

#### Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 3:57 AM

To: Law@cookcountycourt.com, Chancery@cookcountycourt.com, CivilAppeals@cookcountycourt.com, "Patricia A. O'Brien (Circuit Court)" <PAOBrien@cookcountycourt.com>, "CCC.Lawcalendarw" <ccc.LawCalendarW@cookcountyil.gov>, "Diane Shelley (Judiciary)" <Diane.Shelley@cookcountyil.gov>, "James Flannery (Judiciary)" < James. Flannery@cookcountyil.gov>, Moshe. Jacobius@cookcountyil.gov, "Timothy Evans (Judiciary)" < Timothy.Evans@cookcountyil.gov>, Michael.Otto@cookcountyil.gov, Andjelko Galic <AndjelkoGalic@hotmail.com>, Andjelko Galic <AGForeclosureDefense@gmail.com>, Robert More <Anselm45@gmail.com>, thirstforjustice <ThirstForJustice@yahoo.com>, PKing@khl-law.com, Peter King <PKing@kingholloway.com>, RIndyke@sbcglobal.net, PMSA136@gmail.com, PLShelton@sbcglobal.net, JoeYounes@sbcglobal.net, Joseph Younes <RoJoe69@yahoo.com>, Hugh@hughdhowardlaw.com, HowardHughD@gmail.com, Hugh Howard <HughHowardWeb@gmail.com>, AmyM@merscorp.com, AmyM@mersinc.org, SandraT@merscorp.com, SandraT@mersinc.org, JanisS@merscorp.com, SharonH@merscorp.com, SharonH@mersinc.org, KarmelaL@merscorp.com, sherrell-dcs <Sherrell-Dcs@juno.com>, LegalServices@logiklegal.org, Sabrina@invisionunow.com, "Rosa M. Tumialán" <RTumialan@dykema.com>, DWilliams@dykema.com, JLewis@dykema.com, Erika Rhone@yahoo.com, Erhone@aflac.com, RhoneE@gmail.com, We Care <WeCare@associatedbank.com>, ShareHolders@associatedbank.com, ColleagueCare@associatedbank.com, "Gww1210@aol.com" <Gww1210@aol.com>, Gordon Watts <Gww1210@gmail.com>, slwelfeld@cookcountycourt.com, glpeterson@cookcountycourt.com, "Gww1210@aol.com" <gww1210@aol.com>, Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com> Cc: CG-ct21@fbi.gov, UWard@atg.state.il.us, ADougherty@atg.state.il.us, Webmaster@atg.state.il.us, USAILN.InternetWebmaster@usdoj.gov, USAILS.InternetWebmaster@usdoj.gov, RGolden@iardc.org, AKrawczyk@iardc.org, RGreggio@iardc.org, Information@iardc.org, Thomas.Simpson@cookcountyil.gov, Deidre.Dyer@cookcountyil.gov, StatesAttorney@cookcountyil.gov, David.Williams@cookcountyil.gov, iTeam@abc.com, Jason.R.Knowles@abc.com, WLS.Desk@abc.com, Chuck.Goudie@abc.com, AssignmentDesk@nbcuni.com, isee@nbcchicago.com, tips@nbcchicago.com, WbbmNewsRadioHost@entercom.com, WbbmNewsRadioTips@entercom.com, Jay Levine <jjlevine@cbs.com>, DLBIom@cbs.com, DRWhite@cbs.com, wbbmtvdesk@cbs.com, dvsavini@cbs.com, pzekman@cbs.com, EmFruehling@cbs.com, tips@cbschicago.com, Geoff.Dankert@cbsradio.com, Geoff.Dankert@entercom.com, Julie.Mann@entercom.com, ron.gleason@cbsradio.com, ron.gleason@entercom.com, ssmiller@wbbm-am.com, Stephen.Miller@entercom.com, News@foxchicago.com, Amy.Matheson@foxtv.com, Dane.Placko@foxtv.com, Info@moody.edu, Kurt@moody.edu, Dan Craig <Dan.Craig@moody.edu>, ChicagoBreaking@chicagotribune.com, JsKass@aol.com, John Kass <JsKass@tribune.com>, Peter Kendall <Pkendall@tribune.com>, tisdnsadmin@tribpub.com, byerak@chicagotribune.com, William Lee <WLee@tribune.com>, WLee@chicagotribune.com, JsKass@chicagotribune.com, GPapaJohn@chicagotribune.com, BBrown@chicagotribune.com, ctc-NorthWest@chicagotribune.com, ctc-ChicagoLand@chicagotribune.com, tips@chicagotribune.com, Paul Biasco <pbiasco2@gmail.com>, steve@stevevance.net, info@chicagocityscape.com, SRN News@yahoo.com, Lenz joseph <LenzVideo@yahoo.com>, info@oneillinois.com Bcc: James Sapp <WB4ONX@juno.com>, john Bertucci <Jbertucci14@gmail.com>, Shawn Gilliam <Gilliam498@gmail.com>, Richey Polk <Rpolk115@gmail.com>, Nicholas Landholdt <Nlandholt@hotmail.com>, jdonfrio <Jdonfrio@comcast.net>, Eric Young <Cable0484@gmail.com>, Christopher Fogarty <FogartyC@att.net>, Ioamu <LOAmu@aol.com>, Marvin Faulkner <Mfaulkner@endjudicialcorruption.org>, alluremgtconstruction <AllureMgtConstruction@comcast.net>, Caroline More <AnswerCreatively@gmail.com>, RaySandersUS@yahoo.com, Hassan904@aol.com, Janna Miller Midura </ "Gww12102002@yahoo.com" < Gww12102002@yahoo.com>, Gordon Watts < GordonWayneWatts@aol.com>, Gordon Watts <GordonWayneWatts@hotmail.com>, "Gww1210@aol.com" <gww1210@aol.com>, Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>

