

“Reasons for Delay” in reporting the ILLEGAL phone block by Lakeland Police Dept.:

Reasons for my delay in reporting that the Lakeland (Florida) Police department had ILLEGALLY blocked my phone from calling their regular (non-emergency), and then, we later discovered, had also ILLEGALLY blocked me from making 911 calls from my home phone number, which they had already blocked from non-emergency calls - **Hashtag: #NeverAgain**

Author: Gordon Wayne Watts (GordonWatts.com or: GordonWayneWatts.com) Date: Saturday, 13 July 2019

Narrative: ( UNABRIDGED version—with documentation: See below )

On Monday, 23 January 2006, when I tried to call the Lakeland Police Department (863-834-6900) from my home phone number (863-688-9880), I discovered that it was blocked. Later, in a very slow, and difficult manner, I obtained copious damning evidence to this ILLEGAL block to both regular and 911 calls.

As you can see from the date of this statement, it is now Saturday, 13 July 2019, and you might wonder why I waited so long in reporting this in a formal matter. **Here is my explanation of the delay:**

**\*\* Monday, 23 January 2006:** I called the non-emergency number to report a bus was parked dangerously close to the roadway (see video 1 of 9, in a 9-part series), and I had to call from my cell phone: My home phone was blocked. **EXPLANATION: Actually, if you listen to the call, you'll hear that I **\*\*did\*\*** report to the dispatcher that my phone was blocked.** So, any lack of reporting afterward is, legally, not my fault: Both the morons who blocked my phone AND the dispatcher (who was charged with reporting it to her supervisor, and so on) knew of this, and so—legally—I'm off the hook, so to speak. (But, as I'm a Christian, I have a higher moral standard.) **However, since I had no “smoking gun” evidence of this, as it had “just happened,” I couldn't report it any further than this.**

**\*\* Tuesday, 24 January 2006, approximate date:** Looking at my notes, contemporary to that event, I see notes that I **immediately visited the Police Department** (remember: I **couldn't call them** from my home phone, and my cell phone had “Limited Minutes.”). When I asked for assistance, Detective Elisa Martin, accompanied by PSO (Public Safety Officer) Ryan Christopher Schuck, came out to the lobby. (Note: It's standard security & good common sense, for officers to be accompanied by someone when speaking to unknowns, but in light of the bizarre corruption that often lands LPD in the local **and national news** (*Google: Lakeland Florida Police Department Corruption if you didn't get the note*), I don't blame Det. Martin for asking PSO Schuck to accompany her: Had I been anyone else, I might have punched her for what she later told me.) **EXPLANATION: I actually did notify her that my phone was blocked.** But her response (to which Mr. Schuck was a witness) was that they were “only blocking my non-emergency calls” or to that effect, and that my 911 calls were not blocked. She refused to offer any explanation or justification, and asked me if there were “any other” problem that I needed help with. I told her that I had evidence that police had committed perjury in a report they wrote about me, when doing a false arrest. She said that Internal Affairs had “already” looked at that, and I'd better get off the property and implied I'd get falsely arrested a second time if I didn't. Only problem with that was that I had **\*\*not\*\*** told Internal Affairs about the perjured police report in my Fri. 06 Jan 2006 complaint: What I told them was about different (unrelated) problems (like their ILLEGAL refusal to release my call to police to my public defender under the court's “discovery” rules). **When I saw that the police were not going to take me seriously, no matter how 'valid' my concern, I gave up complaining to Detective Elisa Martin about the ILLEGAL phone block: I would've gotten falsely arrested had I continued to try and report my ILLEGAL phone block.**

**Note:** Det. Martin said my phone wasn't blocked from 911 calls, but how could I “test” that claim? Make a “test 911” call? Of course not: I'd be arrested for abuse of 911. So, if my phone as 911-blocked, also, I had no way of knowing, and thus couldn't report this, at that time.

**\*\* Tuesday 31 January 2006:** Verizon, our phone company at the time, sent me an official letter documenting that it wasn't a mere "accident," or "technical difficulties," but rather, that the Lakeland Police Department's phone had UNAMBIGUOUSLY, and obviously intentionally, blocked me from calling their number. (See video 2 of 9, in a 9-part series, or see an image or PDF version of their letter on my websites: GordonWatts.com or GordonWayneWatts.com) I was about to go and report this to the Lakeland City Counsel and local news media, but intuitively knew that the **\*\*only\*\*** 'defense' that the corrupt Police Dept had was to 'allege' that I'd made harassing phone calls. (And this suspicion was later borne out to be true as shown by a private memo dated Friday, 20 January 2006, from Attorney Roger Mallory basically saying as much, in an email to the entire police department. I don't know how I was so lucky, but I eventually got a copy of this memo under Public Records Laws.) Since I had **\*\*not\*\*** made any harassing phone calls to the police department (accepting what they told me, good or bad, and either accepting their corruption or appealing to a higher authority—no more), I then made a public records request for the last "unblocked" call to the police department, in which I called to report perjury in the police report, and asked to speak to the chief. The operator refused, and instructed me to call I.A. (Internal Affairs). I told her that I felt "uncomfortable" calling I.A., because of how they mistreated me, but she insisted that I call them. I called I.A., and left a message for the office of 2 detectives, one of whom I think was Det Elisa Martin. That call was sometime around mid-day, Thursday, 19 January 2006. The very next time I called LPD, the following Monday (1-23-2006), I discovered my phone was blocked—obviously retaliation for the voice-mail message I'd left the previous Thursday. **EXPLANATION: Since I had not, as yet, received a copy of the public records I'd requested, and was unable to prove that I was only "following orders" to call I.A. (and, thus, not making a harassing phone call), I felt very, very, very uncomfortable considering going before City Counsel, or reporting this to anyone, such as the news media or city elders.**

**\*\* Monday, 27 February 2006:** I wrote to Assistant State Attorney, Mike Cusick and informed him that LPD had ILLEGALLY blocked me from calling them, as well as their ILLEGAL perjury in a report they'd written (where their report put words into the mouth of a dispatcher, but listening to the call, she didn't warn me to get out of the area as they'd falsely claimed). **EXPLANATION: Since I had made continued attempts at both getting the public records (the call showing that I was only "following orders" to call I.A., and thus not making "harassing phone calls" to LPD) as well as reporting to the State Attorney's Office, here, that my phone was ILLEGALLY blocked—and they did nothing, for either, I can not be faulted for any delay in this regard. (Even had they given serious consideration to my illegal phone block complaint, I still had **\*\*NO\*\*** way of proving that I wasn't making harassing phone calls to LPD, and, during this corrupt era, the citizen was "guilty until proven innocent," so I needed the extra proof that I was NOT guilty of harassing phone calls—to show that LPD's illegal phone block was also unjustified.)**

**\*\* Friday, 28 April 2006:** I went to LPD's Public Records department, and made some request (probably the one above, which kept getting ILLEGALLY denied), and not only did they dis me and not grant said request, but also ILLEGALLY requested my photo ID as a requirement. (Note: I'm not afraid to show my ID, as I haven't broken any law, but it is still the law: The agency can't request your ID when you go in to make the records request. Gladly, however, they provided me a photocopy of my Driver's License, which I then redacted and am including here. **EXPLANATION: They still keep denying my records request for the phone call, which proves a dispatcher had asked me to call I.A., and that I was "only following orders," and not making "harassing phone calls."**

**\*\* Wednesday, 25 October 2006:** I formally request an audio copy of my non-emergency call to LPD for the mid-day period of Thursday, 19 January 2006: See my Public Records request dated 10-25-2006. [Note: Although LPD later went to a "6-month" retention period, they still retained these records for up to 1 year, as evidenced, and admitted, by their response—see below, so they definitely, and unambiguously, had a copy of the phone call I had made that year.] **EXPLANATION: Since I had made continuous, contiguous, attempts at getting this public record, any delay by me, here, is excusable.**

**\*\* Friday, 27 October 2006:** In response to my very simple, straightforward Public Records request for **ONE**

**(1) SINGLE call** from my number (863-688-9880) to the police dispatch number (863-834-6900), on a particular date, Attorney Roger A. Mallory (the LPD “General Counsel”) tells me that it would cost TWO THOUSAND (\$2,000.00) DOLLARS, with half paid “up front.” **EXPLANATION:** These morons have caller-ID, meaning that the call on the date I requested (Thr. 19 Jan. 2006) would have been easy to locate. Moreover, even in the “worst case scenario,” a search for all calls made from the 17<sup>th</sup> to the 23<sup>rd</sup>, the “boundary” dates I gave, would have yielded only a few calls. **EXPLANATION:** Atty. Mallory obviously remembers the **\*\*last\*\*** time I made a public records request of this type: I had been falsely arrested, and the police report claimed that the dispatcher told me to get out of the area where I was, a basis for supposed interference in a police investigation. However, if you listen to the call, and compare it to the report, you'll see that the dispatcher made NO SUCH statement. So, Mallory apparently recalled that LPD was “burned” by my last Public Records request (which proved perjury and thus corruption), and was, thus, reluctant to grant any further records request, and placed an unreasonably-high “price tag” on this one. **PROOF:** You ask ANY police department tech if my request was “that hard,” or see subsequent records requests (on “non-embarrassing” matters) I've made—and which were granted for little or no cost.

**NOTE:** Since my records request – for a call to LPD, proving that I had only been “following orders” to call I.A. – and not making harassing phone calls – was denied, I had NO way to prove that I **\*\*wasn't\*\*** a harassing phone caller, and thus felt very, very, very uncomfortable approaching the Lakeland City Counsel to report my illegal phone blocking. **[Note to self: Add references for my claims, above.]**

**Friday, 19 January 2007:** I wrote the FDLE (the Florida Department of Law Enforcement) and informed them that LPD had ILLEGALLY blocked me from calling them, as well as their ILLEGAL refusal to release a simple public records request on one phone call to them (right before I was blocked.) **EXPLANATION:** They refused to do their job, so I can't be faulted for any delay in this regard: See below for their admission that they weren't going to do their job and enforce the Public Records laws.

**Thursday, 15 March 2007:** The FDLE wrote me back about my complaints against LPD, and said that simply because LPD said it was OK, that it must be OK, and to write the State Attorney's office, if I disagreed (**which I had already done: See above in 27 Feb. 2006 entry**). HOWEVER, they neither acknowledged, addressed, nor fixed the call-blocking issue. (And, they absconded their duty to ensure enforcement & compliance of the Public Records laws: See above.) **EXPLANATION:** Since I had made continued attempts at both getting the public records (the call showing that I was only “following orders” to call I.A., and thus not making “harassing phone calls” to LPD) as well as reporting to the FDLE that my phone was ILLEGALLY blocked—and they did nothing, for either, I can not be faulted for any delay in this regard. (Even had they given serious consideration to my illegal phone block complaint, I still had **\*\*NO\*\*** way of proving that I wasn't making harassing phone calls to LPD, and, during this corrupt era, the citizen was “guilty until proven innocent,” so I needed the extra proof that I was NOT guilty of harassing phone calls—to show that LPD's illegal phone block was also unjustified.)

**Wednesday, 01 September 2010:** I wrote Sam Cardinale, Director, Polk County, Fla., State Attorney's Office (863-534-4800) asking for help in enforcing the law about three (3) areas where LPD broke the law: (1) Perjury in their police report (2) Them blocking my calls to the police department, and (3) their refusal to comply with the Public Records law (as discussed above). **EXPLANATION:** As my letter shows, he'd promised to look into the perjury issue, but changed his mind (read: lied to me) when I brought him the audio I'd gotten under a previous public records request (showing that the police report ILLEGALLY misquoted the dispatcher in its claims of what she allegedly told me to do or not to do), and, as discussed above, this is not my fault, and so any delays I had in reporting the ILLEGAL phone blocking are excusable.

