

RE: ** Lakeland Police Call to Service Number: #P112890017

**** Lakeland Police Case Number: 2011-21204**

**** Verizon Ticket #: FLDS034GKR**

**** Federal Trade Commission reference #: 33170990**

DATE: Thursday, 08 May 2014

- **SUBJECT: In reference to harassing AND other illegal phone calls to (863) 688-9880**
- **TO: Lakeland (Florida) Police Department, at the request of LPD Sgt. Lord**
- **FROM: Author of this report is Gordon Wayne Watts, Lakeland, FL resident who has this as a home number, belonging to Robert Franklin “Bobby” Watts, his father/landlord. Gordon is a designated representative, authorised to speak on this phone number account –and, more importantly, Gordon is the target of the harassing phone calls, so it matters not that Gordon is a mere tenet/renter.**

*** This report is supplementary to various photographs of a caller ID, and to various audio recording of harassing phone calls –as well as email records which help show a pattern of harassment: Some audio and video recordings are from voice mail –and some are “live.” (Sorry, buddy: There is no expectation of privacy when you repeatedly crank call me –and even *if* such an expectation of privacy exists, the courts have consistently found that it is not one in which society is willing to protect), so all crank calls **WILL** be recorded as much as possible.**

INTRO: This is a record of crank, unsolicited, and spoofing phone calls (aka “phone cloning”) that the Verizon phone company's UNLAWFUL CALL BUREAU (800-257-2969, formerly known as 'call nuisance centre') has asked me to make a record of. They referred me to the Lakeland (Florida) Police Department (863-834-6900), and Officer Jason Leggett responded. Verizon also referred me to the LEGAL COMPLIANCE DEPT (888-483-2600). Lastly, when Legal Compliance did not comply with my request to investigate this, and I complained to Verizon for passing the buck, Verizon referred me to the FTC (the Federal Trade Commission: 877-382-4357), and they opened a case file. LPD Ofcr. Leggett said that what happened below (spoofing) was not illegal, but after talking with Verizon and the FTC, I determined that spoofing is not only a violation of Federal Law, but, since it is alteration of a cell phone to change the number, it is Identity Theft, and therefore illegal, according to appropriate Federal AND State laws.

NOTE: Yes, this is a 2011 case, and it seems “old,” but since LPD refused to do their job, assuring me, instead, that I needed to “document” more harassing phone calls, this is still an unresolved (e.g., “active” or “open”) case –and unresolved, I might add, through no fault of my own. Furthermore, after their continued refusal to do their job, I called and spoke with LPD Sgt. Lord, on Monday 05 May 2014, who assured me that they would genuinely review this case, since we have continued, even in 2014, to get harassing phone calls. *{{**}} My conversation with Sgt. Lord was more recent than my conversation with Chief Giddens, mentioned below, and so it supersedes it, especially in light of the fact that we got a lot more harassing calls since even I spoke with Giddens.*

Since the evidence adduced shows, clearly, that (#1) both spoofing and (#2) identity theft occurred (as well as #3: Harassing phone calls within the legal definition), this is enough for the Police Department to issue a subpoena to Verizon for the “Switch Records” below, which will verify or deny my claims below. Officer Leggett, when we spoke back in 2011, was fairly sure (although not 100% certain) that no law was broken, and he was well-intentioned, but as the other legal entities say that imitating a person's phone number IS illegal, I respectfully dissent, and thank him for doing his part to make it possible for Verizon to provide records. More recently{{**}}, when I continued to get harassing phone calls, I spoke by phone with Asst. Chief, Larry Giddens (the current acting Chief), who said, as I recall, that the State Attorney's Office (SAO) refused to do their job, explaining why LPD was off-the-hook here. However, both Ofcr. Leggett's explicit requirement for me to “prove my case,” and the subsequent SAO's implicit demand here, were both wrong: since there is no way to prove this without an investigation and subpoena of the records, then it's not reasonable to ask me to

“prove” my case to get the subpoena: THAT's what the subpoena is for. Since I'm not a lawyer and don't feel qualified to seek a subpoena, I'm depending on local police and SAO to do their job. Here is proof of that:

Florida's Second District Court of Appeal held that requiring the State Attorney to prove that an investigative subpoena was necessary would "unreasonably impede the state attorney's ability to conduct investigations into criminal activity." *State v. Investigation*, 802 So. 2d 1141, 1144 (Fla. 2d DCA 2001) And, The Court goes on to say that the state cannot be required to prove in advance that a crime has occurred since "the entire purpose of the investigative subpoena is to determine whether a crime occurred." Id. At 1145 (So, why then did Leggett ask me to “prove” my case in advance before a subpoena would issue!?! Not even the SAO is required to do that, and am I any greater than them!?! :p LOL)

(#1) Early P.M., Fri. Oct. 14, 2011 – 863-944-2249

Comments: This number belongs to a former acquaintance, Robert William “Bob” Hartung. Bob called AND HUNG UP ON ME about an hour or so before I left to go up town to FedEx Kinko's. **ANALYSIS:** Bob has told me in the past that he didn't want me to call him and that he had blocked my phone number –and, for a person that doesn't want contact, it's odd that Bob not only has been calling me on the phone but also sends me **BOATLOADS** of unsolicited email – see attachments to verify. *(NOTE: He also phones, text messages, and emails my friend, James Kyle Kessler, a whole bunch, as well as making videos to and about us, and then sending them to us. I have copies of the aforementioned to document this claim: see enclosed.)* I returned his call, but, for some reason, I couldn't get through. Maybe it was blocked. When I was up town, I called him from another phone to return his phone call & to ask him why he'd called me (when he said he didn't want me to call him), but I got the voice mail, and I left a message.

NOTES: I was not keen to the fact that there would subsequently be a “pattern” of harassing phone calls, and I did not know (or had forgotten) how to *57 to document them to the phone company's harassing call centre, and so no record is made therein, but these records are from my personal notes, based on info taken off caller-ID.

(#2) 9:54 PM, Fri. Oct. 14, 2011 – 862-944-2253

Comments: This came from my notes (since the more recent call had erased the caller-ID of this) This phone number called me, but when I called them back, I think they said they didn't call me: **THIS IS PROOF** that this number was “spoofed,” e.g., identity theft using a cloned phone. **ANALYSIS:** This was the first unsolicited or crank call, so I didn't know anything was up at this juncture, but I found it ****real odd**** that it was very similar to Bob's call number (above), both the number and the very similar area code. Bob used to live in the NJ area, where (862) is an area code, similar to Lakeland's (863) area code.

NOTES: As this was only the 2nd call in this series (and I had not made the connection between this and the 1st number -and did not have the advantage of 20-20 hindsight), I was still not keen to the fact that there would be a “pattern” of harassing phone calls, and so no *57 documenting action was taken to document this with the phone company. (But I still was, later, able to pull this up on my caller-ID and document it in my notes.)

(#3) 10:11 PM, Fri. Oct. 14, 2011 – 862-944-2253

Comments: This came in on “caller-waiting,” and I took the call. Someone was asking where to deliver a pizza, but I told them I had not ordered any pizza. **ANALYSIS:** I still didn't suspect anything at this point.

(#4) 10:12 PM, Fri. Oct. 14, 2011 – 862-944-2253

Comments: This came in as a message left on voice mail, and it was 1 minute later (successive calls)

ANALYSIS: This is the 3rd time in a row this number called me, so I knew something was up, but I didn't know what? This voice-mail message was not documented by *57, but it is documented in one of the attached audio files:

NOTES: See e.g., attachment of:

Fri14Oct2011-1012pm-spoofed-crank-call-from-cloned-phone.wav

Or:

Fri14Oct2011-1012pm-spoofed-crank-call-from-cloned-phone.mp3

(#5) 10:38 PM, Fri. Oct. 14, 2011 – 863-944-2249

Comments: I was up-town by this time, but when I got back home, I saw this number on caller ID. Bob called again but did not leave a message. ANALYSIS: This is a developing pattern of harassment – as well as identity theft as described herein: I recognised 863-944-2249 as belonging to Robert William “Bob” Hartung, a former friend of mine who has since gone crazy and been accused of stalking my friends Curtis Holcomb and Terri Pipher in POLK COUNTY'S 10th Judicial Circuit Court.

NOTES: As I was up town, and other calls had probably come in afterwards, I would not do a *57 trace with the phone company's harassing call centre, as that call would have been overwritten.