# To: STEVEN VANCE (Chicago City Scape) ; Atty. RICHARD INDYKE (Atty of record for defendant, LaSelle Bank) ; Mr. ROBERT J. MORE (defendant)

To all three of you -- I am in receipt of your emails, and shall answer all in one. However, before I do, bookkeeping: See the PDF attached -- there were returned emails, particularly for defendant, Erika Rhone, whose email was hard to track down (and I'm required to eServe her via email), but seeing what was scratched off in my notes, I am removing all those emails that appear bad. (And Dep. Chief, Patricia O'brien of Civil Appeals has retired, and I reflect that in my notes included in this email and service moving forward. -- See below, for my individual replies...

On 3/3/19, Steven Vance <info@chicagocityscape.com> wrote:

- > Gordon, please don't email me your motions/other legal
- > filings. -Steven

#### To: STEVEN VANCE (Chicago City Scape)

Since you are not a party of record, I am not required to include you as a cc recipient, and because I have a conscience (and common sense), I will be glad to remove you, as you have requested. However, I just wanted to remind you (in case you forgot) why I initially included you... While not required, I am permitted under the 1st Amendment to exercise an appeal to the highest court -- of public opinion to the "Free Press," and recalling your one items of news coverage of this situation, I thought you might like this: [["Free press doctrine lionized the press as the prime defender of public liberty in its role as a bulwark against governmental tyranny. Open press doctrine, on the other hand, stressed the individual right of every man to air his sentiments for all to consider, regardless of his political perspective or the consequences for the people's liberty."

https://www.LawCourts.org/LPBR/reviews/martinrowt.htm

ISSN 1062-7421, Vol. 12 No. 2 (February 2002) pp. 79-83; THE FOUNDING OF AMERICAN DEMOCRATIC PRESS LIBERTY, 1640-1800 by Robert W. T. Martin. New York: New York University Press, 2001. ISBN: 0-8147-5655-7 ; Reviewed by Rick A. Swanson, Department of Political Science, University of Louisiana at Lafayette]]

But, anyhow, Steve, while you didn't do any more news coverage on *me*, and my elderly friend, Rich Daniggelis, nonetheless, I appreciated *your* one story, and I did positive news coverage on *your* blog -- and, as you request, I shall remove you from my future press releases (which I've combined into my service list, as a matter of judicial economy, and a matter of Free Press.)~~Gordon Wayne Watts///

On 3/3/19, Richard Indyke <rindyke@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