**Friday, 01 October 2010:** I wrote the Florida Attorney General and informed them that LPD had ILLEGALLY blocked me from calling them, as well as their ILLEGAL refusal to release a simple public records request for

one phone call to them (right before I was blocked.) **EXPLANATION:** Since I had made continued attempts at both getting the public records (the call showing that I was only “following orders” to call I.A., and thus not making “harassing phone calls” to LPD) as well as reporting to the FDLE that my phone was ILLEGALLY blocked—and they did nothing, for either, I can not be faulted for any delay in this regard. (Even had they given serious consideration to my illegal phone block complaint, I still had **\*\*NO\*\*** way of proving that I wasn't making harassing phone calls to LPD, and, during this corrupt era, the citizen was “guilty until proven innocent,” so I needed the extra proof that I was NOT guilty of harassing phone calls—to show that LPD's illegal phone block was also unjustified.

**Wednesday, 09 April 2014:** I make another public records request to Charles March, IT, LPD (Information Technology Department), for my brief, but important, phone call to LPD on Thursday, January 19, 2006. While I don't have a copy of his response, I seem to recall him telling me that they no longer had the audio recordings of those incoming phone calls to LPD. **EXPLANATION:** Since I was making reasonable efforts to get a copy of this phone call, proving that I wasn't a “harassing phone caller” to the LPD, and was still denied, and delay is excusable, here. I also notified him of the ILLEGAL phone block, but LPD was still quite corrupt during that era, and “good luck” at getting an embarrassing Public Records request, or any public admission that they'd been ILLEGALLY blocking calls and/or denying chapter 119 Public Records Requests – and/or committing perjury in official police reports.

**Monday, 03 August 2015:** I filed a sworn affidavit in GMAC v. Daniggelis, when I discovered provable mortgage fraud (Case No.: 2007 CH 29738, IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT – CHANCERY DIVISION), in which an elderly friend had lost his house due to admitted forged signature felony fraud photocopy forgery, and because I was afraid a false arrest by LPD would jeopardize my involvement in this case, I was afraid to pursue LPD's corruption any further at that time. **EXPLANATION:** Since my friend was / is elderly, and loss of his house places his life in jeopardy, I did not feel comfortable risking having a false arrest over obvious LPD corruption interfere with my opportunity to possibly save my elderly friend's life, which was placed in jeopardy by him being an elderly man ILLEGALLY made homeless. Since I nearly won in court on the Terri Schiavo matter ALL BY MYSELF, I felt that I had a chance to “make a difference,” here. – PROOF:

[1] *In Re: GORDON WAYNE WATTS (as next friend of THERESA MARIE 'TERRI' SCHIAVO)*, No. SC03-2420 (Fla. Feb.23, 2005), denied 4-3 on rehearing. (Watts got 42.7% of his panel) <http://www.FloridaSupremeCourt.org/clerk/dispositions/2005/2/03-2420reh.pdf>

[2] *In Re: JEB BUSH, GOVERNOR OF FLORIDA, ET AL. v. MICHAEL SCHIAVO, GUARDIAN: THERESA SCHIAVO*, No. SC04-925 (Fla. Oct.21, 2004), denied 7-0 on rehearing. (Bush got 0.0% of his panel before the same court) <http://www.FloridaSupremeCourt.org/clerk/dispositions/2004/10/04-925reh.pdf>

[3] *Schiavo ex rel. Schindler v. Schiavo ex rel. Schiavo*, 403 F.3d 1223, 2005 WL 648897 (11th Cir. Mar.23, 2005), denied 2-1 on appeal. (Terri Schiavo's own blood family only got 33.3% of their panel on the Federal Appeals level) <http://media.ca11.UsCourts.gov/opinions/pub/files/200511556.pdf>

**NOTE:** I have been deeply involved in this case, subsequently directly intervening, to protect interests of monies owed me by my friend, and the case is now in Federal Court: 1:19-cv-03473 **\*\* Watts v. Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois et. al. (N.D. Illinois, Federal District Court)**, and thus too busy to take any further time to address LPD's bizarre ILLEGAL behaviour, but at some point, I must “make time,” because we've waited too long for justice on the various BIZARRE and ILLEGAL behaviour: Illegal call-blocking, illegal perjury in official reports, illegal denials of reasonable Public Records requests, and that's not even counting their sordid history of corruption. **Google: Lakeland Florida Police Department corruption, if you doubt:** <https://www.Google.com/search?&q=Lakeland+Florida+Police+Department+corruption>

**Thursday, 03 May 2018:** My father (Bobby Watts) unexpectedly passed away, and so, when I was planning on finally addressing LPD's corruption, I had to quickly postpone it. **EXPLANATION:** If I were trying to sell

dad's shop inventory and clean out the shop (for the landlord, so we could avoid additional rental fees and do right by the landlord), and then had LPD falsely arrest me, I would have lost ALL the inventory my father had worked a life to accumulate, and would have seen my meager inheritance thrown into a trash bin by the landlord (who would have been unable to do anything else, as he is not a car parts salesperson), so any delay here is excusable.

**Wednesday, 06 June 2018, or thereabout:** I nearly bled to death due to food poisoning, and possibly a bad reaction to OTC (over the counter) pain meds I took in response to the food poisoning. **EXPLANATION:** I was quite obviously incapacitated for a number of months, and unable to embark on ANY new projects—including, of course, wide-spread corruption in the Lakeland Police department—especially, given how corrupt their misdeeds were. Lakeland Regional Medical Center (and family & friends) can confirm this.

**Monday, 20 August 2018:** My mother and I, who were living at 821 Alicia Road, Lakland, FL 33801, got an eviction notice from the landlord. **EXPLANATION:** We had to be out of the house by December 01, 2018, but my mother (and father) were hoarders and packrats, and moving was a VERY difficult and tedious event. Suffice it to say that no new project—of any sort—was possible at that time, and risking a false arrest by LPD would have allowed all our life's belongings to be trashed, as I would've been unable to move them from House-A to House-B.

**December 01, 2018 to present:** The house which my mother won from my father in a divorce settlement (the “family” homestead) was packed full of junk, and unlivable because my mother, who was and is a hoarder and packrat, crammed it full of stuff. —It became unable to live in it—she moved in with me and dad, doing the same to the Lakeland house (before we got evicted). We were moving back in to our “homestead,” and repeatedly repairing or replacing many broken things (water pump, air conditioner, refrigerator, both of our vehicles, which are hanging by a string, etc.). **EXPLANATION:** Suffice it to say that there was no way I could take on any new project, while I was far, far, far too busy repairing everything under the sun here at our broken-down “homestead” house: I couldn't risk getting falsely arrested by LPD for exposing such embarrassing fraud and corruption when so busy with all this.

**ADDENDUM:** Moreover, when LPD began all it's BIZARRE fraud and corruption (illegal phone blocking, easily-provable perjury in official reports, illegal refusal of simple chapter 119 Public Records Requests, etc.), I had NO camcorder or “smartphone” to record & document things like an illegal phone block, I still was illegally denied my one key public records request (which would show I wasn't making harassing phone calls), and it is on record that the department was STILL VERY corrupt for many years afterward. So, any attempts by a “small” person (without political mojo or gravitas) to expose such embarrassing corruption would have without any doubt resulted an a second false arrest. Plus, documenting all this (given I had an old Windows XP Computer with sometimes dialup speed connections), and coding it into the proper format, and copying, publishing, and distributing it to news media, and the proper state agencies, was –and is– not easy. (Proof: If you think it's hard for you to **\*\*read\* \*all this, imagine how difficult it was for a poor, 'small' person to \*\*write\*\***, organize, and collate – and publish, and distribute – all this – all the while, proofreading it to make sure I don't make a fool of myself by exaggerating – or diminishing and overlooking – and key claims.)

**CONCLUSION:** However, after finally moving in, and getting a “slight” reprieve in all the repairs –and other distractions – I feel that I have a moral obligation to report this (and other) long-standing corruption by LPD.

**Gordon Wayne Watts**

( <https://GordonWatts.com> / <https://GordonWayneWatts.com> )

*See below for documentation of above claims...*



Repair Resolution Center  
P. O. Box 110  
FLTP0798  
Tampa, FL 33601

January 31, 2006

Mr. R. F. Watts  
821 Alicia Rd  
Lakeland, FL 33801

Re: 863/688-9880

Dear Mr. Watts:


Per your request, the following is the recorded trouble history for the above referenced telephone number. Our trouble reporting and tracking system reflects the following report during the following dates:

|                   |                                      |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Date of report:   | January 30, 2006 at 8:06 a.m.        |
| Trouble reported: | Can't call out                       |
| Trouble repaired: | January 30, 2006 at 1:16 p.m.        |
| Trouble found:    | Call block on the line from 834-6900 |

Verizon sincerely apologizes for any inconvenience you may have experienced as a result of the trouble on your line.

If you have any questions concerning this information or if I can be of further assistance, please contact me at (813) 274-3912

Sincerely,

  
Allen Hughes  
Supervisor  
Tampa - Verizon Repair Resolution Center

AH:ds

[C6]

Received by Postal Mail -  
by:  
Gordon Wayne Watts  
D.W.E.

From the Desk of:



Gordon W. Watts  
821 Alicia Road  
Lakeland, FL 33801-2113  
(863) 688-9880  
Gww1210@aol.com  
<http://GordonWatts.com>

Friday, 06 January 2006

Lakeland Police Department  
219 N. Massachusetts Avenue  
Lakeland, Florida 33801-4972  
863-834-6900

Dear LPD Sergeant: (I was told by LPD Sgt. Hans Lehman to come in during Charlie squad shift and give this to LPD 269's rotating sergeant)

After a few things I have seen with my own eyes, I am almost afraid to even submit an Internal Affairs citizen complaint, and indeed: My concerns are not felt by myself alone: My father has told me that he disapproves of this, my action, even though he has said that I was mistreated by LPD on several occasions. His exact words (regarding my decision to report suspicious behavior of LPD personnel) were "you'll never learn;" However, regarding my mistreatment that provoked my decision to report this to you, he has said that if I had left the scene of the incident, as the cop later said I should have, I would have probably been arrested for "leaving the scene of an accident and fleeing and eluding," meaning I was damned if I do leave and damned if I don't -the latter being what I did. (#1: These comments are about a simple traffic ticket, but #2: I have also had LPD officers accuse me of helping \*\*another\*\* person flee and elude, when they knew full well that I had in fact, helped them catch the suspect. #3: After I was false arrested on that -my only arrest ever, not hard to determine which one, and went to court, it is on record that your department illegally refused to release the 911 tapes into discovery, something you know your colleagues in the communication section shouldn't have done -but did because they knew this would exonerate me. #4: As if that wasn't enough, I have witnessed the illegal arrest of others -at least once in person and another time, via a records search of the county courthouse, arrests of several panhandlers; While panhandling begging is something we both detest, arrest of suppression of this behavior has been found illegal by the courts -because it is "protected free speech," so long as the panhandler doesn't step into traffic or threaten anybody: Now, before I go into my own complaint, I feel that you may be like that one LPD investigator in financial crimes and tell me it's none of my business what happens to other suspect, just as #5: This same investigator had told me when I asked him to investigate a \$300.00 bad check case when we knew who it was, but in a case, in which that officer (forget his name) told me it was about my father being ripped off, not me, so it was none of my business. On points 4 and 5 above, it was and would be wrong to make the argument it is "none of my business," because -as you know, I \*AM\* supposed to report suspicious behavior.) ~~~ OK, enough of unproven complaints: I will be specific about my complaint of illegal behavior by LPD staff.

