Congressional Action? by Howell E. Jackson, Colin Mark:: SSRN

Create account (https://hq.ssrn.com/login/pubsigninjoin.cfm)

Sign in (https://hq.ssrn.com/login/pubsigninjoin.cfm)

/5/2021	May the Exe	cutive Br	anch Fo	rgive S	Student Loa	an Debt Without Further
SSRN Browse (https://www.ssrn.com/)	Subscriptions	Rankings	Submit a paper	My Library	Blog >	Q P (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/ShoppingCa
Download This Paper (Deli	very.cfm/SSRN_ID3	819989_code5	2344.pdf?abs	tractid=381	9989&mirid=1)	
Open PDF in Browser (Delivery	.cfm/SSRN_ID3819	989_code5234	4.pdf?abstrac	tid=381998	9&mirid=1&type	=2)
Add Paper to My Library						
Share: f y ⊠ &						
May the Executive Branc Congressional Action?	h Forgive Stude	ent Loan De	ebt Withou	it Furthe	r	
71 Pages Posted: 6 Apr 2021						
Howell E. Jackson (https://papers Harvard Law School	s.ssrn.com/sol3/cf_d	lev/AbsByAuth	.cfm?per_id=!	52344)		
Colin Mark (https://papers.ssrn.c Harvard Law School Date Written: April 5, 2021	com/sol3/cf_dev/Abs	:ByAuth.cfm?p	er_id=465177	2)		
Abstract						
forgive up to \$50,000 per debtor in s that the Biden Administration can fo surveys the history of federal studen Higher Education Act of 1965 and the forgiveness. The paper considers how Department proceeding without not	tudent loan debt withorgive this student loar t loan forgiveness progue Federal Claims Collow the Department of Erice and comment rule enge administrative strigiveness, Federal Budgieres	out further Con; n debt as an adm grams in the Un ection Act of 196 ducation might making. Finally, udent loan forgi	gressional action inistrative action inistrative action in the second of the second of the second of the paper evaluation of the paper evaluation which is a second of the paper and which is a second of the paper evaluation of the paper evaluation of the second of the	n. This paper on and witho paper then c xecutive bran tive action to lates the Bid ch forms of a	collects the leading out additional congressionsiders whether s nech the authority to forgive loans and a en Administration's administrative action	assesses the pros and cons of the prospects in court, including whether any in are likeliest to survive judicial review.
Hide Contact Information >						
Howell Edmunds Jackson (Conta Harvard Law School (email) Griswald 402 1363 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02138 United States 617-495-5156 (Fax) Colin Mark (https://papers.ssm.o. Harvard Law School (email) United States	,				.cfm?per_id=523	44)
Download This Paper (Deli	very.cfm/SSRN_ID3	819989_code5	2344.pdf?abs	tractid=381	9989&mirid=1)	
Open PDF in Browser (Delivery	.cfm/SSRN_ID3819	989_code5234	4.pdf?abstrac	tid=381998	9&mirid=1&type	=2)
31 References						
C F R 70(b) (referring to section 452(f) agreement under an applicable p		ion Provisions A	ct (20 U.S.C. § :	.234a), which	n only applies to "re	cipients" of a "grant or cooperative
2. Fed. Reg, volume 75926 Posted: 2016-11-01	/ /9799399749994	22. 22.4052)				
Crossref (https://doi.org/10.1093 Generally speaking, the FCCS we					a dellas lavel velva	100
4. Fed, Reg	[c]larify that the gener at the Department seel	rally-applicable \$	100,000 limit d	oes not apply	y to resolution of cl	aims arising under" FFELP, DLP, or PLP;
			Load more			
Do you have a job openin	g that you would	d like to pro	mote on SS	RN?		
Place job opening (https://w		-				
Paper statistics						
DOWNLOADS			432			
ABSTRACT VIEWS			1,684			
RANK			84,060			

31 References