> Mr Watts please take me off your service listRichard Indyke

> Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

#### To: Atty. RICHARD INDYKE (Atty of record for defendant, LaSelle Bank)

Mr. Indyke, I am inclined to believe you, and, since I do have religious beliefs and a conscience (my religion is not relevant to these proceedings, but I do mention it for context), I most certainly don't want to unnecessarily annoy you with copies of legal filings if indeed you are no longer representing your client. However, after a careful review of the lower court proceedings, I was unable to find an order where the court released you. (NOTE: Carrie Dolan, who represented another defendnt, made a similar request, and she showed me the release order, and I removed her and her law firm from the service list -- I say all this to assure you that I'm not looking for excuses to annoy you -- and AM looking for excuses to lighten your load, if legally permissible. An excerpt from my service list documents that order: [["" COHON RAIZES®AL LLP (90192) (Atty for STEWART TITLE ILLINOIS) Removed from service list, and not served, as the court excused them as parties: "As a result of the dismissal of Counts X and XI, Third party Stewart Title of Illinois n/k/a Stewart Title Company is no longer a party to this litigation." [See

the 11/09/2012 ORDER for Voluntary Dismissal by Agreement in GMAC v. Daniggelis, 2007-CH-29738, the Chancery case underlying this case]""

Now, I am not an attorney, and I don't play one on T.V., but I am bound my law (and morals, conscience, and practical reasons) to keep you on the service list, if you can't be excused. As I see it, I have FOUR (4) possible solutions for you, OK? ... First (1st) you may ask the court for an order excusing you -- or, secondly (2nd), I see the DYKEMA Law Firm as attorneys of record. If they would be kind enough to affirm that they have taken over your spot (and I do recall asking them AND YOU this before, remember?), I would accept their word, as attorneys ARE officers of the court, and may officially speak on ministerial duties, like this (both in my state of Florida and Illinois, are attorneys considered "officers of the court") -- While I am reluctant, I would excuse you. Thirdly (3rd), you [or anyone else] may look on the docket in this Law Division case, or the underlying Chancery Case by the same case number (fro which it was transferred), and show ME an order to that effect, and I will grant your request, and remove you (as I did Atty. Carrie Dolan, and her law firm, OK?)... Fourthly and lastly (4th), I would suggest you just grin and bear it... my emails are few and far between -- and guite harmless... -- and both interesting reading materials AND -- most-importantly -- possibly Sine Qua Non required to save my elderly friend's life, as Rich Daniggelis was made homeless due to the title theft I allege in my filings -- and, my Intervention, legally, may obtain fresh review when Atty. Andjelko Galic failed to prosecute the case, and got the courts all hot, angry mad at him, in the process. (My elderly friend's life being unnecessarily put in jeopardy to protect a clown who stole his house, making the courts and legal profession look stupid in the process, is important, and I put it in bold-face underline emphasis with colour-contrast not by accident.)

I know that's not what you wanted to hear, Mr. Indyke, but your situation and hardships are far less than me and Mr. Daniggelis, and I do not say this in ill will, but because I feel bound to serve you, in order to comply with legal, practical, and moral requirements in this matter. (On a side-note, I will candidly tell you, I vividly recall a dream several months or years back, in which you wrote me just such an email, and, in the process, somehow "rocked the boat," resulting in Richard's (Daniggelis, that is) case being reheard, and having his house returned to him. Given that we are all replying to all (and given my firm beliefs that the court system will, in time, get Rich out of harm's way, be it civil or a miracle from the heavenly courts above?), it would appear that my dream is coming to pass, e.g., a dream come true. (But, on that, I do not know the level of accuracy, only that the dream did occur, and I testify truthfully to that effect.)

P.S.: Given that I firmly believe that one party to this cause will soon remove it to Federal Court, the state filings are probably winding down, so your worries/concerns about loads of emails may be a moot point.~~Gordon Wayne Watts

On Sat, Mar 2, 2019 at 11:13 PM Mr. Robert J. More <anselm45@gmail.com> wrote:

1. No heretic is faithful to God.

2. Activity that defacto reinforces the continued existence and activity of entities whose existence and operation causes net damage to the Defacto Catholic Cause , as indisputably does the activity of those entities herein addressed, is gravely sinful and counterproductive.

3. Customer service complaint again in re the noncompletion of the PayPal acct on the jn21-15protctr.tripod.com website after compensation was provided in re wherefore.

4. Many of the reptiles addressed herein have been sued in More et al v Daniggellis, Younes, Galic, Otto, Shelley, Evans, et al. Indictments to follow.