\*\*\* Specifically, I have read your departmental policy, and, it is against policy for any officer to violate any Florida Law, no matter if it is in court or out; Actually both occurred. (Three counts of felony perjury are included, and I document these serious charges, so please take note.) \*\*\*

In late 2003, at 19:50 in the evening of Saturday, 23 August 2003, LPD 269, Charles "Chuck" Dallas, gave me a traffic ticket, and, ten minutes later, false arrested another citizen. The reason my complaint has taken so long to bring to justice is that I know that any self-respecting Sergeant would not accept a serious perjury or law-breaking charge against one of his officers unless there was proof available; However, the "slow-as-molasses" courts "dragged their feet" and didn't provide a transcript within 50 days, as required by law, so I didn't get proof of this until about December 01 or 02, last month. (See "First Enclosure," dated Nov. 28, 2005, a few days before I got it in the mail.) Then, since I am busy with a full-time job now -one that doesn't pay me much -I needed several weeks to analyze and respond to it and get you the proof that you needed. Then, when I thought I could respond timely, I was

Additional Complaint:  
# 6:  
Officers Leann Yeager & Dennis Mosser committed what the ~~Assistant~~ State Attorney's office described as: "perjury in a Non-Official" capacity - when they swore out their affidavits, falsely saying that the DISPATCHER had told me to leave the area - when she had NOT said that. (EN)

(PAGE 1 of 2)

[C3]

repeatedly misdirected to LPD. Sgt. Hans Lehman, something that was not an intentional mistake but a problem about which he says he will have a talk with other officers who need to know he is only Sergeant over officers in certain situations, like special operations, or whatever you call it -not every situation.

Then, when I finally got organized, I got called in several times at work and had to put this off until today, 06 January, but please do not complain to me about the 2003-to-2006 time delay: I am acting almost as fast as I get the proof of serious perjury charges and other documentation you need, so here we go:

**\* Since this letter is has the potential to be "long," I'll cut to the chase:**

The cop, Officer Dallas in this case:

1) Illegally arrested numerous people (See from the bottom of page 21 to the top of page 23 of the "Initial Brief" enclosed), a violation of recent court rulings, and, himself should have been arrested, and his supervisor disciplined, arrested, and/or **sued** in his official capacity for repeatedly allowing this. (Two panhandlers and, I myself, constitute **three (3)** people he's illegally arrested, and I'm sure there were more; I don't plan to **sue** today, but please do see "Document 2," in which I -by a 4-3 decision, almost won the Terri Schiavo Habeas Corpus petition -and, before you laugh, see Jeb's brief court ruling enclosed here: He lost 7-0. *Who did better?*)

2) He violated the State Law regarding improper backing: I waited until traffic was clear before I backed, and any vehicle that was "impaired" was such when I was stopped, not backing, and this, like "slow driving," is not against the law. ~~~ Violation of a state law -even this one -violated LPD departmental policy, as the printed handout proclaims.

3) FLORIDA STATE LAW 92.525 (Verification of documents; perjury by false written declaration, penalty) makes it a third degree felony for anyone (Dallas included) to perjure, and we know he took two oaths, one in court that day, and another when he took his oath as an officer.

(a) He committed perjury when he claimed that the panhandler that night was Dan Bishop, when it was later found to be Earl Savage; While this may seem an innocent mistake (was it?), he never retracted his words even after proof rolled in he was wrong.

(b) He committed perjury when he initially tried to buffalo the court into believing he had no complaints on his disciplinary record.


(c) He committed perjury when after the court asked him if he had had any prior dealings with me, he said "No, no," even though he full well knew that he had arrested me in the past.

Especially on point "C" above, he was either lying (impaired integrity) or very, VERY forgetful (impaired memory, which bolsters that second-hand testimony received into evidence regarding cannabis usage by Dallas.) Either one is bad: A cop must have both good memory and flawless integrity.

4) Although not the subject of this complaint, it is on record that LPD illegally arrested me: The arrest, which later was refused for prosecution by the State's Attorney office, involved more than one officer, who violated the "police scanner" statute: My scanner was not mounted, as the statute required. ~~~ OK, while I may have not violated the letter of the law here, I'll admit I may have found a "legal loophole" and violated the "spirit of the law," but charges made by Dallas and LPD Officer, Melanie Yeager, and or others that I helped the suspect flee and elude or words to that effect (regarding my illegal arrest) are false, and this is why your communications division refused for a while to release the 911 tapes to the public defender's office: They knew the tapes would show that I HELPED the cops catch the guy, not HINDER them or help the gut flee and elude. I am also willing to testify that then Lt. Henson, while a very nice guy and motorcyclist extraordinaire, nonetheless refused a chapter 119 public information request a long time ago from his Communications section. All these points added together are troublesome. (Don't feel bad: Both the traffic court judge and the judge in the scanner arrest were worse violators of law. The latter refused to compel LPD to comply with the law on discovery to my public defender, and the former didn't call the cop on the perjury charge, so this shows "Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act"-type cooperation between cops and courts.) However, I will call the cop on this: The charges above, 1 and 2, plus the three charges under point 3 (not even including charge #4 above -or perjury, defamation of character, libel, slander committed against me) are five different violations, for which I bring an internal affairs complaint. ~ If point 4 won't overload you, I bring this as well. Please see the enclosures, e.g., the official court brief and official verbal transcripts of the cop's words for details to verify my claims above. (Reading time for me, a slow reader, of all this, was less than 30 min as I recall.)

*With kind regard, I am,*

*Sincerely,*



Gordon Wayne Watts

**My Identifying data:** Fla. DL#: W [REDACTED] ; DOB: [REDACTED] ; SSN: [REDACTED]

[c4]



**Ham, Kimberly**

**From:** Mallory, Roger  
**Sent:** Friday, January 20, 2006 10:09 AM  
**To:** Police Department  
**Subject:** Gordon Watts

Mr. Watts has recently communicated a complaint to our agency. Mr. Watts complaint was timely and appropriately received, reviewed and considered. I have forwarded a letter to Mr. Watts advising the matter has been closed by the courts and our agency and noting Mr. Watts has identified other agencies to whom he may address his concerns. Mr. Watts, thereafter, and despite the "closed" status of the matter, has telephoned our agency on a number of occasions. We all have many important tasks to perform and a duty to use our resources wisely. While I supervise only one among you it is my firm recommendation members of our agency not permit Mr. Watts to monopolize our valuable and limited time and resources discussing matters which are closed by the actions of both our agency and the courts. Mr. Watts, as any member of the public, should continue to have access to police services when enforcement of the law is appropriate; not endless debate over closed matters.

**Roger A. Mallory, Esq.**  
**General Counsel**  
**Lakeland Police Department**  
**219 N. Massachusetts Avenue**  
**Lakeland, FL 33801**  
**Ph: 863-834-6982**  
**Fax: 863-834-6984**  
**E-mail: [roger.mallory@lakelandgov.net](mailto:roger.mallory@lakelandgov.net)**

[C24]

[C24]

Rec'd  
01-31-2007

(M)

[C24]

**To:** Assistant State Attorney Mike Cusick,  
**From:** Gordon Watts  
**Subject:** The tape you requested  
**Date:** Monday, 27 February 2006

Attorney Cusick,

Here is the tape you requested the last time we spoke.

It is cued up and ready to play on side "A" -and I have provided it in both *mini*-cassette and *micro*-cassette format. It is the only phone call to the arrest case on file in my name. (I was only arrested once, and this file is also a part of the record on appeal of the traffic ticket case, which you reviewed.)

One note: You expressed concern for the statute of limitations, but I think that they have not passed, and, even if they have, your office is at fault. See the included documentation, which shows state law & case law on statute of limitations.

You are correct: The two Affiants, both police officers, committed "simple perjury," as you call it; They witnessed for one another, lied when they said that the dispatcher told me to get out of the area. The tape shows the dispatcher, instead, told me to not scare the suspect off, and was glad (she said "thank you") that I located the suspect.

(On another note, the suspect did not know the intake was recording his phone call, and his candid remarks that his truck were stolen indicate he really was victimized -and that the police department was wrong in refusing to make a stolen vehicle report -I witnessed this this with my own eyes: The man and I were in the holding cells, and the cops all ignored him when he complained his vehicle was stolen.)

It was difficult for me to locate this tape and I feel "unclean" due to the small delay in showing you the tape (you spoke to me about a month ago), but I feel no guilt in the several year delay incurred already: At the time of occurrence, I attested that the written report submitted to the court was falsified, and protested vehemently the initial refusal to turn over discovery, but when it was eventually turned over, your office did not prosecute the lying cops -nor their supervisors who allowed this.

Am I being vindictive on a "Witch hunt?" No! ...and here is proof:

One officer, Ronald Rose, made inappropriate comments about me, which I heard on the police scanner. (He called me "Signal 20," in response to me and another neighbor reporting a man knocking on doors late at night (like 11pm or so). His sergeant, Pacheco, I believe, verbally disciplined him, and I let the matter drop. So, if these other officers are disciplined, I am likely to do the same thing, but one always hears reports of cops falsifying reports, and, at some point, it must stop.

Also, when I attempted to report this, in person, as you suggested, a Detective Elisa Martin, who had never met me, threatened to arrest me on the spot. Don't feel guilty: You weren't the only one responsible: A police dispatcher, whose 3-digit number I forget, referred me to Sgt. Debra Moore in Internal Affairs. I can't prove that explicitly, but I did not know she existed until the dispatcher told me, and I only did what I was told. So, it was wrong of Det. Martin to get angry and hate me for simply following orders. (Even in the absence of anyone explicitly telling me to speak to the sergeant, I still had the right to work through the chain-of-command if I felt I had a grievance. This was not afforded me.)

One more thing to show I am not a vindictive cop-hater: At least two cops said that the Police Department could not block me from calling them; That is illegal. I say this to show that I think most cops are honest.

However, see the enclosed document from the phone company showing the Police Department did, in fact, block my number. The reason they gave was my attempt to speak to Internal Affairs's sole sergeant, and this seems to be what happened. One day after I called Internal Affairs, as the dispatcher had asked me to do (she said I couldn't speak to the chief, because I had to work the chain of command), I attempted to report a serious road blockage in front of my home, and I found our number blocked from calling the police department. My father, Bobby Watts, was a witness to this, in addition to the phone company document. So, in conclusion, Evil flourishes in the higher ranks of the Lakeland Police:

Evil Flourishes, when good cops (and state attorneys) do nothing. "...one always hears reports of cops falsifying reports, and, at some point, it must stop."

**--Gordon Wayne Watts**

Since Assistant State Attorney expressed concern on the statute of limitations, here is the law. If, however, the time expired, then the state attorney's office was at fault, and I can not protect such staff from the discipline of either the State Attorney or the FDLE.

#### 92.525 Verification of documents; perjury by false written **declaration**, penalty.--

(4) As used in this section:

(b) The term "document" means any writing including, **without limitation**, any form, application, claim, notice, tax return, inventory, affidavit, pleading, or paper.

**\*\* TRANSLATION:** No statute of limitation on perjury; However, if so, show me. **\*\***

**688.007 statute of limitations.**--An action for misappropriation must be brought **within 3 years** after the misappropriation is discovered **or by the exercise of reasonable diligence should have been discovered**. For the purposes of this section, a continuing misappropriation constitutes a single claim.

**\*\* Comment:** Section 688.007 sets **legal precedent**, in the absence of actual law. This statute is "typical," in its language that the time is tolled (suspended) if "by the exercise of reasonable diligence," the actual time was later than specified under statute. Commit this statute and the language to memory.

