



Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>

---

**Errata to:Re: Student loan repayment requirement issues: What possible injustice could there be in requiring loan takers for education to repay any and all loans ever taken except in re to any component whereof which would constitute an upward modification of any interest rates ever charged from the rate upon which reliance would have originally been predicated ?**

---

**Robert More** <anselm45@gmail.com>

Sat, Mar 23, 2019 at 10:35 AM

To: ismabureauch4 <ismabureauch4@gmail.com>, Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>, Christopher Fogarty <fogartyc@att.net>, Michael More <michaelmore535@yahoo.com>

Cc: Paul Mitchell <paulandrewmitchell2004@yahoo.com>, rommellaw <rommellaw@aol.com>, Thomas Hoffman <parklaw7@gmail.com>, Nicholas Landholdt <nlandholt@hotmail.com>, John Fitzgerald <john.fitzgerald.33@live.com>, idereret <idereret@gmail.com>, odalagerde <odalagerde@gmail.com>

Entitlement collector - GWW, you are invited to post the contents of this email on the first page of your websites or justify not doing so.

On Fri, Mar 22, 2019, 23:19 Robert More <[anselm45@gmail.com](mailto:anselm45@gmail.com)> wrote:

On the other hand what possible justification could there be for granting exoneration from debt repayment in an arrangement in which the borrower would not have ended up without the benefit of his bargain and the general public would end up incurring the expense of someone else's education and correlative permanent procurement of any utility received wherefrom?

Since when was any law of bankruptcy ever intended to enable anyone to procure a windfall at the expense of a treasury subsidized from the fruits of the labor of the general population? Is the passing off of student loan debt from the borrowing beneficiary to the public constitutes of individual citizens not responsible for having induced any borrowing not just another form of collectivist theft and private property degradation? How is predatory borrowing any less a sin than predatory lending (Prob. 35:1)? What evidence is there that the authors of the Constitution's bankruptcy clause ever intended to provide an instrument for predatory borrowing? How would uniformity of bankruptcy not require the payment of any debt erased equivalent whereto by all citizens not receiving exoneration from a student loan debt ever erased?

RJM