RJM

#### To: Mr. ROBERT J. MORE (defendant)

--Robert, my friend... I share your frustration with the court system, and am to no end annoyed that it is comprised of imperfect human beings (not all of whom are honest). To answer your points, #1 -- "No heretic is faithful to God." - Correct, but that states the obvious, and I don't see it proposing a solution, which would be the appropriate end. #2 -- same analyses: You state the obvious. #3 -- If I have time, I will be a better webmaster, but I, too, am human, imperfect, and limited in time/energy, etc. #4 -- Now, I would like to address your point #4, here, OK? Yes, you have sued numerous "entities," as you call them, OK? But, while I admit I have not succeeded in winning my Intervention case (which is, I think, legally similar to a mechanic's lien, insofar as Daniggelis owes me monies for research, technical support, computer training, etc.), which does not speak well of the court (since this is, i think, an easily-understood case, and they have NO excuse for dragging their feet, here), but "2 wrongs make not a right." See how the courts are actually LISTENING to me, but throw you out on your head, make yo ua restricted filer (and how you file anyways is, I think, a mystery miracle!), and place you on a "trespassed/barred" list for the court? I have issued with FLORIDA "Cops and Courts" (which, believe it or not, are more corrupt than the Chicago machine, reputation notwithstanding),... but I'M not trespassed from court buildings, barred from filing, etc., -- See how much better courts treat me!?... I respect the Justices and Judges by filing stuff that is READABLE .. e.g., not one LOOOOG run-on sentence the size of twelve (12) Encyclopedias... I am respectful to the judges, even if I hotly disagree. You are not!... You can get more flies with honey. Also, while you are probably smarter than me (knowledge), you do not use good legal arguments, instead, forsaking them for hail-mary passes. (That, by the way, was why MY filings got further in the Fla Supreme Court in re Terri Schiavo than those of my colleague, former Fla. Gov. Jeb Bush - or even Schiavo's blood family. They (initially) used stupid legal arguments, and lost big-time, major league. I, by contrast, nearly won, losing eventually in the Florida Supreme Court, by a razor-thing 4-3 margin, and let's not forget that the U.S. 11th Circuit (a Federal Appeals Court in my jurisdiction) allowed only ONE pro se non-lawyer to file an Amicus Curiae ... myself. AND, the ILLINOIS Supreme Court, in case no.: 123481, Watts v. Flannery and Shelley, reviewed my case. (Did they do this for you?) Yes, they could have done better, but the Supreme Court is a court of limited jurisdiction, and legally does not even have to give me the time of day (but, morally, and -- viz bad press coverage -- of a practical matter -probably should have granted justice). Heck, the F.B.I. even called me asking me if you were 'safe' and wondered if you were going to go postal on them. We're all human, but I think you have gone off the reservation, and placed trust/faith in those things that don't deserve it, and gotten nervous -and made others nervous -- in the process. Remember, Bob... Even IF the court is as corrupt as the evil devil, himself... Two Wrongs make not a right.. A true man of faith has faith in his creator, and does not get nervous.

I say all this to help you see yourself in the mirror.. -- (: on the other hand, your nuttiness makes me look good, by comparison and may help me win the case. :)

#### Gordon Wayne Watts

On Sat, Mar 2, 2019 at 10:12 PM Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com> wrote:

\* <u>GMAC v. Watts, et al.</u> (1-18-0091), Appellate Court of ILLINOIS, First Judicial District– Motion – En Banc – for Extension of Time to file Record on Appeal

\* <u>GMAC v. Watts, et al.</u> (2007-CH-29738), LAW DIVISION, Cook County, IL Circuit court - Rule 321 motion to limit Contents of the Record on Appeal

#### **Court and Counsel:**

Pursuant to the Order of the ILLINOIS First Appellate Court, dated, October 25, 2018, in this matter, I'm asking the circuit court's Law & Civil Appeals divisions to compile & file the record on appeal—which necessitates an extension of time. Both my Rule 321 Motion to the lower court, to compile the record, as well as my motion for extension of time, to the appeals court, are included in these attachments. Because of a clerical error, I accidentally filed duplicate motions to the lower court, due to confusion about whether I needed to file in Chancery, since Civil Appeals informed me that the Law Division record includes all Common Law records in the original Chancery case. That motion is identical, except for time-stamp: You need review only one or the other, not both identical duplicate filings. Consequently, I'm including only the first page of the duplicate, so you can see the distinct time-stamp. (But as these matters are of *serious* gravity, my mistake may be great, as these issues bear repeating.)