#### 95.051 When limitations tolled.--

(1) The running of the time under any statute of limitations except ss. 95.281, 95.35, and 95.36 is tolled by:

(a) Absence from the state of the person to be sued. *[This doesn't apply, but it sets the tone, and is a "pretty sentence."]*

(b) Use by the person to be sued of a false name that is unknown to the person entitled to sue so that process cannot be served on the person to be sued. *[This doesn't apply, but it sets the tone, and is a "pretty sentence."]*

(c) Concealment in the state of the person to be sued so that process cannot be served on him or her. *[This was accomplished by the assistant state attorney who refused to prosecute in the original trial; The statute of limitations was tolled, e.g., suspended.]*

**More "legal precedent" for extending statute of limitations here:**

[http://www.sptimes.com/2004/04/28/Tampabay/Schiavo\\_s\\_parents\\_ask.shtml](http://www.sptimes.com/2004/04/28/Tampabay/Schiavo_s_parents_ask.shtml)

"Deborah Bushnell, one of Michael Schiavo's attorneys, said he has not been irresponsible. She said he has asked the court for extensions in filing the annual care plans, which she said are often delayed because "the legal situation has been in such flux that it's been difficult if not impossible to put forward any kind of plan.""

**\*\* TRANSLATION:** This is legal precedent that the statute of limitations may be exceeded for good reason -in spite of state law 744.367 below. P.S.: You don't have to give the cite; Everyone knows about this famous case:

#### 744.367 Duty to file annual guardianship report.--

(1) Unless the court requires filing on a calendar-year basis, each guardian of the person **shall** file with the court an **annual** guardianship plan within 90 days after the last day of the anniversary month the letters of guardianship were signed, and the plan **must** cover the coming fiscal year, ending on the last day in such anniversary month. If the court requires calendar-year filing, the guardianship plan **must** be filed within 90 days after the end of the calendar year.

**(2) Unless the court requires or authorizes filing on a fiscal-year basis, each guardian of the property shall file with the court an annual accounting on or before April 1 of each year...**

**27.251 Special organized crime investigators.**--The state attorney of each judicial circuit is authorized to employ any municipal or county police officer... [Never mind; Asst. State Attorney told me he wasn't interested in the investigations of organized crimes, or, at least winced every time I brought up this topic. But this *is* legally permitted.]

**27.18 Assistant to state attorney.**--The state attorney, by and with the consent of court, may procure the assistance of any member of the bar when the amount of the state business renders it necessary, either in the grand jury room to advise them upon legal points and framing indictments, or in court to prosecute criminals; but, such assistant shall not be authorized to sign any indictments or administer any oaths, or to perform any other duty except the giving of legal advice, drawing up of indictments, and the prosecuting of criminals in open court. His or her compensation shall be paid by the state attorney and not by the state.

**27.255 Investigators; authority to arrest, qualifications, rights, immunities, bond, and oath.**--

(1) Each investigator employed on a full-time basis by a state attorney and each special investigator appointed by the state attorney pursuant to the provisions of s. 27.251 is hereby declared to be a law enforcement officer of the state and a conservator of the peace, under the direction and control of the state attorney who employs him or her, with full powers of arrest, in accordance with the laws of this state.

## The 2005 Florida Statutes

**92.525 Verification of documents; perjury by false written declaration, penalty.**--

(1) When it is authorized or required by law, by rule of an administrative agency, or by rule or order of court that a document be verified by a person, the verification may be accomplished in the following manner:


(a) Under oath or affirmation taken or administered before an officer authorized under s. [92.50](#) to administer oaths; or

(b) By the signing of the written declaration prescribed in subsection (2).

(2) A written declaration means the following statement: "Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing [document] and that the facts stated in it are true," followed by the signature of the person making the declaration, except when a verification on information or belief is permitted by law, in which case the words "to the best of my knowledge and belief" may be added. The written declaration shall be printed or typed at the end of or immediately below the document being verified and above the signature of the person making the declaration.

(3) A person [including a police officer, according to the nice Asst. State Attorney I met] who knowingly makes a false declaration under subsection (2) is guilty of the crime of perjury by false written declaration, a felony of the third degree, punishable as provided in s. [775.082](#), s. [775.083](#), or s. [775.084](#).

**TRANSLATION:** *The assistant state attorney is legally employed to be drawing up of indictments in accordance with the laws of this state, including indictments against cops who willingly perjure to bully citizens. [Note: In this case 2 cops did & supervisors upheld and protected these illegal actions.]*



— The Sunshine State —

LICENSE NUMBER

**W** Redacted!! -GW **-0**

**GORDON WAYNE WATTS**  
**821 ALICIA ROAD**  
**LAKE LAND, FL 33801-2113**

|  |          |           |       |          |
|--|----------|-----------|-------|----------|
| BIRTH DATE   | SEX      | HGT.      | REST. | ENDORSE. |
| <span style="background-color: red; color: black;">[REDACTED]</span> -66 | M        | 5-09      |       |          |
| ISSUED   | EXPIRES  | DUPLICATE |       |          |
| 03-26-02   | 05-16-09 | 00-00-00  |       |          |

*Gordon Watts*

SAFE DRIVER

Operation of a motor vehicle constitutes consent to any sobriety test required by law.

~~894-6983~~

~~894~~

The LPD Records Dept asked to see my Florida Drivers License when I made my initial request for access to public records on Friday, 28 April 2006, near 5 P.M.

(DWI)



- \* Truth is the strongest, most stable force in the Universe.
- \* TRUTH doesn't bend to the will of tyrants.
- \* In all your getting...
- \* Get Truth.

From the desk of:  
Gordon Wayne Watts

Wednesday, October 25, 2006

Roger A. Mallory, Esq., General Counsel,  
Lakeland, Florida Police Department  
219 North Massachusetts Avenue  
Lakeland, Florida 33801-4972

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Counselor Mallory,

I have received and reviewed your letter of June 09, 2006, in which you clarified which records are available and which records are not. **Thank you for providing me two of my three requests.** I have reviewed them, and they seem to be in good working order. While they were not the most important records in my public records request, they will prove useful in clarifying some concerns I have about the actions of certain employees of your department, but because I wish to make things simple, I wish to first procure the third record sought. Because you have indicated to me in your last letter that there exist "limitations in the technology available to the custodian of the relevant 'records' of the City of Lakeland Police Department," I shall endeavor to provide you with the "specific identifying information" you had said that you need:

**"WHAT":** I request an audio copy of a communication between me and one department dispatcher. I seek this record only.

**"WHO":** I spoke to several dispatchers before speaking to the one described below, so you may find several phone calls; However, only one dispatcher directed me to the Sergeant described *infra*. This dispatcher sounded to be a Caucasian female in her late 20's or mid-to-early 30's. Although she identified herself by badge number, it was too fast for me to transcribe. However, I can tell you she is a "long-time" dispatcher, as evidenced by the fact that she addressed me by name without my having told her who I was: We recognized each other's voices from when I had previously called LPD, probably to report a vehicular wreck or domestic disturbance in my neighborhood.


**"WHERE":** This call was from my home phone number (863-688-9880) to your main dispatch number (863-834-6900).

**"HOW":** I expressed displeasure with regard to the manner in which LPD Internal Affairs handled a recent Complaint Registration Form and asked to speak or be transferred to the Chief of Police regarding that matter. The dispatcher informed me that I must follow the "chain of command" as she put it. She instead directed me to contact a certain Sergeant in Internal Affairs during regular business hours, and, according to my records, she gave me the Sergeant's name and telephone number.

**"WHEN":** According to my records, I made this phone call on approximately late AM or early PM on Thr Jan 19, 2006. First, there were several phone calls made from my number to your intake number, where phone calls are recorded, during that time period. They are bounded on the 'early' end by Jan 17, 2006, because they were in response to a letter I received on that date. They are bounded on the 'late' end by approximately 11:11am on Jan 23, 2006, because that is the last phone call I made before I commenced my 2:30pm shift at my Security Guard job. They were in no wise made after approximately 10:30pm on Jan 23, 2006, because my attempts to report a dangerous vehicular road obstruction near my residence that evening were unsuccessful due to the Lakeland Police Department having blocked all local telephone calls from my home phone number to their main dispatch. **(In other words, the call was not before the 17<sup>th</sup> and not after the 23<sup>rd</sup>.)**

Counselor Mallory, I do not make this request lightly. The conversation in question is critical to a matter which I will be glad to share with you once I get documentation in question. I do not think this dispatcher did anything wrong, but as your records have told you, I have chapter 119 authorization. Again, in only this one conversation did any dispatcher refer me to Internal Affairs and cite the "chain of command" as the reason for this choice. If I may be of any assistance, please let me know.

With kind regards, I am, Sincerely,

  
Gordon W. Watts

821 Alicia Road \* Lakeland, Florida 33801-2113 \* (863) 688-9880 \* [www.GordonWatts.com](http://www.GordonWatts.com)

[C 14]



- Respect
- Integrity
- Team Work
- Excellence

## Lakeland Police Department

Roger Boatner  
Chief of Police

October 27, 2006


Gordon Watts  
821 Alicia Road  
Lakeland, FL 33801-2113

Mr. Watts:

I am in receipt of your letter of October 25, 2006 requesting an "...audio copy of a [telephone] communication..." placed from telephone number 863-688-9880 to telephone number 863-834-6900 at a time you have not identified, to a LPD dispatcher you have not identified by name, employee number or the like. You have disclosed to us you had spoken to several unidentified LPD dispatchers before engaging in the conversation you claim you had; a conversation you are asking LPD to find among the hundreds of telephone calls received by each of our many dispatchers each day.

While it may not be impossible to accomplish the task you have requested we decline to ask any of our hard-working employees to stop the police work they are doing to engage in your requested task unless and until you pay in advance, 50% of our expected costs in utilizing our resources to do so. Those costs total an estimated \$2,000. Unless, therefore, we receive your payment of \$1,000 we decline to complete the task you have now, in your October 25, 2006 correspondence, asked of us.

Sincerely,

  
Roger A. Mallory, General Counsel

C15

[C 15]

From the Desk of:



**Gordon W. Watts**

821 Alicia Road  
Lakeland, FL 33801-2113

H: (863) 688-9880 / C: (863) 513-4315

[Gww1210@aol.com](mailto:Gww1210@aol.com)

<http://GordonWatts.com>

Florida Department of Law Enforcement  
ATTN: Professional Compliance Section  
Subject: "Addendum to Previous"

Friday, 19 January 2007

Dear FDLE:

Since I sent you recent report (dated 11 Jan 2007), and delivered to your office in Tallahassee at 7:23 AM on January 16, 2007 (USPS, Label Number: 7005 1160 0001 9046 7012) and a copy to your Lakeland Office (to keep a promise I had made to one special agent regarding giving him a copy), three things have happened that require me to submit an "addendum to previous," and titled this way, as case worker, Mr. Murphy, in your Tallahassee Office said I should title this letter:

1) **LATE ARRIVAL AFTER PRESS TIME:** After I sent you that report on the 11<sup>th</sup>, a letter arrived in the mail from the Lakeland Police Department late that evening, in reply to my Public Records Request to LPD of the 21<sup>st</sup> of December. I had all but given up on them responding, since I had waited almost a month. (You can read their letter, in which they refuse to release some records -and ask me to pay an exorbitant -and probably quite illegal -amount for a few others.)

2) **TYPOS:** Because of this "late arrival," the "TABLE OF REFERENCES" on page v. of my report must be updated, so I am Re-Submitting my original report, and correcting this typo; Additionally, I found NUMEROUS other small typos, and I am correcting them too. **Fret not over this matter: It shall be very easy to spot the corrections:** I shall highlight them in bright yellow in a corrected copy. So, what this means in Plain-English is that the original report I submitted is still "good," except that this addendum here merely points out a few small typos. (Sorry, but I am human and make typographical errors.)