(Four different cases below, colour-coded for clarity, supplement my claims and those of my friend, James Kyle Kessler, that Hartung is a bit unhinged, and that he has a history of harassing people: Curtis=Blue for Boy – Terri Pipher = Pink for Girl – Bob = Royal Purple for royal pain in the butt)

Type: ORDER

File No.: 2005076642

Date/Time: 4/6/2005 16:28:48

Book Type: O

Book/Page: 6150/347

Pages: 1

Consideration: \$0.00

Legal:

Grantors: HARTUNG ROBERT W

Grantees: HOLCOMB CURTIS W

Type: CP

File No.: 2005128659

Date/Time: 6/3/2005 13:22:40

Book Type: O

Book/Page: 6231/1778

Pages: 1

Consideration: \$0.00

Legal:

Grantors: HOLCOMB CURTIS W

Grantees: HARTUNG ROBERT

Type: ORDER

File No.: 2005076643

Date/Time: 4/6/2005 16:29:07

Book Type: O

Book/Page: 6150/348

Pages: 1

Consideration: \$0.00

Legal:

Grantors: HARTUNG ROBERT

Grantees: PIPHER THERESA

Type: CP

File No.: 2005128643

Date/Time: 6/3/2005 13:16:30

Book Type: O
Book/Page: 6231/1740
Pages: 1
Consideration: \$0.00
Legal:
Grantors: **PIPHER THERESA M**
Grantees: **HARTUNG ROBERT**

ADDENDUM: Besides Terri Pipher and Curtis Holcomb, 2 other people can testify to Bob Hartung's harassment: Myself and a mutual acquaintance, James Kyle Kessler (863-576-7867) of 2118 West Lanier Street, here in Lakeland, Florida. Mr. Kessler is a neighbour of Hartung (who lives at 2212 W. Lanier), and the other 2, Pipher and Holcomb, are acquaintances. Bob was not always crazy – as he helped me get an amateur radio license and a guard license, helped me get a free used ham walkies talkie, and gave me a free website for a few years. So, since Bob's helped me a lot in the past, I have no motive to lie about him or bring false charges.

NOTE: Bob, more recently, in communications with myself and Ofcr. Leggett, and/or other officer(s), has adamantly denied making harassing phone calls, so LPD and the SAO have an incumbent duty to gather all the “call trace” data which I procured -at a VERY high financial cost, since Verizon charges no less than \$5.00 for each trace. *(They should also procure the Cell Tower data in the one case where it is relevant –if, that is, they care about finding out the truth.)* If Bob is innocent, that is just as important to discover as if he is guilty, and more-importantly, the call trace and cell-Tower data (if it's still there and not deleted by now, and the Police didn't wait too long) will show WHO is guilty –no matter who that may be.

(#6) 10:30 AM, Sat. Oct. 15, 2011 – 863-648-1152

Comments: I do not recognise this number, and, I do recall several “girls” calling me, with sexually explicit information (sounded like a tape recording), and I think this was one of those calls here.

NOTE: No call trace data, as I was still a bit “new” to all this (I don't do this every day), and I wasn't fast enough to activate my tape recorder, but I was able to make notes from caller-ID.

(#7) 11:18 AM, Sat. Oct. 15, 2011 – 863-944-2294

Comments: Another hang up call from Bob, I recall, but I still did not suspect anything other than Bob's usual craziness at this point.

NOTE: Ibid.

(Not a crack call) 11:23 AM, Sat. Oct. 15, 2011 – 904-525-3897

Comments: This was one of my mother's friends calling her (mom has moved in with us), and so this is not a crank call, but I am listing it to help in any records search, so that my clock can be synchronised with the Phone Switch blocks' time-stamping system.

(#8) 6:58 PM, Sat. Oct. 15, 2011 – 863-944-4541

Comments: I returned this call that I got, using caller-ID to make sure it was the right number. (See the attached photograph to verify.) – The elderly woman at this number said she did NOT call me, and that this was a cell phone belonging to her husband who had been asleep when I got the call. **ANALYSIS:** This time, I saw a pattern developing (a lot of calls from VERY SIMILAR numbers, only one of which was someone I knew: Bob Hartung), but I didn't figure it out until I asked for advice from a lot of friends: They said that if people's numbers called me but the people didn't (as here), it was illegal identity theft, known as 'spoofing' wherein a person alters their cell phone to 'imitate' other numbers and call them. – So, I am sure Bob called me while imitating these other numbers, AND I also believe Bob may have called other people (like a pizza delivery place) imitating MY number, prompting them to call me back. **FURTHER ANALYSIS:** This time, Bob messed up: If the police are not too addicted to donuts and coffee to contact this woman (& her husband)

and verify that SHE DIDN'T call me, and then they compare her statement with my photo of the caller ID, it will prove undeniable illegal phone spoofing, i.e., that someone imitated her phone number via identity theft – and thus demonstrate probable cause for said subpoenas. If, on the other hand, the Police DO refuse to investigate, then THEY bear the burden of punishment, since they were the ones who let the bad guys get away.