As required by Rule 11, I'm serving all known parties of record. This time, however, I won't be serving hard copies by U.S. Postal Mail or 3rd-party commercial carrier (UPS or FedEx), for reasons explained in my filings. While I did so in the past, it was courtesy, not strictly required by rules, if you could be served electronically, as here. As required by Rules 9-12, I filed electronically with both courts (trial & appellate), and am effecting service as indicated in the Certificates of Service, and including these email copies of court & counsel for whom I have email addresses. The "lead" documents are only about 9.08 MB in total, and included here, but all the docs, en toto, are about 19.9 MB, and thus too large to reasonably send by most email clients, thus I'm invoking Rule 11(c): "If service is made by e-mail, the documents may be transmitted via attachment or by providing a link within the body of the e-mail that will allow the party to download the document through a reliable service provider." You may pick up a copy of each filing at mirror 1, hosted by GoDaddy, in Mesa, AZ, by mirror 2, the "gordonWAYNEwatts" page, on HostGator, in Dallas, TX, or at Tyler Hosting, an official eFiler service, of the ILLINOIS State Court system. All today's documents (but not prior filings) are available at Tyler Hosting, the official ODYSSEY eFile provider, for ILLINOIS Courts, at this link: https://illinois.tylerhost. net/ViewDocuments.aspx?FID=aa6e0d5f-1541-47eb-81a4-a75c15b7d059 \*\* This link is active for 540 days, according to their site. NOTE: The lower court filings are exhibits in the appeals motion, so you need only look at this one link for today's filings; However, my docket has all key filings in this & related, cases, hereincluding the infamous, and still-ongoing, Code Violation case, which defendant, Joseph Younes, has (City of Chicago v. Younes et. al., case #: 2017-M1-400775), and which was written up in numerous news media, of recent:

#### http://www.GordonWatts.com/MortgageFraudCourtDocs/DOCKET-MortgageFraudCase.html

or here

#### http://www.GordonWayneWatts.com/MortgageFraudCourtDocs/DOCKET-MortgageFraudCase.html

The court docketed my appeals motion this Thursday, but I only got a court-stamped copy yesterday, and, as explained in my 2 motions, encountered unforeseen delays, which delayed eService by a day or so. My apologies. When navigating my online docket, please note that I just upgraded to "https" with SSL security, as you can tell by mousing over the icon to the left of the URL. If it is a green padlock, it is safe. (But, as you will not be entering any credit card numbers on my site, it does not matter.) Furthermore, the 3 filings, which were filed with TylerHosting(Odyssey eFile) electronically, are clean, and do not contain any known viruses, but you are encouraged to scan all download (even PDF's) before opening them.

**<u>NOTE</u>:** This filing is unlike any other in this (or any other) case, insofar as I invoke both State and Federal Civil Rights standards of Procedural Due Process (and possibly Substantive Due Process), including, but not limited to, long-arm jurisdiction, the <u>Rooker-Feldman</u> Doctrine, Younger Abstention, and related "State vs. Federal" comity issues, a friendly heads-up, as a courtesy to you.

To navigate my docket, you would click the "Law Division Case" link, and scroll down, but I've found an easier method is to click the "\* Civil Division Case #" link, and scroll up just a bit to see the most recent Law Division filings—my 3 filings today. My online docket is designed almost identically to the court's dockets, except, in

my docket, you may download, for free, most of the filings listed on the docket. (I had some light humour, as well, bragging to all 3 panels in my motions, that I was the guy who almost won the Terri Schiavo case—all by myself—and was the only nonLawyer which one Federal Appeals Court allowed Amicus Curiae status in the recent USSC Gay Marriage Case. That has no legal bearing on this case, but was inserted to reassure Justices who don't know me that my filings are academic, and would not waste their time—Observe: [1] *In Re: GORDON WAYNE WATTS (as next friend of THERESA MARIE 'TERRI' SCHIAVO*), No. SC03-2420

(Fla. Feb.23, 2005), denied 4-3 on rehearing. (Watts got 42.7% of his panel) <u>http://www.floridasupremecourt.org/clerk/</u> <u>dispositions/2005/2/03-2420reh.pdf</u>