3) **NEW COMPLAINT - possibly MORE PERJURY by a LEO:** I had originally not planned to put this in, probably because I had found so many other valid complaints, and this one was, honestly, borderline, but caseworker Murphy said it sounded like it might be perjury to him (when I read him the transcript), so I am including it. LPD Officer, Chuck Dallas made two identifiably false statements under my direct cross-examination of the traffic court case documented here:

a) Please note on **page 11** of the transcript (page 72 of the Transcript of Record on Appeal of Case No. CI03-012168-LD; Appeal Case No. GG-9), that officer Dallas insists that the person he (falsely) arrested for trespass on public property was Dan Bishop (lines 15-17 on **page 11**, referring to his testimony on **page 7**, generally). Although he was wrong, and would not admit it later, even after he had a chance to see the records which you can see (reference: [E1], which you already have), it is hypothesized that he simply made a mistake. Evidence for this theory is the fact that it doesn't seem likely that he could gain anything by naming the wrong person (he falsely arrested numerous people). However, it does indicate a memory problem - AND a refusal on his part to review the official records and make a correction. Both are bad for a cop.

b) However, on the bottom of page 11 and the top of page 12 of that transcript, we find Mr. Dallas claiming, under my cross-examination, that he had nothing in his file. We know that to be false (please see a copy of his LENGTHY disciplinary record included: Over 32 citizen complaints as of late 2003 when the record was prepared). Yet, it is possible, I admit, however,



unlikely, that he is telling the truth here. Note, if you would that I asked him to clarify: I asked him if there were any citizen complaints at all made against him. He says there MAY be, but, if they existed, they were "unfounded," that is, deemed to be invalid complaints by Internal Affairs. There, I admit, is a weak case in support for this claim by the officer:

It is a widely known fact that LPD keeps their officers in the dark about things. Here are three examples to support this theory:

TYPO: a "slightly" different.

i) The night I tried to call police and report a road obstruction near my home (cf. [Track02.cda] CD audio of: 23Jan2006\_circa1130pm\_GWattsCallToLPD.wma), I was unable to call the main dispatch (863-834-6900), and I was also unable to call the lobby desk (863-834-6929), as shown by reference [C6]. However, I kept trying to call, using a different number, something like 834-6901, or 834-6930 -in other words, a slightly different number. I finally found a number to the police station that wasn't blocked, simply by trial and error. I had to press many extensions to get to the duty desk. As soon as I got a real live, person, I asked why my number had been blocked to the "regular" numbers. As my notes contemporary from that time period indicate, I spoke with an **Officer "Bowling"** (pronounced like "Bowling Ball," I believe) who assured me that LPD couldn't and wouldn't block my phone number, and he asked me to try again calling the downstairs lobby number, 834-6929. I was unable, and he asked me if I wanted an officer dispatched. (I had already called in on my pre-paid cell phone account, as high cost to me.)

ii) I then called back on the alternate number, and, again -after much pressing of buttons to get the right extension, I again got hold of the station duty desk at the lobby. This time, I spoke to an **Officer "Crackum."** (Spelling uncertain on both this and previous. This officer assured me that LPD couldn't block a person's phone from calling the police department.

iii) Sometime later, when I was shopping at the local Wal-Greens here in Lakeland, I saw an officer there who had been assigned special duty. I recall (but am not 100% certain) that it was **LPD Officer, Virgil Cardin**, a middle-aged white male officer. I asked him about who I could talk to if my Public Records requests were being repeatedly denied, and he (predictably) suggested the records department -which was of no help here. However, and this is the main point, when I asked him why LPD could or would block my number from calling, he said that they could not do that. When I told him I had proof from the phone company, he then apologised to me, saying that I might be correct, but he had not thought they could do that -because he had wanted to think the best about his department. Because of his candor and the tenor of his voice, I believe he was telling the truth.

My point here? Three "good" officers were "kept in the dark" about routine (and probably illegal) departmental policy to block citizen telephone numbers, and, if this is true (I believe all 3 officers were candid and totally unaware of this illegal practice), then it might be possible that Officer Chuck Dallas ALSO was kept in the dark about the 32+ citizen complaints made against him. However, if he was telling the truth, then this police department has a major problem of lying to and keeping in the dark its sworn officers. What, now? They can't trust the officers to "know the truth?" What it look more like to me is that this police department INDEED has something to hide -and thus does not tell its officers. If, however, LPD insists innocence on this charge (lying to police officers and/or failing to make them aware of routine things -like citizen complaints and phone-blocking policies), then we MUST OF NECESSITY conclude that Mr. Dallas \*did\* know there were numerous complaints made against him. Thus, this action, if true, would constitute yet another instance (read: pattern of behaviour) of perjury by a LEO. Remember, however, if this is not true, then, as I stated above, we have a department that can't even trust its own cops to know the truth, and this is possibly as scary as the perjury here: The police department has no motive to hide facts from its cops -unless it is trying to cover up illegal behavior (such as the phone-blocking policy here). Either way it is scary. Well, I know this is a lot of paperwork, but I hope you pace yourself and don't work too hard -after all, it is never good to hate your job, but rather to find the enjoyable aspects of it. *With kind regard, I am,*

Sincerely,

  
Gordon Wayne Watts

Fri. 01-19-2007

My Identifying data:

Redacted!

ERATTA / ADDENDUM Re the 32+ citizens: Ofc. Dallas' claim that he did not know of the 32+ complaints here is proof that Internal Affairs NEVER investigated even the first complaint: In order for them to properly investigate a complaint, they have to speak with the officer in question, and apparently they didn't. --GW



Florida Department of  
Law Enforcement

Gerald M. Bailey  
Commissioner

**Criminal Justice Professionalism Program**  
Post Office Box 1489  
Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1489  
(850) 410-8600  
[www.fdle.state.fl.us](http://www.fdle.state.fl.us)

Charlie Crist, *Governor*  
Bill McCollum, *Attorney General*  
Alex Sink, *Chief Financial Officer*  
Charles H. Bronson, *Commissioner of Agriculture*

March 15, 2007

Gordon Watts  
821 Alicia Road  
Lakeland, Florida 33801

Re: Complaint against Officer Charles Dallas of the Lakeland Police Department

Dear Mr. Watts:

We received your complaint against Officer Charles Dallas of the Lakeland Police Department and the other correspondence that you forwarded concerning issues between you and the Lakeland Police Department.

The Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission has the authority to pursue possible disciplinary action against an officer's certification if, upon investigation by the officer's employing agency, the agency sustains a violation of the moral character standard as outlined in Rule 11B-27.0011, Florida Administrative Code. Pursuant to Section 943.1395(5), Florida Statutes and Rule 11B-27.003, Florida Administrative Code, the department does have an obligation to investigate and report any sustained moral character violations to the Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission for possible disciplinary action against an officer's certification.

After a review of the information that you sent to our office, I made contact with the Lakeland Police Department's General Counsel, Roger Mallory, who informed me that your complaint has been investigated thoroughly, without any sustained findings. I also spoke to Sergeant Debbie Moore, who oversees operations of the Internal Affairs Unit within the Lakeland Police Department. She also confirmed that your complaint was reviewed by the department, without any sustained violations. Therefore, the Commission does not have any further jurisdiction in this matter.

If you feel that a crime has been committed, you should contact the State Attorney's Office for them to review the information that you have. Additionally, if you feel that a violation of the public records law has occurred, you should contact the Attorney General's Office.

Please understand that our office does not have the statutory authority to conduct an independent investigation against a certified officer. That responsibility lies with the officer's employing agency.

If you have any further questions or concerns, please contact me at (850) 410-8600.

Sincerely,

Glen W. Hopkins, Training and Research Manager  
Professional Compliance Section

/GWH

**From:** Gordon Wayne Watts

**To:** Sam Cardinale, Director, Polk County, Fla., State Attorney's Office (863-534-4800)

**Date:** Wednesday, 01 September 2010

**Subject:** Your request... involves Asst St Atty Mike Cusick

Sam, I hate to bother you, but you remember that, when we spoke yesterday evening, you suggested I contact the **FDLE** and a few other agencies regarding my complaints against the Lakeland Police Dept of perjury, false arrest based on this perjured affidavit, blocking my phone and (even assuming I had deserved to have my phone blocked) denying me Due Process in that, refusal to release public records showing I had been asked to call a certain office right before being blocked (which would have shown the block was retaliation), etc.

Anyhow, when I contact them, they are going to want to know why I waited this long to do something, and I'll have to give them an explanation: That I contacted your office and was turned down, but in order to do this without going through a lengthy deposition process (which would be pain that should be avoided), I'd simply like a statement from the Assistant State Attorney, Mike Cusick, who spoke with me on this as to his reasoning. Since he refused to speak with me on the phone yesterday, I think he must think I'm a dog and hate my guts, **but I don't hate him, and I'm not trying to cause him any grief** -I just want either prosecution –or, instead, a brief explanation as to why he refused to prosecute, as he promised when I spoke with him. So, I'll make it easy: I'll ask my question, and I'll let your colleague answer any way he likes. ***OK, here goes...***

My Question: Mike, you remember me when I came to your office several years ago and told you that the Lakeland Police Department had officers that committed perjury; When we spoke, you bragged that your office was not afraid to go after bad cops, and you told me that you wanted me to submit proof of the perjury to your office, and you would somehow or another look into it (or refer it to the right person). – Well, a few weeks later, when I came back to your office with a microcasstte recording of the dispatch call that showed that the 2 cops' affidavits were false lies (i.e., legally, perjury), and your secretary told me you didn't even want to hear it, I was displeased. **— So, here's my question: “Why did you refuse to look into it, as you had promised me?” – I'll make it easy for you. Please, briefly, pick the answer that you think is correct, whatever it may be:**

(a) Mr. Watts, you're lying: You never came by my office and shared with me this complaint. *(Please don't pick this answer unless you really think it's would be wise to call me a liar, but if you think I'm lying or flatly mistaken, go ahead and pick it.)*

(b) Gordon, I was over-worked and stressed and made a mistake here: I should have looked into it. Please resubmit your evidence. *(Please specify which format to submit if you chose this option.)*

(c) It was not the job of my office to take a complaint from a citizen, such as yourself, Mr. Watts; You should have submitted it to a police or investigative agency (e.g., FDLE, Sheriff's Office, Attorney General's Office) for screening -and asked them to submit it to our office.

(d) I just don't feel like answering; Instead, hire a lawyer to depose me.

(e) OTHER: *(Please fill in the blank if you don't see the right choice above)*

**--I know both of you are busy, so I'll be brief & won't bother you further at this time; Thank you, Sam and Mike, for your help, --Gordon Wayne Watts- Lakeland, Florida (Ph: 863-688-9880)**

**From the desk of: Gordon Wayne Watts**  
<http://GordonWatts.com> / <http://GordonWayneWatts.com>  
821 Alicia Road, Lakeland, Florida 33801-2113  
Home: 863-688-9880; Cell: 863-513-4315

**Office of the Attorney General, State of Florida**

PL-01, The Capitol (<http://MyFloridaLegal.com>)

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050

Switchboard: 850-414-3300; Citizens Services: 850-414-3990

Florida Toll Free: 1-866-966-7226; Fax: 850-410-1630 (Citizens' Services)

**Friday, 01 October 2010**

**Dear Florida Attorney General and staff** - I am contacting you today regarding four (4) separate matters:

- 1) Police denying First Amendment rights of Free Speech & Peaceable Assembly to citizens.
- 2) Numerous chapter 119 Public Record violations (*police have done this to many others, as news reports show*)
- 3) Police blocking peoples' phones from calling the police department
- 4) Documented racial profiling – a pattern of behaviors, as documented herein.

Referring to the documentation that I am faxing and/or sending by U.S. Postal mail, item number 1 is pretty straightforward: Cops were repeatedly told by the courts that this behaviour is/was illegal, in violation of the Ledford holdings, among others, and yet, as documented herein, persisted.

