



Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>

Mail-bag Bible question

1 message

gww1210@aol.com <gww1210@aol.com>

Sat, Feb 6, 2021 at 9:58 AM

To: "OpenLine@Moody.edu" <openline@moody.edu>, "deb.solomon@moody.edu" <deb.solomon@moody.edu>

Cc: "rydelnik@messianicjourneys.org" <rydelnik@messianicjourneys.org>, "eva@messianicjourneys.org" <eva@messianicjourneys.org>, "michael.rydelnik@moody.edu" <michael.rydelnik@moody.edu>, "Trish.McMillan@moody.edu" <trish.mcmillan@moody.edu>, "Dan.Craig@moody.edu" <dan.craig@moody.edu>, "Mark.Job@moody.edu" <mark.job@moody.edu>, "OpenLine@moody.edu" <openline@moody.edu>

Dear Dr. Rydelnick & Open Line Live staff:

My Bible question is about the 1,000-year Millennium, as described in Revelation 20. I was unable to "get thru" on the phones last week, but as my "new idea" may save countless lives, I hope you can try to answer my Bible question:

Recently, I feel led by The Lord to update my popular and highly-rated book on this subject. (Rev. Bill Wellman, who wrote my forward, is not only a man of God, but also a published author, like myself, and you have his word that you won't be wasting your time to hear my Hermeneutic Exegesis in this regard. Search my name on Amazon to buy it, or email me, & I'll email you a free pdf; I'm too poor to send you a hard copy.)

Before I update my book, however, I want to run my "new idea" to save lives by you, and get your thoughts, so I can incorporate all your wisdom in my next edition, so here's my dilemma:

In my book, I document a troubling trend: Men & women are killing their children to "send them to heaven" and blame or accuse preachers of telling them that Baby Universalism is correct theology: If the child grows up in drug-filled or abusive environs, he may reject Jesus and go to hell, but if we kill her, she'll go to heaven "forever," to paraphrase at least SEVEN (7) FAMILIES who gave this justification.

First the problem, & then I'd like your thoughts on my proposed Bible solution:

The problem is obviously that these many preachers say "No killing the child is bad," but in every instance admit they think God's hand will be forced & that killing the child will drastically increase "eternal" odds. I.e., they say: No, don't kill Mary Sue or Johnny Boy, but if you do, it would be "wink/nod" far, far better. Besides the fact that we both know that Universalism (including this sub-set of Infant Universalism) violates the doctrines of faith & is heretical theology, it tempts parents to kill children-- by its failure to offer a Biblical answer to rebut the "increasing eternal odds" claims.

So, I'd like your opinion on my proposed solution:

By invoking the truth of Revelation 20's claims of the Luciferian rebellion at the end of the 1,000-year Millennial Reign, I evoke Free Will (which is proved by the rebellion), and since from Isaiah

passages, we know that babies will be in this epoch, I argue that the Bible proves (or at least leaves open the possibility) that the babies -- while in Heaven "for the time being" -- will be in the Millennium period, and thus be afforded choice, Free Will, and volition.

Thus, I conclude that The Bible is clear on 3 points:

1) God neither tempts nor is tempted to kill children (proving that the "eternal odds" claim can not be true). See JAMES

2) God is not a respecter of persons (e.g, no bias, prejudice, or partiality: Also in JAMES iirc), and -- since he gives ANGELS and ADULTS Free Will, would NOT short or deny infants this use of their faith.

3) Since Free Will is **eventually** given the infants whether mom kills her or not, therefore, it is Biblically correct exegesis to conclude that "killing the child" will NOT increase -- NOR DECREASE -- eternal odds, thus it can NOT be claimed by these many preachers to do exactly this.

So, what do you think of my "Bible" **answer** to refute these dangerous theological claims, which I've documented in my book to have been claimed by the murderers to be their justifications to kill the children?

Besides #1. saving lives, I think my analysis can both #2. educate, #3. encourage, and #4. intrigue readers to go deeper into The Word.

Agree? Disagree? Not sure and need more time?

I am told by many that my questions are often "hard" or "difficult," but I am not trying to give you a headache(**), and made sure to make my question a simple "yes" "no" or "I don't know" question. Lives hang in the balance: Tarry not; if you need any help, whilst I am not perfect, I will afford you any assistance within my power.

((**)) "I ain't here to cause no trouble; just tryin' to to the Bible Question shuffle."

--Sent from my mobile:

Gordon Wayne Watts, editor-in-chief, The Register

www.GordonWayneWatts.com / www.GordonWatts.com

ALWAYS FAITHFUL - To God

BS, The Florida State University, double major with honours in Biological & Chemical Sciences
AS, United Electronics Institute, VALEDICTORIAN

* <https://GordonWatts.com/education>

* <https://GordonWayneWatts.com/education>

2046 Pleasant Acre Drive, Plant City, FL 33801-2113

Home: (863) 687-6141 ; Cell: (863) 688-9880

See also: http://Gordon_Watts.Tripod.com/consumer.html

Gww1210@aol.com ; Gww1210@Gmail.com

Truth is the strongest, most stable force in the Universe

Truth doesn't change because you disbelieve it

TRUTH doesn't bend to the will of tyrants

www.GordonWayneWatts.com / www.GordonWatts.com

Get Truth.

"First, they [Nazis] came for the Jews. I was silent. I was not a Jew. Then they came for the Communists. I was silent. I was not a Communist. Then they came for the trade unionists. I was silent. I was not a trade unionist. Then they came for me. There was no one left to speak for me." (Martin Niemöller, given credit for a quotation in The Harper Religious and Inspirational Quotation Companion, ed. Margaret Pepper (New York: Harper & Row, 1989), 429 -as cited on page 44, note 17, of Religious Cleansing in the American Republic, by Keith A. Fornier, Copyright 1993, by Liberty, Life, and Family Publications.

Some versions have Mr. Niemöller saying: "Then they came for the Catholics, and I didn't speak up, because I was a Protestant"; other versions have him saying that they came for Socialists, Industrialists, schools, the press, and/or the Church; however, it's certain he DID say SOMETHING like this. Actually, they may not have come for the Jews first, as it's more likely they came for the prisoners, mentally handicapped, & other so-called "inferiors" first -as historians tell us -so they could get "practiced up"; however, they did come for them -due to the silence of their neighbors -and due in part to their own silence. So: "Speak up now or forever hold your peace!"-GWW