NOTE: See the attachment, Sat15Oct2011-0658pm-spoofed-cloned-number-identify-theft.jpg

(#9) 7:42 PM, Sat. Oct. 15, 2011 – 863-687-1200

Comments: This number called me, and I called it back, but got the switchboard for the local hospital.

ANALYSIS: This time, Bob messed up: If the local police are not afraid to issue a subpoena for the Verizon Switch records and can verify my phone got this call, and then issue a (separate) subpoena for call-tower records, and show that this was a CELL phone call, then it will be “technologically” proven that a cell phone “spoofed” (illegal identity theft) a known land-line, e.g., the hospital: A land-line number does NOT go through cell towers, and thereby this would prove someone spoofed (via identity-theft) the hospital land-line with a cloned cell phone.

NOTE: I do not recall invoking *57 call-trace, but cell-tower records could trace this all the same. These records do exist –if the Police haven't waited too long to act. (*If you don't believe that cell-phone tower records exist, just watch any crime show!*)

(#10) 7:47 PM, Sat. Oct. 15, 2011 – 863-688-8354

Comments: I got a call from this number, but I don't recognise it. It's either a spoofed call to me, or, possibly a spoofed call (identity theft of MY number) called THIS number, and they called me back after seeing my number on their caller ID. (I never get crank calls, and then to get all these “back-to-back” very similar crank calls in a short time-span is not coincidence, therefore while it's not proof either, it IS “probable cause” to investigate further –*if* police are serious & genuinely interested in finding the truth here.) I also do not recognise this number, and, I do recall several “girls” calling me, with sexually explicit information (sounded like a tape recording), and I think this was one of those calls here.

NOTE: I don't think I traced this call with *57, but I did start doing that later; these records above, however, are still accurate, as I swear or affirm, under oath, that they are accurate –and document some of those which I can.

(#11) 8:02 PM, Sat. Oct. 15, 2011 – 863-944-2294

Comments: This is one 'live' phone crank call from Bob's number that I was able to record (not counting the other one I recorded from voice-mail → **sorry, buddy: when you crank call me, there is no expectation of privacy** – While the call came in at 8:02pm and had sexually explicit content (see recording for details), when I was finally able to 'time-stamp' it with a call to “Time and Temperature,” it was 8:05pm. I also “Star 69” audio-stamped the call to verify on the recording who had called me, but I don't think I knew how to *57 call-trace it at this point. (*You're lucky to get this audio record, so don't complain: I'm doing the best I can here to document this & make your job easier.*)

NOTE: See, e.g.:

Sat15Oct2011-0805pm-BobHartungCrankCalls.wav

or:

Sat15Oct2011-0805pm-BobHartungCrankCalls.mp3

(Not a crank call) 8:09 PM, Sat. Oct. 15, 2011 – 863-969-6784

Comments: This is a friend of mine, and thus not a crank call, but I'm listing it for the same reasons as explained above.

(#12) 10:18 AM, Sun. Oct. 16, 2011 – “Out of Area” (no number shown)

Comments: This may also be a spoofed phone call from Bob, but there is no way to know – I guess he got good enough at this point to hide his number.

CONCLUSION: There is overwhelming probable cause for spoofing, since a lot of phone numbers called me even when many of them said they did NOT call me.

So, either someone was spoofing (imitating, via identity theft of phone number) their number when calling me –and/or also: Calling THESE numbers while imitating (identity theft) my number, and then prompting total strangers who see my number on caller ID to call ME back.

Since I have no motive to waste a whole bunch of time making stuff up about a crazy acquaintance who has helped me in the past (and who is crazy but “harmless”), I'm not going to lie about this.