Item #2 is straightforward too: Please take note that the police made an excuse that they did not have sufficient technology to grant this request when presented with the time-frame and the telephone number from which the call was made. (I was asking for audio records of a call to dispatch.) The polices' claim here is a lie: They had the technology to block peoples' telephone calls to the department (see documentation for #3 from the phone company), so they would have had no trouble ascertaining the audio records. Also, I remember that the last time they granted such a request, I turned around and used this audio evidence against the police -as documentation that an affidavit made by several police officers was perjured testimony: The affidavit claimed the dispatcher had warned me to stay out of a certain area, but the audio of that call documents that this did not happen: I believe that after the police nearly got in trouble for this (*the state attorneys office had promised to prosecute the police for perjury at the time*), that made them VERY much more resistant / reluctant to granting future similar such Public Records requests. Lastly, you will notice the racial profiling issue which I brought to your office in the past. Notice if you would that two attorneys responding made patently false claims – in one case, claiming that the laws did not permit addressing of racial profiling, and in another case, claiming that individual people could not be assisted. The former is false, as shown by Florida public law, and the latter is shown false both by law and by the examples I raise: Although I do admit that your office typically wants to represent a class of people who are victims in a 'pattern of behaviour,' I do remember vividly that your office represented ALL the Blacks that fell into this category, but not me (Native American Indian). --- Since the officer in question did not raise any other issues except my heritage, that was the only reason I was asked to leave, and since the cop admitted that racial reasons were the only issue, your office had an open and shut case. (I do admit he claimed in the report that I had a bow and arrow, which was false, but since your office had an opportunity to depose the witnesses who were there and prove me correct. Florida's Second District Court of Appeal held that requiring the State Attorney[s office: 'SAO'] to prove that an investigative subpoena was necessary would "unreasonably impede the state attorney's ability to conduct investigations into criminal activity." State v. Investigation, 802 So. 2d 1141, 1144 (Fla. 2d DCA 2001) And, The Court goes on to say that the state cannot be required to prove in advance that a crime has occurred since "the entire purpose of the investigative subpoena is to determine whether a crime occurred." Id. At 1145 Therefore, your office, similar in nature to the SAO, would not be required to prove I was correct in my claims that I never had a bow and arrow as alleged (*and thus did not create a disturbance*) should it want to subpoena or depose a witness. In any event, *even were* this allegation true, no one alleged it created a disturbance or threatened or harmed anyone. Since your office had an open and shut case here (cop admitted to racial discrimination) -and dropped it -and has 2 attorneys lie about the laws, I am very tempted to drag you into Federal court right about now & bring up R.I.C.O. or similar, but because of my religious convictions (e.g., "love your enemy"), I feel I should give you one more chance.

Do know one thing: My religious convictions (Psalm 82:3-4; Proverbs 24:11-22; Proverbs 31:8-9) also do NOT allow me to be silent while people abused, and so I must pursue this -even if it means suing the daylight out of you in Federal Court (let's try to avoid that one, OK?). Oh, one more thing: You may notice that some of the statutes of limitations (SOL) have expired -but they had not expired when I initially contacted your office, and if you would review the concept of 'equitable tolling,' this would save you the trouble of having me to explain it to you in Federal Court: The SOL is equitably tolled in my case. Don't drop the ball this time. *With kind regards, I AM Sincerely,*

*Gordon Wayne Watts*

---

**Charles March, IT, LPD:** **[Note: file was time-stamped Wednesday, "4/9/2014 12:00 AM" – see below]**

Please get me a copy of an audio recording of the following phone call to your department:

**WHO:** I was calling to speak with the police chief, and a long-time female dispatcher, who recognised my voice, answered; she directed me to speak to Internal Affairs, instead, about my complaint.

**WHAT:** I called in by telephone, and thus the record I seek is an audio recording of this call.

**WHERE:** I called The Lakeland Police Department.

**HOW:** I made a phone call to The Lakeland Police Department's main number: 863-834-6900, from my home phone number: 863-688-9880. (I.e., I did not call 911 or some direct line, but rather the main number.)

**WHEN:** It seems like it was late morning or early afternoon on Thursday, January 19, 2006.

{{However, it was no earlier than Tue. Jan. 17, 2006, because I was calling in response to a letter from LPD that I had received. Also, it was no later than 11:11am, Mon. Jan. 23, 2006, because I left for my security guard job at that time, and it was impossible for it to have been after 10:30pm that evening, because upon arrival back home, when attempting to report a dangerous vehicular road obstruction, I discovered that my phone was blocked.}}

**WHY: (my call)** I knew of some violations of law done by LPD officers who filed a false police report, as evidenced by the fact that in my one-and-only arrest, their report made claims that a dispatcher ordered me out of the area, when, in fact the audio tapes of said call showed otherwise. This perjury constituted a false report, and the arrest based on it, thus, was a false arrest.

**WHY: (this chapter 119 request)** I was given unconstitutional treatment as punishment for having called IA, when, in fact, I was only following orders, and this audio tape is crucial to showing that I was, indeed, following orders. (Comment: I still had a right to call IA, but without this record, I will be accused of making a harassing phone call, and then subsequently having my phone blocked from calling LPD, as unconstitutional punishment. With, however, this record, I will show I advised that I didn't feel comfortable about calling IA, but was ordered to by LPD, and was only following orders.)

**COMMENTS – part 1:** This Chapter 119, Public Records request is charged with emotion, as it will help implicate many bad actors, and even more-so, since a previous attempt to get this record was resisted by a novice LPD employee trying to cover their tracks.

**COMMENTS – part 2:** The excuse given for not being able to get this audio record for a reasonable price (but, instead, a price of \$2,000.00, with a deposit of at least \$1,000.00 down) was that I did not give the exact time and name of the dispatcher. I do not remember the dispatcher's badge number, because she spoke it real fast, and I don't remember the exact time, because I did not think I would need to prove she talked to me, since I trusted that I would not be punished for just following orders.

**CONCLUSION:** However, since the prior LPD employee is making such a big deal of how hard it is, I suggest you simply pull up your caller ID and see the VERY FEW number of calls (possibly only one) I made during that time period -and then, from that, order up the audio recording –which should be a straightforward and simply task. I think I spoke to her at close to noon that Thursday, 19 January 2006.

To make thing simpler, I'll be glad to buy audio of ALL calls I made to LPD during this time-frame.

– **Gordon Wayne Watts**

**[Note: file was time-stamped Wednesday, "4/9/2014 12:00 AM" – see below]**

| Name  | Size  | Type                            | Date Modified     |
|---|-------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| done-for-now  |       | File Folder                     | 7/13/2019 4:51 PM |
| 2014-chapter119-public-records-request.doc          | 17 KB | Microsoft Word 97-2003 Document | 4/9/2014 12:00 AM |
| 2014-chapter119-public-records-request.pdf          | 64 KB | Adobe Acrobat Document          | 4/9/2014 12:00 AM |
| z2014-chapter119-public-records-request.pdf         | 69 KB | Adobe Acrobat Document          | 7/13/2019 4:48 PM |
| ZzReasonsForDelay.doc                               | 40 KB | Microsoft Word 97-2003 Document | 7/13/2019 4:49 PM |
| zReasonsForDelay.doc                                | 40 KB | Microsoft Word 97-2003 Document | 7/13/2019 4:49 PM |
| .\~lock.ReasonsForDelay.doc#                        | 1 KB  | DOC# File                       | 7/13/2019 4:49 PM |
| ReasonsForDelay.doc                                 | 40 KB | Microsoft Word 97-2003 Document | 7/13/2019 4:49 PM |
| .\~lock.2014-chapter119-public-records-request.doc# | 1 KB  | DOC# File                       | 7/13/2019 4:51 PM |

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS  
COUNTY DEPARTMENT – CHANCERY DIVISION

GMAC Mortgage, LLC n/k/a: Bank of America, N.A. )  
aka: "LaSalle Bank National Association," aka "US Bank, )  
NA," as trustee for Morgan Stanley Loan Trust 2006-16AX, )  
Plaintiff )  
vs. ) **Case No.: 2007 CH 29738**  
Richard B. Daniggelis, )  
Defendant )

AFFIDAVIT OF GORDON WAYNE WATTS

STATE OF FLORIDA  
COUNTY OF POLK

Before me, the undersigned Notary, on this 3rd day of August, 2015, personally appeared Gordon Wayne Watts, known to me to be a credible person and of lawful age, who first being duly sworn, upon his oath, deposes and says:

AFFIANT STATEMENT:

I, Gordon Wayne Watts, declare (certify, verify, and state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America **and the States of Florida and Illinois** that the the following statement is true and correct to the best of my knowledge:

I personally know Richard B. Daniggelis, who is the defendant in the above-captioned case, and who was named as a defendant in at least three (3) cases related to the same subject matter: Deutsch Bank v. Daniggelis, et al. (2004-CH-10851), GMAC Mortgage, et al. v. Daniggelis, et al. (2007-CH-29738), and Younes v. Daniggelis (2014-M1-701473). Mr. Daniggelis made me aware of mortgage fraud, but while I believed him, I had no proof of it. However, when I later obtained proof of fraud, I then discovered that This Court had not been made aware of much of the proof that I found through my own private research. So, I felt a moral obligation to bring to the attention of This Court said proof, and am doing so via this communication: Statements of Facts, Documentation to Verify, and Arguments whereof.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH:

(1) I met Mr. Daniggelis when Robert. J. More, who was his tenant from about Jan 2011 until about Oct 2013, called me from Daniggelis' home phone (312-642-0044), exposing the number via caller-ID. I have known Mr. Daniggelis for several years, but only via phone conversation; I have not met him in person.

(2) Two of these cases have been appealed to the First District Appellate Court, where Mr. Daniggelis is being represented *pro bono* by Attorney Andjelko Galic, another good friend of

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS  
COUNTY DEPARTMENT – CHANCERY DIVISION**

GMAC Mortgage, LLC n/k/a: Bank of America, N.A. )  
aka: “LaSalle Bank National Association,” aka “US Bank, )  
NA,” as trustee for Morgan Stanley Loan Trust 2006-16AX, )  
Plaintiff )  
vs. ) **Case No.: 2007 CH 29738**  
Richard B. Daniggelis, )  
Defendant )

---

**AFFIDAVIT OF GORDON WAYNE WATTS**

**STATE OF FLORIDA  
COUNTY OF POLK**

Before me, the undersigned Notary, on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2015, personally appeared Gordon Wayne Watts, known to me to be a credible person and of lawful age, who first being duly sworn, upon his oath, deposes and says:

**AFFIANT STATEMENT:**

I, Gordon Wayne Watts, declare (certify, verify, and state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America **and the States of Florida and Illinois** that the the following statement is true and correct to the best of my knowledge:

I personally know Richard B. Daniggelis, who is the defendant in the above-captioned case, and who was named as a defendant in at least three (3) cases related to the same subject matter: *Deutsch Bank v. Daniggelis, et al.* (2004-CH-10851), *GMAC Mortgage, et al. v. Daniggelis, et al.* (2007-CH-29738), and *Younes v. Daniggelis* (2014-M1-701473). Mr. Daniggelis made me aware of mortgage fraud, but while I believed him, I had no proof of it. However, when I later obtained proof of fraud, I then discovered that This Court had not been made aware of much of the proof that I found through my own private research. So, I felt a moral obligation to bring to the attention of This Court said proof, and am doing so via this communication: Statements of Facts, Documentation to Verify, and Arguments whereof.

**FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH:**

**(1)** I met Mr. Daniggelis when Robert. J. More, who was his tenant from about Jan 2011 until about Oct 2013, called me from Daniggelis' home phone (312-642-0044), exposing the number via caller-ID. I have known Mr. Daniggelis for several years, but only via phone conversation; I have not met him in person.

**(2)** Two of these cases have been appealed to the First District Appellate Court, where Mr. Daniggelis is being represented *pro bono* by Attorney Andjelko Galic, another good friend of



mine. At last check, the record on appeal was not timely submitted by Atty. Galic, in either appeals case (probably due to his heavy workload), and both of Daniggelis' appeals are (I'm guessing) in jeopardy of being dismissed for want of prosecution.

