cc://http://www.geocities.com/thirstforjustice/scv0009.html 4/6/09, b.1, c. RJM-MS09-cv-496 m. n.9

Robert J. More, et al V Michael Schiavo, et al

Case No. 8:09-CV-496-T-30TGW

Proposed Order of 4/24/09

This cause having come before this Court on the "Preliminary Component ... Motion of 4/6/09..., ("Motion") no party having yet been served, Plaintiff ("RJM") having notified the court that he would be available to participate in an audience before this Court via telephone and having demanded such opportunity, should this Court not have granted the relief sought in the Motion without need for any audience in such regard, this Court having been notified that RJM does not consent to have any federal judge adjudicate this case in the trial court other than 11th C.C.A. Judge Charles Wilson ("JCW"), or a judge whose performance in office would indicate it would be not less likely that such judge would succeed in "keeping the balance, clear, nice and true" (In re. Murchison (U.S.)) between the parties involved in the case this document concerns ("this case") than the judicial performance of JCW would indicate he could accomplish in such case and in consideration that a petition to amend the complaint presently pending in this case as of 4/6/09 has been made to transform Judge Moody from a "John Doe" Defendant ("D") to a named defendant therein and in consideration of the definition of the problem of bias as such has been defined by the SCOTUS in among other cases, that of U.S. v Abel (469 U.S. 45) ("bias ...may be induced by fear of ...a party") and that no man can be the judge of his own case (Dr. Bonham's case (Lord Coke's Institutes of the Laws of England)" and that RJM only had a limited number of hours to dedicate to the motion and accompanying documents filed alongtherewith to which this document is an accompanying document, and that the only reason that this complaint was filed in the first place was in order to enable RJM to retain a legitimate claim to participate in whatever vigilante measures might have to implemented in order to accomplish the punishment of the predators who are responsible for the torture and murder of T. Schiavo ("TSSM") and to accomplish a combination of the confiscation and/or destruction of property sufficient along with the punishment referenced supra to efface the crime of such TSSM from RJM's record of activity, and that RJM continues to proceed in this case according to a Fiat justicia, ruat caelum approach thereto, and intends, the circumstances of providence permitting, to supplement this document within 14 days of 4/6/09, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: 1. This Court recuses itself as it is named as a Defendant in this case and transfers the case to the Executive Committee so that it can inquire of 11th C.C. A. Judge Charles Wilson ("JCW") regarding whether he would be willing to adjudicate this case in the trial court in the first instance in the manner in which 7th C.C.A. Chief Judge F. Easterbrook has so frequently done (and otherwise stays all proceedings, including the running of the clock on the 120 day process service window – unless this could not be accomplished without the Court's having executed some act which would cause the relinquishment of RJM's claim to have this Court recused) or a briefing schedule is herein established on the issue of RJM's attempted recusal of the entirety of the USDCMDF Western Division \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_, or this Court refuses to recuse itself and Judges as follows refuses to provide RJM any opportunity to brief such issue, according to the following authority(ies) Should this Court proceed to adjudicate this case notwithstanding the motion to recuse it which has been filed via mailing on 4/6/09 ("...adjudicate...") 2. nothing need be accomplished by RJM at this juncture other than the submission of a memorandum of points and authorities in support of his claimed right to recuse the entire USDC for the MDF WD \_\_\_\_\_\_ or 

<sup>3.</sup> This Court has been informed that in any scenario in which this Court would not provide RJM and everyone entitled to consideration of whatever sort from RJM ("RJM et al") the consideration to which RJM et al would have been entitled in a given instance, that in such instance a document similar in form to that listed as Doc. #4 (Code 4/06...n. 10) in the Doc. List to which this document is an accompaniment would be presented to a SGJ by RJM in order for RJM to ensure that the moral liability of RJM in regard to the matters this case concerns would not be left "not adequately covered" and that in any scenario in which this Court would have such type evidence

presented to a SGJ in regard to its activity, that it would have 30 days within which to petition ISMA to have the submission of such evidence retracted, just as Magistrate TGW has been provided in regard to the issuance of the R&R he issued on 3/25/09 re this case.

Should this Court recuse itself	and the entire USD	OCMDF WD ("recuse") and a jud	ge of the priorities and
moral fiber of JCW assume th	e role of adjudicate	or of this case:	
1. The Report and Recommend	dation of the Magis	strate dated 3/25/09 ("R&R") is reject	ed for the reasons set forth
in Document listed as Doc. "#	4", Code: 4/6/09, b	o.1, c. RJM-MS09-cv-496 m. n.10, w	ithout RJM's having to
consume any more time and re	sources responding	g thereto, or RJM has un	ntil to
present objections to the R&R.			
2. The Clerk shall permit RJM	to file via electron	ic filing in this case, notwithstanding a	iny rules or protocols
incompatible with this comman			1
±		ply posting documents onto a website	and providing the URL
		ticipate in the adjudication of this case	
		d all filings ever accomplished via such	
		ed in para. #3 supra, has been granted,	
		arces and time which modern technological	
in civil litigation	penantares of resou	arees and time which modern teenholo,	5y has rendered elimination
• =====================================	it RIM to access th	ne electronic docket maintained in this	case without the incurrment
of any fee in regard thereto.	it itsivi to decess th	ie electronic docket maintained in this	cuse without the incurring
	rt that it is RIM's 11	nderstanding that at least three docum	ents contained in what RIM
		been entered into the electronic docket	
*		6/09, (Code #'s "4/6n.12" & "4/6	
		is order is a component, and the Clerk	
		LJM would ever endeavor to file in this	
		by RJM that RJM had intended to include	
			1 2
<u>*</u>		reliminaryMotion4/6/09" but the	
		nd that as it would appear to be necess	
		nitment to continue to "superimpose	
•	1.1	JM that RJM would have to superimpo	
		hing in the adjudication thereof that R.	
him from getting away with to	the extent such co	ommitment is not a humanly impossible	e one to honor"
·			
		this document is an accompaniment;	
		the document listed in the Doc. List of	
# 4/613") "DeclarationU	nconstRJM" by	, and the reception	on of such document by
RJM will render further proce	edings in this case	, and the reception entirely optional and not strictly necess	sary
- this Court herein provides RJ	M notice that a cle	rk of this Court has transmitted to Mag	gistrate TGW a copy of the
"Preliminary Presentation Of	4/6/09" (Code	e # "4/610") and that RJM can call	his office in order to
ensure that he has received a	copy of such doci	ument	
- this Court would appreciate	it if RJM would e	mail it a copy of this document at:	, and all
matters this document concer	ns will be maintain	ned in the condition in which they we	ere found to be at the time
of the issuance of this order		, and the second	
•	,		
Judge	Date		