However, under the legal definition of phone harassment, since I was emotionally stressed over the spoofing identity theft issues, this is legally pursuable. Lastly, besides (#1) phone harassment, (#2) general identity theft, and (#3) a particular ****type**** of identity theft (phone cloning or spoofing, a FEDERAL crime according to the Federal Trade Commission) is illegal and enforceable. **If you don't believe me, then consider this: if someone spoofs YOUR phone number, you will quickly find the law against it – and take action.** (That's not a threat, as I have no idea, and neither ability nor motive to do this sort of stuff. But, just saying, to put it in perspective.)

Gordon Wayne Watts

ADDENDUM: Since I initially wrote this report, in 2011, I've received additional harassing phone calls, such as from numbers that did not exist –such as that (839) area code number –in other words, when I returned the call, it was a non-working number, and this is conclusive proof that these were spoofed (fake) phone calls, where the caller-ID information was manipulated to make it look like it came from a different number than it really was. Plus, you know the hospital wasn't crank calling me! These calls were spoofed!

(#13) 03:06 PM, Sun. 19 Feb. 2012 – I successfully call-traced this incoming harassing phone call -at a high price, as indicated on the VERIZON bill.

Comments: Sadly, I misplaced my notes as to the details of this call, partly due to my own human error, and partly due to the stress from do-nothing local police, which made me feel like I was wasting my time (or risking getting false arrested again), so all I know is this: I only trace harassing or sexually explicit phone calls, and I traced this one.

NOTE: See 2012-CallTrace-April-and-Feb.JPG for proof it was successfully traced!

(#14) 10:19 AM, Mon. 02 Apr. 2012 – I successfully call-traced this incoming harassing phone call -at a high price, as indicated on the VERIZON bill.

Comments: Ibid.: See comments above.

NOTE: See 2012-CallTrace-April-and-Feb.JPG for proof it was successfully traced!

(#15) 09:17 PM, Mon. Apr. 02, 2012 – “1-839-944-2294” (An impossible number: see below for discussion)

Comments: This number's area code does not exist! Thus, the number was illegal identity theft, spoofing, by a cloned phone, which we now know to be violations of State and Federal Identity Theft laws -at the least.

NOTE: Mon02April2012-CallerID.JPG is the photo of the caller ID of this incoming number.

However, Wed-4-4-2012-ScreenShot-Proof-of-spoofed-area-code.JPG is proof that this number is fake.

PROOF: See 2012-CallTrace-April-and-Feb.JPG for proof it was successfully traced! – VERIZON lists it as 9:20 PM, and my caller ID lists it as 9:17 PM, so their trace service is a few minutes “slow” or “behind” my time, possibly due to the time it took for me to get off the phone and trace it.

Note: There aren't any 2013 entries, because, after LPD decided donuts & coffee –and a history of local corruption –was more important than doing their job, I frankly just “gave up” on documenting or reporting anything here, for a while, not willing to waste my time –or risk being false arrested again – and so, this list of harassing phone calls probably documents only about half or so of the actual number of harassing phone, spoofed, or impersonating identity calls that were made during this period. Please, however, bear in mind, that while Hartung is the “usual suspect,” he's not

necessarily guilty of harassing phone calls. On the other hand, someone is guilty, and should the police or the SAO continue to fail to do their job, then they, too, will join the crowd of the guilty –and be subject to appropriate punishment, prosecution, fines, etc.

Wait, I was mistaken: There was one 2013 call that I got -and documented: See below...

(#16) 01:28 PM, Sat. Feb. 23, 2013 – Spoofed call from “863-812-8788” to “863-688-9880” (*Identity theft of Deb Callahan's number: It was not her: she is a middle-aged woman, and would not do such as this, and besides, she showed me her call phone, and I looked at outgoing calls, which did not include mine: Deb is innocent.*)

Comments: When we were getting this harassing phone call, I, myself, was at Deb's Gym, on Memorial Blvd., a local gym well-known to all the local Lakeland Police Officers, and watching a powerlifting competition, so I was not able to invoke *57 call-trace. However, when I got back home, and checked my messages, I was able to document the testimony of my mother, who has moved in with me and dad, when she took the call. Please listen closely to mom's testimony. And, please note that I had to take the extreme measure of getting VIDEO testimony, which is a very questionable (but not illegal) measure here, but I had to go to these “extremes” to compensate for the VERY low integrity of the local police and the SAO, who both refused to pursue or prosecute this harassment unless I documented “additional” harassing calls. So, since I was pushed to document this, I did as I was asked:

NOTE: Please listen VERY closely to Sat23Feb2013-Harassing-FalseImpersonation-Spoofed-phone-call_WMV.wmv and please note that this is yet another case of illegal identity theft, illegal impersonation and harassment of a spoofed' (fake) phone number via a cloned phone: all quite illegal.