**(3)** I rarely litigate (since I'm not a lawyer), but I feel that This Honourable Court should probably know about one case in which I participated, because it is relevant to my credibility to make legal arguments in Daniggelis' case:

\* *In Re: GORDON WAYNE WATTS (as next friend of THERESA MARIE 'TERRI' SCHIAVO)*, No. SC03-2420 (Fla. Feb.23, 2005), denied 4-3 on rehearing. (Watts got 42.7% of his panel)

<http://www.floridasupremecourt.org/clerk/dispositions/2005/2/03-2420reh.pdf>

\* *In Re: JEB BUSH, GOVERNOR OF FLORIDA, ET AL. v. MICHAEL SCHIAVO, GUARDIAN: THERESA SCHIAVO*, No. SC04-925 (Fla. Oct.21, 2004), denied 7-0 on rehearing. (Bush got 0.0% of his panel before the same court) <http://www.floridasupremecourt.org/clerk/dispositions/2004/10/04-925reh.pdf>

\* *Schiavo ex rel. Schindler v. Schiavo ex rel. Schiavo*, 403 F.3d 1223, 2005 WL 648897 (11th Cir. Mar.23, 2005), denied 2-1 on appeal. (Terri Schiavo's own blood family only got 33.3% of their panel on the Federal Appeals level) <http://media.ca11.uscourts.gov/opinions/pub/files/200511556.pdf>

**(4)** As shown above, I almost won 'the' "Terri Schiavo" case – all by myself – and on the merits (it got past the clerk, who rules on technical issues, and was presented to the full court on the merits). I almost won, doing better than all others on our side combined. I am not mentioning this to brag[\*\*], but rather merely to assure This Court that, while I am not a lawyer, I do know something of law, and thus "may be of considerable help to the Court," as R.37.1 of the U.S. Supreme Court states regarding *Amicus Curiae* briefs. [\*\*]*This was a double miracle: not only my skill but even more-so my faith or courage to proceed against impossible odds and strong opposition in a highly controversial public case.*

**(5) My Interests:** Not only is Daniggelis a personal friend of mine, but moreover, even were he a total stranger, I would be outraged at the injustices here, once I realised what happened. I am only one person (and thereby limited in all respects), but I feel that one person can make a difference.

**(6)** I am the sole author of **this** affidavit, the accompanying proposed *Amicus Curiae* brief, and the related motion for leave to file and notice thereof.

**(7)** The following chronology of the facts is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, based on both lengthy conversations I've had with Daniggelis, and also based my own research (Public Records requests from your court, etc.) to verify his assertions of fact:

The property which is the subject of all this litigation, **1720 N. Sedgwick St., Chicago IL 60614**, is a house and land which was in Daniggelis' family for many years, and, at some point, passed down to him, with him as the sole owner. At some later point, Daniggelis became overwhelmed with the combined financial burden of the upkeep and, particularly, the payments, since it is an expensive house, and he was the sole owner. Subsequently, he put an ad in the paper to seek help, either for refinancing, investors, tenets, and/or repairs in exchange for reduced rent. (The details and timing of his requests are of no import: The only thing that matters is who responded and what transpired.) On 7/8/2004, the bank filed a complaint (*Deutsch Bank v. Daniggelis, et al. 2004-CH-10851*) against him for mortgage foreclosure. After proceeding *pro se* for a while, he retained Attorney Joseph Younes to represent him against the bank. On 8/9/2006, the bank moved This Court to dismiss, claiming, *inter alia*, that Daniggelis paid of the subject loan, and Judge Robert Quinn granted and dismissed. **That case is not being appealed.**

On 10/17/2007, GMAC Mortgage filed a complaint (*GMAC Mortgage, et al. v. Daniggelis, et al. 2007-CH-29738*) against Daniggelis to foreclose, apparently a result of subsequent financial distress, and apparently, US BANK NATIONAL ASSN subsequently purchased the loan and sought to continue to pursue foreclosure under subrogation. Robert J. More, an acquaintance of mine, was staying with Daniggelis from about Jan 2011 until about Oct 2013, for little or no rent, and he did light chores and research to help Daniggelis. (Mr. More introduced Mr. Daniggelis to both myself and Attorney Andjelko Galic, who currently represents Daniggelis.) When Plaintiffs named defendants, they included Mr. More, apparently in response to More's filing numerous pleadings in this case, starting with the 6/21/2013\_“INCOMING CORRESPONDENCE FILED,” which he filed *pro se*. More's name is misspelled on the docket

as 'Moore,' but the correct spelling is 'More.' Robert J. More is also trespassed from this Court House, and must have an escort to conduct business. Moreover, he is a restricted filer in this *and other* courts, based on allegations of being a vexatious litigant. However, More has told me that he has a legal right to intervene in this case, as he has an interest that is not being represented by any of the parties, since, according to More, Mr. Daniggelis may owe him some consideration for his research assistance *and* for putting him in touch with Atty. Galic. Because of this, and his prior presence on the service list in this case (2007-CH-29738), I am including him on the service list today. Lastly, while More probably does warrant censure of vexatious litigant restrictions (due to the incoherence in his filings), I will go on record as stating that More is a legal genius, a virtual walking case-law Encyclopædia, a savant on the order of “Rain man,” the famous 1988 movie starring American actor, Dustin Hoffman. Thus, I feel that Mr. More may have something to offer This Court in the way of legal analyses.

On 7/16/2008, Chicago Volunteer Legal Service entered an appearance for Daniggelis, but did not represent Daniggelis' claims after 1/20/2010. **Plaintiffs filed multiple motions for This Court to dismiss, and said motions were eventually granted.** On April 20, 2007, Daniggelis executed a Fraudulent Document Notice to both the Cook County Recorder's office (doc number: 0711039132, on 4/20/2007) and to This Court (exhibit 'F' of the July 30, 2008 filing by Atty. Benji Philips) that the July 09, 2006 Warranty Deed (doc no: 0622826137 at the Recorder's Office, on 8/16/2006) was a forgery. **Daniggelis made this declaration (thereby placing a cloud on the title), but did not offer substantive proof (duplicate signatures, etc.)** as I am doing now. On 4/8/2011, Atty. Galic entered an appearance for Daniggelis, apparently to replace Chicago Volunteer Legal Service. On 02/15/2013, Judge Michael F. Otto, in this case

(*GMAC, et al., vs. Daniggelis, et al.*, 2007-CH-29738), entered an order in favour of Younes upon his Motion for Summary Judgment and held, as a finding of law, that Younes was sole owner of the property in question and that Daniggelis had no legal interest in said property, thereby clearing the cloud that was on the title. For reasons that are not clear to me, on 8/12/2014, Judge Moshe Jacobius entered an order transferring this case to the Law Division. Galic made a late appeal to the First Appellate Court, which was denied, but appealed to the Illinois Supreme Court, which, on 03/25/2015, entered the following order: “In the exercise of this Court's supervisory authority, the Appellate Court, First District, is directed to vacate its order in *GMAC Mortgage, LLC v. Daniggelis*, case No. 1-14-2751 (09/24/14), denying Richard Daniggelis leave to file a late notice of appeal. The appellate court is instructed to allow Richard Daniggelis to file a late notice of appeal and hear the case.” (27 N.E.3d 610 (2015))

**This case is pending before the appeals court in case #:1-14-2751.**

On 01/22/2014, Attorney Joseph Younes, who had previously represented Daniggelis in the 2004 foreclosure case, *supra*, filed a F.E.D. (FORCIBLE ENTRY AND DETAINER) case against Daniggelis in the Civil Division (*Younes vs. Daniggelis*, 2014-M1-701473). This was well before the 08/12/2014 order of Judge Moshe Jacobius, transferring this case to the Law Division.

On 01/27/2015, and after much litigation that did not include key findings of fact which I found (detailed in the attached Amicus Curiae brief), Judge George Scully entered an ORDER FOR POSSESSION in *Younes vs. Daniggelis*, 2014-M1-701473 – apparently in response to Judge Otto's 02/15/2013 finding in *GMAC, et al., vs. Daniggelis, et al.*, 2007-CH-29738 that Younes was sole owner. On 2/26/2015, Galic filed a notice of appeal to the First Appellate Court

in *Younes v. Daniggelis*, case No. 1-15-0662, and the appeal is pending filing of the record. On 7/2/2015, Judge Diane Rosario entered an order extending the time for enforcement of Judge Scully's order. The Sheriff's Department served an eviction notice to enforce Scully's order, and, at last check, Daniggelis is now in the process of moving his belongings with the help of some employees of Younes.

**FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gordon Wayne Watts, Affiant

**STATE OF FLORIDA  
COUNTY OF POLK**

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged, subscribed, and sworn before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2015, by GORDON WAYNE WATTS, Affiant, who ( is / is not ) personally known to me, who ( did / did not ) produce identification as shown below, *and* who ( did / did not ) take an oath.

IDENTIFICATION TYPE: \_\_\_\_\_

IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: (\*) \_\_\_\_\_

(\*) In compliance with Rule 138, ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT RULES, "Personal Identity Information" (b)(2), "driver's license numbers," I am not including my full Driver's License Number. However, in accordance with Rule 138 (c)(2), "A redacted filing of personal identity information for the public record is permissible and shall only include: **the last four digits** of the driver's license number." Therefore, I am asking This Notary to use only the last 4 digits.

See: [http://www.IllinoisCourts.gov/supremecourt/rules/art\\_ii/artii.htm](http://www.IllinoisCourts.gov/supremecourt/rules/art_ii/artii.htm)


Notary Public: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

(Notary Stamp)

My Commission Expires: \_\_\_\_\_

in Younes v. Daniggelis, case No. 1-15-0662, and the appeal is pending filing of the record. On 7/2/2015, Judge Diane Rosario entered an order extending the time for enforcement of Judge Scully's order. The Sheriff's Department served an eviction notice to enforce Scully's order, and, at last check, Daniggelis is now in the process of moving his belongings with the help of some employees of Younes.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

  
Gordon Wayne Watts, Affiant

STATE OF FLORIDA  
COUNTY OF POLK

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged, subscribed, and sworn before me this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2015, by GORDON WAYNE WATTS, Affiant, who ( is is not ) personally known to me, who ( did did not ) produce identification as shown below, and who ( did did not ) take an oath.

IDENTIFICATION TYPE: Y Florida Drivers License

IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: (\*) Y 176-0


(\*) In compliance with Rule 138, ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT RULES, "Personal Identity Information" (b)(2), "driver's license numbers," I am not including my full Driver's License Number. However, in accordance with Rule 138 (c)(2), "A redacted filing of personal identity information for the public record is permissible and shall only include: **the last four digits** of the driver's license number." Therefore, I am asking This Notary to use only the last 4 digits.

See: [http://www.IllinoisCourts.gov/supremecourt/rules/art\\_ii/artii.htm](http://www.IllinoisCourts.gov/supremecourt/rules/art_ii/artii.htm)

Notary Public: Linnea Vogt

Date: 8/3/15

My Commission Expires: Jan 3 2017



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE Northern District of Illinois – CM/ECF LIVE, Ver 6.3.1  
Eastern Division**

Gordon Wayne Watts

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.: 1:19-cv-03473

Honorable Robert M. Dow Jr.

Circuit Court of Cook County Illinois, et al.

Defendant.

---

**NOTIFICATION OF DOCKET ENTRY**

This docket entry was made by the Clerk on Monday, July 8, 2019:

MINUTE entry before the Honorable Robert M. Dow, Jr: Plaintiff's motion to alter or amend judgment [20] is taken under advisement. The Court will issue a ruling by mail. Notice of motion date of 7/9/2019 is stricken and no appearances are necessary on that date. Mailed notice(cdh, )

**ATTENTION:** This notice is being sent pursuant to Rule 77(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Rule 49(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. It was generated by CM/ECF, the automated docketing system used to maintain the civil and criminal dockets of this District. If a minute order or other document is enclosed, please refer to it for additional information.

