penalties of perjury as provided by law pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/1-109, that the above **LETTER to these judges** was delivered to the following parties as indicated:

Civil Division, ATTN: Joyce Martinez, Richard J. Daley Center, 50 West Washington Street, Room 601 Chicago, IL 60602, Hours: 8:30am–4:30pm, M-F (CST), Tel: (312) 603-5116 / 5122 / 5252

Hon. Timothy C. Evans, Chief Judge, ATTN: Lou Piochetta, chief assistant, Daley Center, 50 W. Washington St., Rm. 2600, Chicago, Illinois 60602, PH: (312) 603-6000

**Hon. Diana Rosario, Cir. Judge, Municipal Dept., Dist. 1,** Daley Center, 50 W. Washington St., Rm. 1302, Chicago, Illinois 60602 – PH: (312) 603-4864

Cc: Hon. George F. Scully Jr., Cir. Judge, Municipal Dept., Daley Center, 50 W. Washington St., Rm. 1107, Chicago, Illinois 60602 – PH: (312) 603-4536

Cc: Hon. Patricia O'Brien Sheahan, Cir. Judge, Municipal Dept., Daley Center, 50 W. Washington St., Rm. 400 Chicago, Illinois 60602 PH: (312) 603-2600

Andjelko Galic, Esq. (atty for Defendant, Daniggelis) (Atty No.: 33013) 134 N. LaSalle St., STE 1040 – Email: AndjelkoGalic@Hotmail.com CHICAGO IL, 60602 – (Cell: 312-217-5433, FAX: 312-986-1810, PH: 312-986-1510)

Mr. Robert J. More (Anselm45@Gmail.com) P.O. Box 6926, Chicago, IL, 60680-6926 – PH: (608) 445-5181

Peter King (Atty. for Joseph Younes) (Atty. No.: 48761) c/o: King Holloway LLC, 101 N. Wacker Dr., STE 2010, Chicago, IL 60606

KING HOLLOWAY LLC (Atty. for Joseph Younes) http://www.KingHolloway.com/contact.htm Attn: Peter M. King, Esq. PKing@khl-law.com
One North LaSalle Street, Suite 3040, Chicago, IL 60602
(312) 780-7302 / (312) 724-8218 / Direct: (312) 724-8221

Joseph Younes Law Offices / http://ChicagoAccidentAttorney.net 120 W Madison St Ste 1405, Chicago, IL 60602-4128 Phone: (312) 372-1122; Fax: (312) 372-1408 Email is thought to be: RoJoe69@yahoo.com per http://www.ZoomInfo.com/p/Joseph-Younes/599467626)

Craig A. Cronquist, Esq., c/o: Maloney & Craven, P.C. (Attys. for Joseph Younes) 2093 Rand Road, DesPlaines, IL 60016

Paul L. Shelton, Pro Se

Paul L. Shelton, Pro Se 3 Grant Square, SUITE #363 Hinsdale, IL 60521-3351

Paul L. Shelton 10 North Adams Street Hinsdale, IL 60521 PH: 630-986-5555

David J. Cooper 3622 N. Fremont St. Chicago, IL 60613

I, Gordon Wayne Watts, the undersigned, hereby certify under penalties of perjury as provided by law pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/1-109, that the above notice was served upon all parties listed above, **this 2nd day of October**, **2015** by the following methods:

<u>United State Postal Service</u>: Every party was served by USPS First Class Mail by depositing the service copies into the mail at the local post office.

See e.g., http://GordonWatts.com/MortgageFraud-Court-Filings/ or http://GordonWayneWatts.com/MortgageFraud-Court-Filings/ for FedEx and USPS receipts of past, present, and future filings in this cause.

**Internet:** I shall, when practically possible, post a TRUE COPY of this filing – and related filings – online at my official websites, infra.

Signature:	<b>D</b> (
	Date:

Gordon Wayne Watts, Amicus Curiae\* 821 Alicia Road Lakeland, FL 33801-2113

PH: (863) 688-9880

Web: www.GordonWatts.com / www.GordonWayneWatts.com

Email: Gww1210@aol.com / Gww1210@gmail.com

Date: Friday, 02 October 2015

\* Watts, acting counsel of record, is not a lawyer. Per Local Rule 2.1, "Notice of Hearing of Motions," Watts, appearing pro se, is giving notice of his motion