Half-Time break:

Both I, and my friend, James Kyle Kessler, got a boatload of harassing phone calls, texts, emails, and even VIDEO messages, all from Hartung, and I shall document them, here, in approximate chronological order, since they are relevant, and thus related, to this case:

- Some-2010-Hartungs-emails-to-my-secret-AOL-account.JPG
- Circa-Wed05Oct2011-BobHartungsEmailToMyGMAIL.JPG
- Circa-Wed05Oct2011-BobHartungs-723-Emails-To-My-YahooAccount.JPG
- Circa-Wed05Oct2011-Here-are-762-emails-equals-harassment.JPG
- Sun16Oct2011-Interesting-HARTUNG-email-exchange.JPG
- Tue03April2012-Bobs-Email-to-Me.JPG
- Fri06April2012-email-Bob-Hartung.html
- Mon03Sept2012-email-exchange-with-Bob.html
- 06-17-2013-BobsMessage_WMV-hi-rez.wmv
- 06-17-2013-BobsMessage_WMV-V9.wmv
- Mon10Feb2014-JamesKyleKessler-Email.JPG (Kyle's email to me, documenting Bob's harassment)
- Thr27Feb2014a-RobertHartungEmail.JPG (Top half of email: it was too large to fit into one screen shot)
- Thr27Feb2014b-RobertHartungEmail.JPG (Bottom half of email, which has phone number in email signature)
- Thr27March2014-Harassment-from-Bob-Hartung.JPG
- Please note that this guy has been harassing me, Holcomb, Pipher, Kessler, and may others since 2010! LOL...
- **More harassing phone calls, current as of 2014, are documented below...**

(#17) 03:15 PM, Sat. March 22, 2014 – “1-863-354-0537” (Hartung's number: see below for discussion)

DISCUSSION: This file, Sat22March12014-0315pm-BobHartungHarassingPhoneCall.JPG documents a call from Bob Hartung's number to mine, but I did not recognise this as one of his numbers, and thus I was not “fast enough” to do a *57 trace on it. Please see this screen shot of a phone book lookup:

Sat22March12014-0315pm-proof-of-number-Harassment-from-Bob-Hartung.JPG

which verifies that this call came from Hartung's number. But that, alone, does not prove the call was from Bob: His phone number might have been “spoofed.” (That is what he claims.) However, please see:

Thr27March2014-Harassment-from-Bob-Hartung.JPG in the which he brags that he blocked MY phone number, starting at 3:16PM, on “3/22/14,” which is, mysteriously, just one minute after I got a call from his number. Perhaps, HE made a harassing phone call to ME, and then blocked my number, to prevent me from calling him back. Nonetheless, the coincidence is far too unlikely to be anything less than VERY STRONG “probable cause” that is somehow involved.

(That, and his history of calls to me, support this claim.) Lastly, please see the bottom of:

Thr27Feb2014a-RobertHartungEmail.JPG

and:

Thr27Feb2014b-RobertHartungEmail.JPG

which clearly show his phone number in his email byline signature.

“Things that make you go: 'hmm...!'” (Translation: VERY COMPELLING Probable cause.)

(#18) 10:05 PM, Fri. April 11, 2014 – “Private caller” (These calls were sexually explicit; listen to the MP3.)

DISCUSSION: See e.g., 10-05PM-private-caller-Fri11Apr2014.JPG

and:

1005pm-Fri11Apr2014-crank-call.mp3

and:

Fri11April2014-Two-CallTraces.JPG

and:

May2014-Verizon-Bill-vzbill_acct_dl_42898_597180484_050914014024.pdf

for call detail of this trace.

NOTE: I was, finally, able to document this call with a *57 “call-trace” to Verizon's harassing call centre. I called Verizon on Thursday, April 17, 2014, and requested they FAX over the data to LPD, and they said that they would. Noteworthy is the fact that I got both audio, photo of caller-ID, and a call-trace to document: I got all three (3) documentations to verify my claims. LPD Sgt. Lord said that they probably lost the FAX, and asked me for this report, so that they could re-request the call-trace data from Verizon, and I am upholding my responsibility on my end, here.