For scheduled events, motion practices, recent opinions and other information, visit our web site at [www.ilnd.uscourts.gov](http://www.ilnd.uscourts.gov).

## **Robert F. 'Bobby' Watts - 01/27/1935 - 05/03/2018**



LAKELAND, Fla. (Sat. 12 May 2018) - Robert Franklin

Delano 'Bobby' Watts (aka Bobby Watts), 83, died Thursday, May 3, 2018. Bobby was born at Jackson Memorial Hospital, in Miami, FL on Sunday, Jan. 27, 1935.

With the exception of a brief stint in the Navy, Bobby spent his entire life in Florida, working for the railroad, owning the American Eagle gym in Plant City, and several auto parts stores. For 43 years, he was the



very proud owner of the Bobby Watts Speed Shop. One of his first jobs was with "Big Daddy" Don Garlits, the 'King of Drag Racing.'

Over his entire life he never missed a day of work except when he was in the hospital.

An avid drag racer as a young man, and harmonica player in his later years, he found happiness in his racing and music, and strength from his Saviour Jesus Christ.

He is preceded in death by his parents, Loring M. Watts, Sr. and 'Mary' Iola Whitlock Watts Wood, his brother Loring Mitchell "Mickey" Watts, Jr., and his sisters Jessie Mattair and Janie Barnett.

Bobby is survived by his wife, Anne Watts and his son, Gordon Watts.

A memorial service will be held at 2 pm on Sat., May 12, 2018, at the Fellowship Church Assembly of God, 4405 N. Galloway Rd, Lakeland.

In lieu of flowers, please follow Bobby's example and give to someone in need.

### **Religious Service Information:**

Galloway Assembly of God

(At the intersection of Kathleen and Galloway roads -- [MAP \(zoom to street view\)](#) \*\*\* [MAP \(pan to wide view\)](#)



4405 N Galloway Rd.  
Lakeland, FL 33810

**For further information or to donate:**

\* GoFundMe: <https://www.GoFundMe.com/bobby-watts-speed-shops-family>

\* PayPal: (To DONATE, click button below:)



\* <http://www.legacy.com/obituaries/theledger/obituary.aspx?pid=188972018>

\* <https://www.facebook.com/BobbyWatts.SpeedShop>

\* <https://www.facebook.com/pages/Watts-Bobby-Speed-Shop/149395311770269>

\* <https://www.facebook.com/149395311770269/reviews>

\* <https://www.facebook.com/GordonWayneWatts>

\* [To view guestbook](#) \*\*\* [To sign guestbook](#) \*\*\* <http://www.gentry-morrison.com>

Contact: Gordon Wayne Watts  
Editor-in-Chief, The Register

\* [Gww1210@aol.com](mailto:Gww1210@aol.com) MAIN

\* [Gww12102002@yahoo.com](mailto:Gww12102002@yahoo.com) Alt.

(863) 688-9880 (main) --- 863-686-3411 Alt. --- 863-687-6141 Alt.

GordonWatts.com

GordonWayneWatts.com

# # #

Navigation:

\* Wisdom

Prov 4:7; Matt 10:16; Jas 1:5

\* Truth

Ex 18:21; Ps 51:6; Prov 3:3; John 8:32; 14:6

\* Righteousness

Ecc 12:13-14; Micah 6:8; Matt 10:16

\* Follow the Master's Example

Deut 1:35-36; John 13:15; 14:12; I Pet 2:21; 5:3

\* FIGHT for the TRUTH!

Prov 24:10; Luke 14:31; Sirach 4:28

\* [GordonWatts.com](http://GordonWatts.com) \*\*\* \* [GordonWayneWatts.com](http://GordonWayneWatts.com) \*\*\* \* [Facebook.com/GordonWayneWatts](https://Facebook.com/GordonWayneWatts) \*\*\*

\* [YouTube.com/GordonWayneWatts](https://YouTube.com/GordonWayneWatts) \*\*\* \* [Twitter.com/Gordon\\_W\\_Watts](https://Twitter.com/Gordon_W_Watts) \*\*\* \* [Gordon Watts on](#)

[Amazon.com \\*\\*\\* \\* Gordon Watts on Google+ \\*\\*\\* \\* Gordon Watts on BlogSpot \\*\\*\\*](#)  
\* [http://Gordon\\_Watts.Tripod.com/consumer.html](http://Gordon_Watts.Tripod.com/consumer.html)

/ Gordon Wayne Watts,  
/ LAKELAND, Florida, U.S.A.,  
B.S., Biological and Chemical  
Sciences (double major with honors, FSU)  
A.S., Electronics Technology  
(valedictorian, UEI)

---

***The Register:  
Not for profit***

-----

Learning to Serve

-----

Serving to Learn

-----

\_\_\_\_\_  
=====


---

---

---

---

## OBITUARIES



**ANDREW  
"HORSEHEAD"  
LAWRENCE, Jr.**

BARTOW - Andrew Lawrence, Jr., 82, died Fri., 5/4/18. View: at Gause F.H., 5-7 pm Fri, 5/11. Service at St. James AME Church at 1 pm on Sat., 5/12.



**LEWIS EDWARD  
TURLEY, 86**

LAKELAND - Lewis Edward Turley, 86, passed away early Tuesday morning, 5/8/2018, with his family by his side.

A memorial service will be held Friday, 5/11/2018, at 11:00 a.m. at the Heath Funeral Chapel to celebrate Ed's life.

Ed was born in Lakeland, Florida on September 26, 1931 to John and Ethel Turley and remained in Lakeland his entire life. He married his high school sweetheart in 1950. Betty Jean Johnson at



**EVA MAE  
HARDIN, 90**  
School Board -  
Food Service

LAKELAND - Eva Hardin died 5/2/18 at Consulate Health Care. Visitation Fri., 5-7 pm at New Bethel AME Church. Funeral Sat., 2 pm @ the Church.



**BRIAN K.  
CASTLE, 50**  
9/12/67 - 5/8/18

LAKELAND - Brian



**ELINOR CARNILL-STEINHILBER  
(nee MACQUEEN)**  
1924 - 2018

LAKE WALES - "Affectionately called Ellie," she passed away on May 5th, 2018, as gracefully as she tried to live.

Born in Philadelphia, PA to Allen & Rebecca (McCook) MacQueen, her family lived in Lansdowne, PA. Favorite memories then were from summers spent in New Hampshire with aunts, uncles, and cousins and in later years as they gathered on the Belgrade Lakes in Maine.

After graduating from the University of West



**GENEVA SMITH  
WOOTEN, 85**

APOPKA - Geneva Smith Wooten, 85, of Apopka, Florida passed away on May 05, 2018.

Mrs. Wooten was born on August 13, 1932. She graduated from Kathleen High School Class of 1950.


Mrs. Wooten is preceded in death by her sister Juanita S. Ruthven. She is survived by her loving husband of 59 years Mr. Edwin N. Wooten; her children Elaine Wooten Johnson, Sandra P. Wooten and The Honorable Wayne C. Wooten and his wife Tracey; sister Opal S. Carter; grandchildren Alexander Johnson, Chloe M. Johnson



**ROBERT  
FRANKLIN  
DELANO  
"BOBBY"  
WATTS, 83**

LAKELAND - Robert Franklin Delano "Bobby" Watts, 83, died May 3, 2018. Bobby was

## OBITUARIES



**ANDREW  
"HORSEHEAD"  
LAWRENCE, Jr.**

BARTOW - Andrew Lawrence, Jr., 82, died Fri., 5/4/18. View: at Gause F.H., 5-7 pm Fri, 5/11. Service at St. James AME Church at 1 pm on Sat., 5/12.



**ROBERT  
FRANKLIN  
DELANO  
"BOBBY"  
WATTS, 83**

LAKELAND - Robert Franklin Delano "Bobby" Watts, 83, died May 3, 2018. Bobby was born in Miami, FL on Jan. 27, 1935.

With the exception of a brief stint in the Navy, Bobby spent his entire life in Florida, working for the railroad owning a gym

**"BOBBY"  
WATTS, 83**

### LAKELAND

Robert Franklin Delano "Bobby" Watts, 83, died May 3, 2018. Bobby was born in Miami, FL on Jan. 27, 1935.

With the exception of a brief stint in the Navy, Bobby spent his entire life in Florida, working for the railroad, owning a gym and auto parts stores. For 43 years he was the very proud owner of the Bobby Watts Speed Shop. One of his first jobs was with "Big Daddy, Don Garlits" the "King of Drag Racing."

Over his entire life he never missed a day of work except when he was in the hospital.

An avid drag racer as a young man, and harmonica player in his later years, he found happiness in his racing and music, and strength from his Savior Jesus Christ.

He is preceded in death by his parents, Loring M. Watts, Sr. and Iola "Mary" Whitlock Watts Wood, his brother Loring M. "Mickey" Watts, Jr. and his sisters Jessie Mattair and Janie Barnett.

Bobby is survived by his wife, Anne Watts and his son, Gordon Watts.

A memorial service will be held at 2 pm on Sat., May 12 at the Fellowship Church As-

sembly with "Big Daddy, Don Garlits" the "King of Drag Racing."

Over his entire life he never missed a day of work except when he was in the hospital.

An avid drag racer as a young man, and harmonica player in his later years, he found happiness in his racing and music, and strength from his Savior Jesus Christ.


He is preceded in death by his parents, Loring M. Watts, Sr. and Iola "Mary" Whitlock Watts Wood, his brother Loring M. "Mickey" Watts, Jr. and his sisters Jessie Mattair and Janie Barnett.

Bobby is survived by his wife, Anne Watts and his son, Gordon Watts.

A memorial service will be held at 2 pm on Sat., May 12 at the Fellowship Church Assembly of God, 4405 N. Galloway Rd, Lakeland.

Condolences to the family may be given at [www.gentry-morrison.com](http://www.gentry-morrison.com).

In lieu of flowers, please follow Bobby's example and give to someone in need.



**NANCY LYNN  
MORGAN, 69**

AUBURNDALE

*W. Wm. Ellsworth, Jr.*

*Counsellor at Law*

*P O Box 7667*

*Lakeland, Florida 33807-7667*

*(863) 644-9197*

*Fax: (863) 644-2785*

August 20, 2018

Anne M. Watts  
Gordon Watts  
P.O. Box 4225  
Plant City, FL 33563

Re: Notice of Lease Termination and Notice to Vacate  
That home and premises located at 821 Alicia Dr., Lakeland, FL 33801

Dear Mrs. Watts & Gordon,

As you are aware, the home that you are occupying needs extensive repairs due to Hurricane Irma damage and otherwise, and in this regard the City of Lakeland on 8/2/18 has cited Wingo Investment Corporation, the owner of the above property, with 3 citations requiring repair and upkeep which Gordon has copies of. Wingo does not intend to make these repairs but intends to demolish the premises.

Accordingly, please consider this a formal Notice of Lease Termination & Vacation on behalf of Wingo Investment Corporation, the owner of the above home and premises, which you are occupying on a month-to-month basis. To accommodate you in this regard, it is requested that you vacate on or before Saturday, December 1, 2018 by not only removing yourself from the premises but anything owned by you as the owner, Wingo Investment Corporation, intends to demolish the home you are occupying in December 2018 to remove it from the tax rolls as it has determined that it is not economically feasible to make the ongoing repairs as requested and otherwise.

In the meantime, no rent will be charged you from September 1<sup>st</sup> until you vacate, and it would be appreciated if that might be early prior to December 1<sup>st</sup> with Notice of Vacation be given to Doris W. Ellsworth, President of Wingo Investment Corporation.

Sincerely,

W. Wm. Ellsworth, Jr.

Copy: 821 Alicia Drive  
Lakeland, FL 33801

Dennis Browning  
City of Lakeland  
Code Enforcement Officer  
dennis.browning@lakelandgov.net