[2] *In Re: JEB BUSH, GOVERNOR OF FLORIDA, ET AL. v. MICHAEL SCHIAVO, GUARDIAN: THERESA SCHIAVO*, No. SC04-925 (Fla. Oct.21, 2004), denied 7-0 on rehearing. (Bush got 0.0% of his panel before the same court) <u>http://www.floridasupremecourt.org/clerk/dispositions/2004/10/04-925reh.pdf</u>

[3] <u>Schiavo ex rel. Schiado ex rel. Schiavo</u>, 403 F.3d 1223, 2005 WL 648897 (11th Cir. Mar.23, 2005), denied 2-1 on appeal. (Terri Schiavo's own blood family only got 33.3% of their panel on the Federal Appeals level) <u>http://media.ca11.uscourts.gov/opinions/pub/files/200511556.pdf</u>

Again, you may pick up all the latest filings in this case, under the 'Law Division' heading, at my online docket, at these 2 direct links (which are also linked in front-page news of my main, namesake web-ring – under the "Open Source Docket" link, as indicted in my Cert. Of Service):

http://www.GordonWatts.com/MortgageFraudCourtDocs/DOCKET-MortgageFraudCase.html

or here

http://www.GordonWayneWatts.com/MortgageFraudCourtDocs/DOCKET-MortgageFraudCase.html

\*\* P.S.: Please note, in the cc line of <u>this</u> email, the current and updated service addresses all parties, as there have been a few additions/deletions, in recent times, such as 2 new attorneys of record who have entered an appearance for the plaintiff, GMAC, and a few other new additions, whose email addresses you may need when serving response briefs, etc.. \*\*

Here, for reference & comparison, is the court's docket:

https://courtlink.lexisnexis.com/cookcounty/FindDock.aspx?NCase=2007-CH-29738& SearchType=0&Database=2&case\_no=&PLtype=1

Let me remind everyone that there was a key recent ruling on 02/07/2019, in the related Housing/Code case (Court Room 1105, before Hon. Patrice Munzel Ball-Reed, Associate Judge, CIVIL DIVISION), which is the sister-case, where Mr. Younes is also a defendant, <u>City of Chicago v. Younes et. al.</u>, case #: 2017-M1-400775: Judge Ball-Reed, in a Case Management Order, issued on 2-7-19 continued to the case to 02-21-2019, which probably has key information about the next court date (tho I do not, as yet, have a copy of the 2-21-2019 order, which, if needed, you can obtain from the court). Here's the Court's docket to verify and/or keep updated: <a href="https://courtlink.lexisnexis.com/cookcounty/FindDock.aspx?DocketKey=CABH0MB0EAAHHF0MD">https://courtlink.lexisnexis.com/cookcounty/FindDock.aspx?DocketKey=CABH0MB0EAAHHF0MD</a>

For your reference, this code violation case is the one which was featured in at least seven (7) recent DNAinfo stories, and other news sources—two of which are shown here for brevity:

\*\* "Rotted' Historic Building In Old Town Triangle Could Be Seized By City," by Ted Cox, DNAinfo, March 30, 2017:

https://www.dnainfo.com/chicago/20170330/old-town/rotted-historic-building-old-town-triangle-could-be-seized-by-city

\*\* "Rotted' Old Town House Owner Given 45 Days To Come Up With Repair Plan," by Ted Cox, DNAinfo, September

01, 2017: <u>https://www.dnainfo.com/chicago/20170901/old-town/rotted-old-town-house-owner-given-45-days-come-up-with-repair-plan</u>

NOTE: Both Rich Daniggelis and Joe Younes, 2 defendants in this case, were featured in this story:

https://www.dnainfo.com/chicago/20170407/old-town/rotted-old-town-triangle-house-owner-faces-daily-1k-fine-as-charges-fly/

"Rotted' Historic Building In Old Town Triangle Could Be Seized By City," by Ted Cox, DNAinfo, Mar 30, 2017

-----and several related stories The Register, for which I'm the editor-in-chief, & more-recently, ChicagoCityScap e:

\*\* "Landmarks commission still threatening fines if house in historic district isn't worked on once building permit is issued," by Ted Cox, ChicagoCityscape, November 09, 2017:

https://blog.ChicagoCityScape.com/landmarks-commission-still-threatening-fines-if-house-in-historic-districtisnt-worked-on-once-390f052a2ab2

Both the Housing and Law Division filings are docketed on the Open-source docket above, on my personal blog. Should you lose these links, above, my open-source docket is still linked through the front-page news item in question, on The Register, my namesake blogs.