(#19) 10:09 PM, Fri. April 11, 2014 – “Private caller” (These calls were sexually explicit; listen to the MP3.)

DISCUSSION: See e.g., 10-09PM-private-caller-Fri11Apr2014.JPG

and:

1009pm-Fri11Apr2014-crank-call.mp3

NOTE: I was also able to both document this call as well:

- First, with a *57 “call-trace” to Verizon's harassing call centre.
- Second, with a photo of the caller-ID, and
- Lastly, I was to get audio of it. (Sorry, pal: There's no expectation of privacy here: your call was recorded!)
- As before, I called Verizon on Thursday, April 17, 2014, and requested they FAX over the data to LPD, and they said that they would.
- See May2014-Verizon-Bill-vzbill_acct_dl_42898_597180484_050914014024.pdf for call detail of this trace.
- See Fri11April2014-Two-CallTraces.JPG for close-up of this bill's “call detail” of this call trace.

(#20) 10:17 PM, Fri. April 11, 2014 – “Message rec'd at 10:17PM, April 11 [2014], from '0932': 'I'm in the middle of f—ing my mother right now....’” (Sexually-explicit material on this voice-mail.)

DISCUSSION: See e.g., 1017pm-Fri11Apr2014-voicemail-crank-call.mp3

I was overwhelmed with calls, as this was the 3rd on that night alone, and thus did not get a call-trace on this one, but I did get audio, above.

(#21) 08:52 PM, Tue. April 15, 2014 – “UNKNOWN CALLER” or “Out of Area” (I think this was a hang-up)

DISCUSSION: See e.g., 08-52PM-harassing-call-Tue15Apr2014.JPG for caller-IS

Also, listen to 0852pm-Tue15Apr2014-another-harrasing-phone-call_MP3.mp3 to document my attempts to use the “Call Tracing Service”: “We're sorry: your last call came from outside the service area...please call Verizon Nuisance Call Bureau at: 1-800-257-2969...” – A few days later, on Friday, 18 April 2014, I called Verizon, as asked about tracing this call (and one other), and got nowhere, but I did do as I was requested.

NOTE: See Fri11April2014-Two-CallTraces.JPG

and:

May2014-Verizon-Bill-vzbill_acct_dl_42898_597180484_050914014024.pdf

for proof that my attempt to call-trace was unsuccessful.

(#22) 06:23 PM, Thr. April 17, 2014 – “Unknown Name” – “Unknown Number”

DISCUSSION: See e.g., 06-23PM-harassing-call-Thr17Apr2014.JPG

Also, listen to: 0623pm-Thr17Apr2014-another-harrasing-phone-call_MP3.mp3

NOTE: Sounds like a telemarketer, which is technically harassing, as we've repeatedly asked to be on the no-call list. (Note, please, that they don't have an option to get off their list.) – Please ignore the internal arguments with another member of my household: we were frustrated at my inability to get a dial-tone, which was necessary to try and *57 call-trace the number. It appears that this is one that was outside the area, but I did do all three (3) things that could possibly be requested of me by the phone company, the Police, or the SAO:

- I got a screen shot of the caller ID
- I got quality audio (which did not violate any laws: these callers have no expectation of privacy)
- I attempted a call-trace. See docs in note above to verify this trace attempt was unsuccessful.
- The next day, on Friday, 18 April 2014, I called Verizon, as asked about tracing this call (and one other), and got nowhere, but I did do as I was requested.

(#23) 08:52 PM, Sat. April 26, 2014 – “Private caller” (Sexually explicit)

DISCUSSION: See e.g., 11-37PM-harassing-call-Sat26Apr2014.JPG for a screen shot of the Caller-ID

Please listen to 1137pm-Sat26Apr2014-another-harrasing-phone-call_MP3.mp3 for audio.

NOTE: This time, I tried to be slick, and eliminate one unlikely suspect, some friends of mine: I called them on my cell phone, and they called me back on my house phone; however, this strategy backfired: Their return call over-wrote and erased the *57 call-trace. Nonetheless, this is the same caller who was traced successfully in entries dated Fri. April 11, 2014, above, thus this is harmless error on my part. (Be glad you got audio and a few traces.) I doubt that my friends were culpable here, but the call-trace is the only sure-fire way to know: LPD and SAO, do your job!