Best,

Gordon Wayne Watts [Please note my new mailing address, should you want to communicate that way]

2046 Pleasant Acre Drive, Plant City, FL 33566-7511

PH: (863) 687-6141 [home] or (863) 688-9880 [cell]

Web: http://www.GordonWatts.com / http://www.GordonWayneWatts.com

Email: Gww1210@aol.com / Gww1210@gmail.com

----/

Gordon Wayne Watts, editor-in-chief, The Register

www.GordonWayneWatts.com / www.GordonWatts.com

## ALWAYS FAITHFUL - TO GOD

BS, The Florida State University, Biological & Chemical Sciences AS, United Electronics Institute 2046 Pleasant Acre Drive, Plant City, FL 33801-2113 Home: (863) 687-6141 ; Cell: (863) 688-9880 See also: http://Gordon\_Watts.Tripod.com/consumer.html Gww1210@aol.com ; Gww1210@Gmail.com Truth is the strongest, most stable force in the Universe Truth doesn't change because you disbelieve it *TRUTH doesn't bend to the will of tyrants* www.GordonWayneWatts.com / www.GordonWatts.com Get Truth. "First, they [Nazis] came for the Jews. I was silent. I was not a Jew. Then they came for the Communists. I was silent. I was not a Communist. Then they came for the trade unionists. I was silent. I was not a trade unionist. Then they came for me. There was no one left to speak for me."

Companion, ed. Margaret Pepper (New York: Harper &Row, 1989), 429 -as cited on page 44, note 17, of Religious Cleansing in the American Republic, by Keith A. Fornier, Copyright 1993, by Liberty, Life, and Family Publications.

Some versions have Mr. Niemöller saying: "Then they came for the Catholics, and I didn't speak up, because I was a Protestant"; other versions have him saying that they came for Socialists, Industrialists, schools, the press, and/or the Church; however, it's certain he DID say SOMETHING like this. Actually, they may not have come for the Jews first, as it's more likely they came for the prisoners, mentally handicapped, & other so-called "inferiors" first -as historians tell us -so they could get "practiced up"; however, they did come for them -due to the silence of their neighbors -and due in part to their own silence. So: "Speak up now or forever hold your peace!"-GWW

Gordon Wayne Watts, editor-in-chief, The Register

www.GordonWayneWatts.com / www.GordonWatts.com

### Always faithfuL - To God

BS, The Florida State University, Biological & Chemical Sciences

AS, United Electronics Institute

2046 Pleasant Acre Drive, Plant City, FL 33801-2113

Home: (863) 687-6141 ; Cell: (863) 688-9880

See also: http://Gordon\_Watts.Tripod.com/consumer.html

Gww1210@aol.com ; Gww1210@Gmail.com

Truth is the strongest, most stable force in the Universe

Truth doesn't change because you disbelieve it

TRUTH doesn't bend to the will of tyrants

www.GordonWayneWatts.com / www.GordonWatts.com

#### Get Truth.

"First, they [Nazis] came for the Jews. I was silent. I was not a Jew. Then they came for the Communists. I was silent. I was not a Communist. Then they came for the trade unionists. I was silent. I was not a trade unionist. Then they came for me. There was no one left to speak for me." (Martin Niemöller, given credit for a quotation in The Harper Religious and Inspirational Quotation Companion, ed. Margaret Pepper (New York: Harper &Row, 1989), 429 -as cited on page 44, note 17, of Religious Cleansing in the American Republic, by Keith A. Fornier, Copyright 1993, by Liberty, Life, and Family Publications.

Some versions have Mr. Niemöller saying: "Then they came for the Catholics, and I didn't speak up, because I was a Protestant"; other versions have him saying that they came for Socialists, Industrialists, schools, the press, and/or the Church; however, it's certain he DID say SOMETHING like this. Actually, they may not have come for the Jews first, as it's more likely they came for the prisoners, mentally handicapped, & other so-called "inferiors" first -as historians tell us -so they could get "practiced up"; however, they did come for them -due to the silence of their neighbors -and due in part to their own silence. So: "Speak up now or forever hold your peace!"-GWW

returned-emails-scratched-off\_PDF.pdf 341K