This report does not document all the harassing phone calls, for the following reasons:

- **((a)) Initially, I did not suspect anything, and did not know how to *57 “call-trace” the phone calls with the phone company's harassing call centre.**
- **((b)) I was not “fast enough” to have my Tape Recorders or CamCorders handy and ready to record “incoming” harassing phone calls in 'real-time.'**
- **((c)) In addition to the “incoming” harassing phone calls [in points ((a)) & ((b)), above] let's not forget that I was the victim of Identity Theft on several occasions in which “outgoing” calls from the harassing caller were made to several entities impersonating MY number and ME (personally), because he/she/they used a spoofed phone number from a cloned phone.**
- **((d)) Both the *69 and the *57 cost huge amounts of money, and, at some point [due to a combination of recent Police corruption and also due to my human limitations], I gave up on the Police ever doing their job, and probably failed to “call-trace” some of the later calls.**
- **((e)) Summary: Instead of the 23 calls listed here, points ((a-d)) [listed above], drive the total number up to probably closer to 30 or 40.**

This report is true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge.

– Electronically signed:

Gordon Wayne Watts

Gordon Wayne Watts
10:17 A.M., Thursday, 08 May 2014

ADDENDUM: Today, Friday, May 09, 2014, I found:

- 1) some more harassing phone calls listed in my notes,
- 2) more supporting documentation, and (most importantly),
- 3) I also found exact times, days, and dates of additional VERIZON *57 “Call-Traces,” which I shall append to this report and list below:

- 1) We received harassing phone calls on Feb.19, 2012 (3:06pm) and Apr.2, 2012 (10:19am), which bumps up the total number of harassing phone calls from 21 to 23. – See above.
- 2) This is additional documentation in support whereof:
 1. 2012-CallTrace-April-and-Feb.JPG (Photocopy of 2 bills with call details)
 2. VERIZON-CallDetail-Oct2011-July2012.JPG (my own notes)
 3. May2014-Verizon-Bill-vzbill_acct_dl_42898_597180484_050914014024.pdf (A current bill with call details)
 4. Fri11April2014-Two-CallTraces.JPG (closeup of number 3, above)
- 3) In addition to the 2 successful traces on April 11, 2014, and the 2 bad traces on Apr.15 and Apr.17, I found documentation of 3 more good Call Traces: Two on Apr.2,2012 and one more on Feb.19,2012. This pushes the number of calls traces to seven (7) total, and five (5) successful, not counting copious audio, video, photo, photocopy, and eye witness testimony. Of special noteworthy mention is item number **#15** (09:17 PM, Mon. Apr. 02, 2012 – “1-839-944-2294”), because this was an impossible number: it came from an Area Code that did not exist, but I was able to trace it, and send it on over to the police in a timely fashion. Whomever made this call was spoofing a number with a cloned phone, and committing Identity Theft of not only the number being called (on that occasion), but also MY number (when they called others, impersonating MY identity!). ← Focus in on this call, first, and the rest of the pieces of the puzzle will fall into place.

This ADDENDUM is true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge.

– Electronically signed:

Gordon Wayne Watts

Gordon Wayne Watts
13:27 – 01:27 P.M., Friday, 09 May 2014

ADDENDUM: Today, Wednesday, May 14, 2014, I clarified why “**report does not document all the harassing phone calls,**” by adding point ((c)) about 'outgoing' spoofed calls from cloned phones and point ((e)), summarising the previous points. Plus, I reformatted the margins a bit to keep things grouped together better.

In addition, yesterday (Tue.5-13-2014), when speaking with LPD Sgt. Marshall Lord, by phone (863-834-6929), I verbally appended my report with this additional information listed in points ((c)) and ((e)) –and hope to share it with Officer Fetz (whom Sgt. Lord said had been assigned to this case) and/or Detective O'Neil (who left me a voice mail this morning at 9:49am). For the record, I did not hear the phone ring, did not see his number on caller-ID, did not get a call waiting beep, nor was I on the phone at that time, and his call was the most recent. It did not even appear on *69, even though I was, in fact, the most recent call. This is unrelated –but VERY ODD – and so I am making a note here to document this.

This ADDENDUM is true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge.

– Electronically signed:

Gordon Wayne Watts

Gordon Wayne Watts
– 11:21 A.M., Wednesday, 14 May 2014